



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

Press Unit
Unité de la Presse

Press country profile
Fiche pays pour la presse

Last updated: January 2026

Malta

Ratified the European Convention on Human Rights in 1967

National Judge: Lorraine Schembri Orland (23 September 2019 - present)

[Judges' CVs](#) are available on the ECHR Internet site

Previous judges: John Cremona (1965-1992), Giuseppe Mifsud Bonnici (1992-1998), Giovanni Bonello (1998-2010), Vincent A. De Gaetano (2010-2019)

[List of judges of the Court since 1959](#)

The Court dealt with 27 applications concerning Malta in 2025, of which 21 were declared inadmissible or struck out. It delivered six judgments (concerning six applications), four of which found at least one violation of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Applications processed in	2023	2024	2025
Applications allocated to a judicial formation	22	16	26
Communicated to the Government	6	10	7
Applications decided:	39	22	27
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Single Judge)	20	8	15
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Committee)	4	6	5
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Chamber)	1	1	1
- Decided by judgment	14	7	6

For information about the Court's judicial formations and procedure, see the [ECHR internet site](#). Statistics on interim measures can be found [here](#).

Applications pending before the court on 01/01/2026	
Applications pending before a judicial formation:	19
Single Judge	2
Committee (3 Judges)	11
Chamber (7 Judges)	6
Grand Chamber (17 Judges)	0

Malta and ...

The Registry

The task of the Registry is to provide legal and administrative support to the Court in the exercise of its judicial functions. It is composed of lawyers, administrative and technical staff and translators. There are currently **673** Registry staff members.

Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Grand Chamber

[Micallef v. Malta](#)

15.10.2009

Applicant's complaint about unfairness of injunction proceedings, notably alleged impartiality of the tribunal on the basis of the judge's family ties with the legal representatives.

Violation of Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial)

Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Chamber

Cases dealing with the right to life (Article 2)

[Brincat and Others v. Malta](#)

24.07.2014

The case concerned shipyard repair workers who were exposed to asbestos for a number of decades beginning in the 1950s to the early 2000s, which led to them suffering from asbestos-related conditions.

Violation of Article 2

Violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life)

Three cases concerning detention conditions of individuals awaiting immigration proceedings in Malta

[Aden Ahmed v. Malta](#)

23.07.2013

The case concerned a Somali national, Ms Ahmed, and her detention in Malta after entering the country irregularly, by boat, to seek asylum in February 2009.

Violation of Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment)

Violation of Article 5 §§ 1 and 4 (right to liberty and security)

This is the first time the Court found a violation of Article 3 against Malta concerning immigration detention conditions.

[Suso Musa v. Malta](#)

23.07.2013

The case concerned an alleged Sierra Leonean asylum seeker who complained in particular that his detention had been unlawful and that he had not had an effective means to have the lawfulness of his detention reviewed.

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security)

Violation of Article 5 § 4 (right to have lawfulness of detention decided speedily by a court)

[Louled Massoud v. Malta](#)

27.07.2010

The case concerned unlawfulness of an immigrant's detention for more than 18 months, the maximum allowed pursuant a policy introduced in Malta in 2005 concerning illegal immigrants, refugees and integration.

Violation of Article 5 §§ 1 and 4 (right to liberty and security)

Cases concerning with inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (Article 3)

[A.B. and Y.W. v. Malta \(no. 2559/23\)](#)

04.02.2025

The case concerned a married couple, Chinese nationals of Uighur ethnicity and Muslim faith from Xinjiang province (China), who arrived in Malta in 2016 their being issued with a removal decision after being refused international protection in Malta, and the remedy they undertook against that removal order.

Violation of Article 3

[J.B. and Others v. Malta](#)

22.10.2024

The case concerned the detention of six Bangladeshi nationals in the Ħal Far Initial Reception Centre (a.k.a. China House) for almost two months after their arrival, then for at least another four months in the Safi Detention Centre.

Violation of Article 3

Violation of Article 13 taken in conjunction with Article 3

Violation of Article 5 § 1

Violation of Article 5 § 4

[Fenech v. Malta](#)

01.03.2022

The case concerned the applicant's conditions of detention in the Corradino Correctional Facility and whether the Maltese authorities had taken adequate measures to protect him from contracting Covid-19 whilst in prison, in particular because he has only one kidney.

[No violation of Article 3](#)

[Feilazoo v. Malta](#)

11.03.201

The case concerned the conditions of the applicant's immigration detention and its lawfulness. It also concerned complaints in relation to the proceedings before this Court, mainly related to interference with correspondence and domestic legal-aid representation.

[Violation of Article 3](#)

[Violation of Article 5 § 1 \(right to liberty and security\), and](#)

[Violation of Article 34 \(right of individual application\)](#)

Cases concerning with the right to liberty and security (Article 5)

[Gatt v. Malta](#)

27.07.2010

It concerned imprisonment-in-default system. Notably, applicant – facing drug-trafficking proceedings – complained that 2000 days' detention for breaching his bail conditions was excessive.

[Violation of Article 5 § 1](#)

[This is the first case before the Court where this system was examined under Article 5.](#)

[Stephens v. Malta N°1](#) & [Stephens v. Malta N°2](#)

21.04.2009

The cases concerned applicant's complaints under Article 5 following his arrest in Spain on suspicion of drug trafficking at the request of the Maltese authorities:

Stephens N°1: complaint about detention in Spain on the basis of an unlawful order issued in Malta and about not being able to appeal against judicial decisions concerning the lawfulness of his detention

[Violation of Article 5 § 1](#)

[No violation of Article 5 § 4](#)

Stephens N°2: complaint that the domestic courts did not address the issues raised by his lawyer when challenging the lawfulness of his arrest, and failed to review speedily the lawfulness of his detention

[No violation of Article 5 §§ 3 or 4](#)

Cases dealing with Article 6

Right to a fair trial

[Bellizzi v. Malta](#)

21.06.2011

The case concerned a dispute over the berth where Joseph Bellizzi, a full-time boatman, had moored his boat for over 20 years. The applicants notably alleged that the related constitutional proceedings had not been impartial.

[No violation of Article 6](#)

Access to a court

[M.D. and others v Malta \(no. 64791/10\)](#)

17.07.2012

The case concerned the inability of a mother and her children to challenge a care order and the subsequent automatic and permanent removal of the mother's parental rights following her criminal conviction for neglect of her children, and the impossibility for her to challenge that measure before a tribunal.

[Violation of Article 6 § 1 and Article 8 \(right to private and family life\)](#)

Right to legal assistance of one's own choosing

[Borg v. Malta](#)

12.01.2016

The case mainly concerned the complaint by a convicted offender of not having had any legal assistance during questioning in police custody, resulting from the absence of any provisions under Maltese law in force at the time allowing for legal assistance during pre-trial investigation and questioning by the police.

[Violation of Article 6 § 3 in conjunction with Article 6 § 1 \(right to a fair trial\)](#)

[No violation of Article 6 § 1 in respect of an alleged lack of legal certainty concerning the constitutional proceedings](#)

Cases dealing with family and private life (Article 8)

Mifsud v. Malta

29.01.2019

The case concerned Mr Mifsud's complaint about being ordered by a court to undergo a DNA test in a contested paternity case.

[No violation of Article 8](#)

Ramadan v. Malta

21.06.2016

The case concerned the revocation of an acquired citizenship. Mr Ramadan (the applicant), originally an Egyptian citizen, acquired Maltese citizenship following his marriage to a Maltese national. It was revoked by the Minister of Justice and Internal Affairs following a decision by the relevant domestic court to annul the marriage on the grounds that Mr Ramadan's only reason to marry had been to remain in Malta and acquire Maltese citizenship.

M.D. and Others v Malta (no. 64791/10)

17.07.2012 (see above)

Zammit Maempel and Others v. Malta

22.11.2011

The case concerned the letting-off of fireworks close to the applicants' home.

[No violation of Article 8](#)

Dadouch v. Malta

20.07.2010

It concerned a failure of the Maltese authorities to register the applicant's marriage for more than two years.

[Violation of Article 8](#)

The Court acknowledged marital status as part of an individual's personal and social identity.

Mizzi v. Malta

12.01.2006

The case concerned applicant's complaint about proceedings in which he tried unsuccessfully to repudiate paternity.

[Violation of Article 8](#)

Freedom of expression cases (Article 10)

Aquilina and Others v. Malta

14.06.2011

The case concerned defamation proceedings brought by a lawyer following a

report in the *Times of Malta* newspaper that he had been found guilty of contempt of court at the final stages of a bigamy case. The Court found that the Times' journalist had acted in good faith when reporting on the case.

[Violation of Article 10](#)

Right to an effective remedy cases (Article 13)

S.H. v. Malta (no. 37241/21)

20.12.2022

The case concerned an applicant who claimed to be a journalist in Bangladesh, who had been the subject of persecution after he observed electoral irregularities in the 2018 elections in Bangladesh, and who sought asylum in Malta.

[Violation of Article 13 in conjunction with Article 3](#)

Cases dealing with discrimination issues (Article 14)

Genovese v. Malta

11.01.2012

The case concerned the complaint by a British citizen, whose father is Maltese, that he was prevented from obtaining Maltese citizenship because he had been born out of wedlock.

[Violation of Article 14 in conjunction with Article 8 \(right to respect for private and family life\)](#)

Zarb Adami v. Malta

20.06.2006

The case concerned the applicant's complaint that, in being frequently required to serve on a jury, he was the victim of sex discrimination, as the percentage of women called to perform jury service in Malta was negligible.

[Violation of Article 14 taken together with Article 4 § 3 \(d\) \(prohibition of slavery and forced labour\)](#)

Cases dealing with property issues (Article 1 of Protocol No. 1)

Rizzo and Others v. Malta (no. 36318/21)

16.01.2024

The case concerned the question of the effectiveness of an appeal to the Constitutional Court for the purposes of Article 13 following developments in

domestic case-law in the ambit of judgments relating to old rent laws raising issues under Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the Convention.

[No violation Article 13 in conjunction with Article 1 of Protocol No. 1](#)

[Violation of Article 1 of Protocol N° 1](#)

[Shorazova v. Malta](#)

03.03.2022

The case concerned the freezing of the applicant's assets in Malta at the request of the Kazakh authorities. She was facing charges in Kazakhstan for multiple serious crimes at that time.

[Violation of Article 1 of Protocol N° 1](#)

[No-violation of Article 6 § 1](#)

[Cauchi v. Malta \(no. 14013/19\)](#)

25.03.2021

The case concerned the low amount of rent the applicant had received in accordance with the law and her alleged inability to have that situation rectified.

[Violation of Article 1 of Protocol N° 1](#)

[Violation of Article 13 of the Convention in conjunction with Article 1 of Protocol No.1](#)

[Schembri and Others v. Malta](#)

10.11.2009¹

The case concerned the expropriation of two plots of land in Ghaxaq belonging to the applicants and the inadequacy of the ensuing compensation, which reflected values applicable decades earlier, and the delay in the payment of such compensation.

[Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1](#)

[Edwards v. Malta](#)

24.10.2006

[Fleri Soler and Camilleri v. Malta & Ghigo v. Malta](#)

26.09.2006²

¹ In the same case, in its [just satisfaction judgment](#) of 28 September 2010, the Court established the criteria for payment of compensation in relation to expropriations in Malta.

² In the cases of **Edwards** and **Ghigo**, in its [just satisfaction judgments](#) of 17 July 2008, the Court, referring to Article 46 (binding force and execution of judgments), considered that Malta had to set up remedial procedures to balance the interests of the landlords, including their entitlement to derive profit from their property, and those of the community, including the availability of sufficient accommodation for the less well-off.

Property belonging to the applicants requisitioned by the Government resulting in a landlord-tenant relationship being imposed on the applicants under which they received only a small amount of rent and a minimal profit.

[Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1](#)

Noteworthy cases, decisions delivered

[Cassar v Malta](#)

09.07.2013

This case concerned a male-to-female transsexual who was denied the right to marry.

[Struck out of the list of the Court's cases](#)

Noteworthy pending cases

[M.S. v Malta \(no. 30737/24\)](#)

Case [communicated](#) to the Government in April 2025

The application concerns a Bangladeshi national claiming, *inter alia*, to be at risk of ill-treatment contrary to Article 3 of the Convention (by both private and State actors) as a member of the LGBTI community if returned to Bangladesh. The applicant, M.S., was registered as male at birth and identifies as a woman (gender identity), who is attracted to men (sexual orientation).

**ECHR Press Unit Contact:
+ 33 (0)3 90 21 42 08**