

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

Analysis of statistics 2019

January 2020

Table of Contents

Overview of the Court’s statistics in 2019	4
A. New applications	4
B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications	4
C. Processing of applications	4
1. Pre-allocation.....	4
2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions	4
3. Communicated applications	4
4. Judgments.....	4
5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court).....	5
D. Priority policy	5
E. Conclusion.....	5
General information	6
Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation	7
Processing of applications	9
Country-specific information	15
Albania	15
Andorra	16
Armenia	17
Austria.....	18
Azerbaijan	19
Belgium	20
Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	21
Bulgaria	22
Croatia.....	23
Cyprus	24
Czech Republic.....	25
Denmark	26
Estonia	27
Finland	28
France	29
Georgia.....	30
Germany	31
Greece.....	32
Hungary.....	33
Iceland.....	34
Ireland.....	35
Italy	36
Latvia.....	37
Liechtenstein.....	38
Lithuania	39
Luxembourg.....	40

Malta.....	41
Republic of Moldova.....	42
Monaco.....	43
Montenegro.....	44
Netherlands.....	45
North Macedonia.....	46
Norway.....	47
Poland.....	48
Portugal.....	49
Romania.....	50
Russian Federation.....	51
San Marino.....	52
Serbia.....	53
Slovakia.....	54
Slovenia.....	55
Spain.....	56
Sweden.....	57
Switzerland.....	58
Turkey.....	59
Ukraine.....	60
United Kingdom.....	61

Overview of the Court's statistics in 2019

The Court's statistics for 2019 disclose an increase in the number of incoming applications allocated to a judicial formation. This can be explained principally by an increase in the number of applications allocated coming from Bosnia-Herzegovina, Russia, Turkey and Ukraine.

In 2019 the productivity was lower than the number of allocated applications. As a result the number of pending applications has increased.

A. New applications

In 2019 44,500 applications were allocated to a judicial formation, an overall increase of 3% compared with 2018 (43,100). 29,800 of these were identified as Single-Judge cases likely to be declared inadmissible (an increase of 2% in relation to 2018). Single judge applications continue to be processed as soon as they are identified as qualifying for such a procedure. 14,700 applications were identified as probable Chamber or Committee cases (an increase of 7%).

B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications

40,667 applications were disposed of judicially, a decrease of 5% in relation to 2018 (42,761). The number of cases allocated exceeded those disposed of by a little more than 3,800. As a result, the stock of allocated applications pending before the Court increased over the year, from 56,350 to 59,800.

C. Processing of applications

1. Pre-allocation

As at 31 December 2019 the number of applications pending at the pre-judicial stage stood at 8,800, a decrease of 10% in relation to the same date the previous year (9,750). The number of applications disposed of administratively in 2019 was 20,440, a decrease of 5%. 64% of these files were disposed of for failure to comply with the requirements of Rule 47 of the Rules of Court (contents of an individual application).

2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions

In 2019 38,480 applications were declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Single Judge, a Committee or a Chamber, a 4% decrease compared with 2018 (40,022).

The Single-Judge formation decided 33,288 applications in 2019, slightly more than in 2018 (33,181).

In 2019, 3,199 applications were struck out by a Chamber or a Committee, in a decision, following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration, an increase of 5% (3,050 in 2018). Friendly settlements (1,688) decreased by 23% (2,185 in 2018) and unilateral declarations (1,511) increased by 75% (compared to 865¹ in 2018). 1,993 applications were declared inadmissible by a Chamber or a Committee or struck out by these formations on other grounds.

3. Communicated applications

In 2019 6,442 applications were communicated (a decrease of 16% compared to 2018 when 7,646 applications were communicated).

4. Judgments

Judgments were delivered in respect of 2,187 applications (compared with 2,739 in 2018 – a decrease of 20%). A large proportion of these applications were joined, with the result that the

¹ Two applications were struck out after a unilateral declaration in the form of a judgment in 2018.

number of judgments actually delivered was 884, a decrease of 13%. 545 judgments (in respect of 1,732 applications) were adopted by a three-judge Committee formation (- 13% compared to 2018). Committee judgments accounted for 79% of the applications decided by a judgment (73% in 2018).

5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)

The total number of decisions on interim measures (1,570) is stable compared with 2018 (1,540). The Court granted requests for interim measures in 145 cases (an increase of 1% compared to 143 in 2018) and dismissed them in 544 cases (12% more than the 486 in 2018). The remainder fell outside the scope of Rule 39 of the Rules of Court. 49% of the requests granted concerned expulsion or immigration cases.

D. Priority policy ²

The essence of this policy is to concentrate more resources on the most important cases, namely the cases falling within the top three categories (“priority applications”). At 31 December 2019 there were 24,424 applications in these categories (an increase of 18% compared to the beginning of the year). This increase can be explained mainly by the higher number of applications allocated concerning conditions of detention in Russia and the lawfulness of detentions in Turkey.

The number of priority applications dealt with at different stages of the procedure in 2019 decreased by 17% compared to 2018 (6,652 in 2018 and 5,538 in 2019). This can be explained by a decrease in the number of applications processed against Russia and Hungary concerning conditions of detention.

9% more priority applications were communicated to the respondent Governments in comparison with 2018 (2,871 in 2018 and 3,138 in 2019). The number of priority applications declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Chamber or Committee decreased by 30% (2,357 in 2018 and 1,649 in 2019). The number of priority applications struck out following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration decreased from 1,051 in 2018 to 882 in 2019. The number of priority applications giving rise to a judgment decreased by 47% in 2019 (1,424 in 2018 and 751 in 2019).

E. Conclusion

2019 has continued to see an influx of priority applications. The challenge of reducing the backlog of non-repetitive Chamber cases/priority cases remains. In this context the Court and its Registry continue to test and implement new working methods and procedures intended to streamline processing of this part of its case-load. In particular after 60 years of Court judgments, there is well-established case-law in many fields and as a consequence more applications can and will be adjudicated by Committees.

² An explanation of the Court’s priority policy can be found on the Court’s internet site http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority_policy_ENG.pdf

General information

Table 1 **General statistical information**

1. Applications allocated to a judicial formation [round figures (50)]	2019	2018	+/-
Applications allocated	44500	43100	3%

2. Interim procedural events	2019	2018	+/-
Applications communicated to respondent Government	6442	7646	-16%

3. Applications decided	2019	2018	+/-
By decision or judgment	40667	42761	-5%
- by judgment delivered	2187	2739	-20%
- by decision (inadmissible or struck out)	38480	40022	-4%

4. Pending applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2019	1/1/2019	+/-
Applications pending before a judicial formation	59800	56350	6%
- Chamber and Grand Chamber	20050	22250	-10%
- Committee	34600	29350	18%
- Single-Judge formation	5150	4750	8%

5. Pre-judicial applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2019	1/1/2019	+/-
Applications at a pre-judicial stage	8800	9750	-10%
	2019	2018	+/-
Applications disposed of administratively	20400	19550	4%

Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation³

Chart 1 Applications allocated to a judicial formation per year





Chart 2 Applications pending before a judicial formation



³ Figures represent the total number of applications, including joined applications. The document generally gives round figures.

Chart 3 High case-count States






Chart 4 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation⁴



⁴ The “Pending Government action” category was created to monitor applications following a judgment applying the pilot procedure.

Chart 5 The Court’s total caseload by category



Processing of applications

Chart 6 Major procedural steps in processing of applications




Chart 7 Number of judgments since 2009




Chart 8 Applications in categories I, II and III processed from 2016 to 2019




Chart 9 Applications disposed of judicially in 2019




Chart 10 Evolution of the friendly settlements and unilateral declarations since 2009




Table 2 Applications allocated per Contracting State and population

State	Applications allocated to a judicial formation				Population (1000)				Allocated/population (10000)			
	2016	2017	2018	2019	1.1.2016	1.1.2017	1.1.2018	1.1.2019	2016	2017	2018	2019
Albania	146	95	99	88	2886	2886	2870	2862	0,51	0,33	0,34	0,31
Andorra	4	2	3	6	72	73	75	76	0,56	0,27	0,40	0,79
Armenia	753	356	167	148	2999	2986	2973	2965	2,51	1,19	0,56	0,50
Austria	236	228	239	199	8690	8773	8822	8859	0,27	0,26	0,27	0,22
Azerbaijan	331	679	313	397	9706	9810	9898	9898	0,34	0,69	0,32	0,40
Belgium	184	153	177	139	11311	11366	11399	11468	0,16	0,13	0,16	0,12
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1030	866	898	1784	3516	3510	3502	3502	2,93	2,47	2,56	5,09
Bulgaria	882	582	774	750	7154	7102	7050	7000	1,23	0,82	1,10	1,07
Croatia	764	723	669	712	4191	4154	4105	4076	1,82	1,74	1,63	1,75
Cyprus	33	31	52	45	848	855	864	876	0,39	0,36	0,60	0,51
Czech Republic	338	385	349	300	10554	10579	10610	10650	0,32	0,36	0,33	0,28
Denmark	47	58	37	59	5707	5749	5781	5806	0,08	0,10	0,06	0,10
Estonia	206	156	132	121	1316	1316	1319	1325	1,57	1,19	1,00	0,91
Finland	196	181	174	131	5487	5503	5513	5518	0,36	0,33	0,32	0,24
France	916	887	871	693	66760	67024	66926	67028	0,14	0,13	0,13	0,10
Georgia	74	89	99	131	3720	3718	3730	3723	0,20	0,24	0,27	0,35
Germany	676	586	489	584	82176	82800	82792	83019	0,08	0,07	0,06	0,07
Greece	337	422	420	344	10784	10757	10741	10722	0,31	0,39	0,39	0,32
Hungary	5568	1952	902	950	9830	9798	9778	9773	5,66	1,99	0,92	0,97
Iceland	24	27	24	40	333	338	348	357	0,72	0,80	0,69	1,12
Ireland	26	54	30	37	4725	4775	4830	4904	0,06	0,11	0,06	0,08
Italy	1409	1374	1692	1454	60666	60589	60484	60360	0,23	0,23	0,28	0,24
Latvia	255	275	259	233	1969	1950	1934	1920	1,30	1,41	1,34	1,21
Liechtenstein	10	9	9	6	38	38	38	38	2,63	2,37	2,37	1,58
Lithuania	405	401	438	396	2889	2848	2809	2794	1,40	1,41	1,56	1,42
Luxembourg	38	38	35	23	576	591	602	614	0,66	0,64	0,58	0,37
Malta	25	22	30	35	434	440	476	494	0,58	0,50	0,63	0,71
Republic of Moldova	834	758	814	635	3553	3553	3547	3547	2,35	2,13	2,29	1,79
Monaco	6	7	5	8	38	38	38	38	1,58	1,84	1,32	2,11
Montenegro	165	138	318	427	622	622	622	622	2,65	2,22	5,11	6,86
Netherlands	494	532	429	400	16979	17082	17181	17282	0,29	0,31	0,25	0,23
North Macedonia	339	345	305	262	2071	2074	2075	2077	1,64	1,66	1,47	1,26
Norway	90	123	84	102	5214	5258	5296	5328	0,17	0,23	0,16	0,19
Poland	2422	2066	1941	1834	37967	37973	37977	37973	0,64	0,54	0,51	0,48
Portugal	152	197	149	188	10341	10310	10291	10277	0,15	0,19	0,14	0,18
Romania	8192	6509	3369	2656	19760	19638	19531	19402	4,15	3,31	1,72	1,37
Russia	5587	7957	12148	12782	143667	143667	143667	143667	0,39	0,55	0,85	0,89
San Marino	13	11	4	10	33	33	34	35	3,94	3,33	1,18	2,86
Serbia	1330	1431	2128	2160	7076	7040	7001	6964	1,88	2,03	3,04	3,10
Slovakia	309	425	390	300	5426	5435	5443	5450	0,57	0,78	0,72	0,55
Slovenia	239	374	274	210	2064	2066	2067	2081	1,16	1,81	1,33	1,01
Spain	627	669	592	606	46440	46529	46659	46935	0,14	0,14	0,13	0,13
Sweden	138	150	194	209	9851	9995	10120	10230	0,14	0,15	0,19	0,20
Switzerland	258	266	272	279	8327	8418	8484	8542	0,31	0,32	0,32	0,33
Turkey	8303	25978	6717	7274	78741	79815	80810	82004	1,05	3,25	0,83	0,89
Ukraine	8644	4387	3207	3991	45246	45246	45246	45246	1,91	0,97	0,71	0,88
United Kingdom	372	415	354	344	65383	65809	66274	66647	0,06	0,06	0,05	0,05
Total	53427	63369	43075	44482	828136	830929	832632	834974	0,65	0,76	0,52	0,53

SOURCES 2014 and 2019: Internet sites of United Nations Statistics Division and Eurostat service ("Population and social conditions")

The Council of Europe member States had a combined population of about 835 million inhabitants on 1 January 2019. The average number of applications allocated per 10,000 inhabitants was 0.53 in 2019.

Table 3 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation

State	Single Judge or Committee (cat. VI - VII)	Chamber or Committee - awaiting first examination (cat. I - V)	Communicated	Pending Government action	Admissible	TOTAL
Albania	7	387	215	0	1	610
Andorra	0	0	1	0	0	1
Armenia	86	1359	186	0	4	1635
Austria	18	23	25	0	1	67
Azerbaijan	24	1320	602	0	12	1958
Belgium	18	154	104	0	5	281
Bosnia and Herzegovina	89	1097	403	0	6	1595
Bulgaria	50	301	146	0	6	503
Croatia	115	160	236	0	3	514
Cyprus	4	33	16	0	0	53
Czech Republic	32	35	55	0	0	122
Denmark	10	2	9	0	1	22
Estonia	7	10	26	0	0	43
Finland	7	4	7	0	1	19
France	111	255	108	0	35	509
Georgia	40	438	119	0	3	600
Germany	93	45	42	0	4	184
Greece	14	459	245	0	9	727
Hungary	120	100	266	0	14	500
Iceland	4	48	16	0	3	71
Ireland	6	10	7	0	0	23
Italy	125	2469	458	13	6	3071
Latvia	76	94	43	0	1	214
Liechtenstein	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lithuania	67	33	40	0	10	150
Luxembourg	2	3	5	0	0	10
Malta	0	22	16	0	4	42
Republic of Moldova	44	687	301	7	17	1056
Monaco	3	5	2	0	0	10
Montenegro	62	7	28	0	2	99
Netherlands	80	68	38	0	2	188
North Macedonia	35	185	120	0	5	345
Norway	12	1	34	0	3	50
Poland	354	502	387	0	2	1245
Portugal	9	123	80	0	2	214
Romania	151	740	2048	4934	10	7883
Russia	997	8510	5377	7	180	15071
San Marino	0	7	2	0	4	13
Serbia	504	766	74	0	4	1348
Slovakia	28	54	57	0	6	145
Slovenia	30	15	23	0	0	68
Spain	83	17	58	0	4	162
Sweden	31	13	8	0	1	53
Switzerland	38	52	45	0	2	137
Turkey	1291	6031	1898	0	37	9257
Ukraine	250	7639	921	0	23	8833
United Kingdom	32	44	28	0	7	111
ALL STATES	5159	34327	14926	4961	440	59813


Table 4 Unilateral declarations and friendly settlements from 2017 to 2019

State	Unilateral declaration			Friendly settlement		
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019
Albania	2			2		1
Andorra						
Armenia		1	1			1
Austria				2	3	2
Azerbaijan		1	4	4		6
Belgium		9		2	2	17
Bosnia and Herzegovina				5	6	3
Bulgaria	1	2		5	4	8
Croatia	2			5	6	5
Cyprus		1				
Czech Republic					1	
Denmark						
Estonia				1		
Finland						1
France		2	3	6	2	3
Georgia	7	5		1	1	1
Germany	1	4	4	1		4
Greece	20	26	11	115	27	60
Hungary	2	10	33	83	456	429
Iceland						
Ireland	1					
Italy	17	273	1016	36	243	298
Latvia	4			2		
Liechtenstein						
Lithuania	4	4	2	4	21	5
Luxembourg			1			
Malta	1	1	3	1		6
Republic of Moldova		4	7	1	11	2
Monaco	1					
Montenegro	2	2	1	7	1	
Netherlands		2		2	2	
North Macedonia	2	2	5	5	10	1
Norway						
Poland	170	123	16	347	279	31
Portugal			1	6	13	7
Romania	46	141	80	221	691	123
Russia	202	98	189	305	151	430
San Marino						
Serbia	1	2	7	32	33	103
Slovakia	4	3	5	18	15	16
Slovenia	1	1		1		1
Spain				1		
Sweden						
Switzerland						1
Turkey	52	146	122	65	205	120
Ukraine	210	1		237		
United Kingdom		1		6	2	3
Grand Total	753	865	1511	1529	2185	1688


Country-specific information

Albania

Chart 11 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 12 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Andorra

Chart 13 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 14 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Armenia

Chart 15 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 16 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Austria

Chart 17 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 18 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Azerbaijan

Chart 19 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 20 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Belgium

Chart 21 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 22 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bosnia and Herzegovina

Chart 23 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 24 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bulgaria

Chart 25 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 26 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Croatia

Chart 27 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 28 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Cyprus

Chart 29 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 30 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Czech Republic

Chart 31 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 32 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Denmark

Chart 33 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 34 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Estonia

Chart 35 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 36 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Finland

Chart 37 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 38 Major procedural steps in processing applications




France

Chart 39 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 40 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Georgia

Chart 41 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 42 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Germany

Chart 43 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 44 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Greece

Chart 45 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 46 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Hungary

Chart 47 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 48 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Iceland

Chart 49 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 50 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ireland

Chart 51 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 52 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Italy

Chart 53 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 54 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Latvia

Chart 55 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 56 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Liechtenstein

Chart 57 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 58 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Lithuania

Chart 59 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 60 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Luxembourg

Chart 61 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 62 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Malta

Chart 63 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 64 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Republic of Moldova

Chart 65 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 66 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Monaco

Chart 67 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 68 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Montenegro

Chart 69 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 70 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Netherlands

Chart 71 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 72 Major procedural steps in processing applications




North Macedonia

Chart 73 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 74 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Norway

Chart 75 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 76 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Poland

Chart 77 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 78 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Portugal

Chart 79 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 80 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Romania

Chart 81 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 82 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Russian Federation

Chart 83 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 84 Major procedural steps in processing applications




San Marino

Chart 85 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 86 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Serbia

Chart 87 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 88 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovakia

Chart 89 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 90 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovenia

Chart 91 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 92 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Spain

Chart 93 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 94 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Sweden

Chart 95 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 96 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Switzerland

Chart 97 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 98 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Turkey

Chart 99 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 100 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ukraine

Chart 101 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 102 Major procedural steps in processing applications



United Kingdom

Chart 103 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body



Graph 104 Major procedural steps in processing applications

