



KEY CASES

2016



List of cases recommended
by the Jurisconsult and
approved by the Bureau

European Court of Human Rights

February 2017

NOTE ON CITATION

Cases are listed by reference to the relevant Convention Articles and alphabetically by applicant and by respondent State.

By default, all references are to Chamber judgments. Grand Chamber cases, whether judgments or decisions, are indicated by “[GC]”. Decisions are indicated by “(dec.)”.

Chamber judgments that are not yet “final” within the meaning of Article 44 of the Convention are marked “(not final)”. In the event that any such judgment is accepted for referral to the Grand Chamber in accordance with Article 43, it will not be included in the present list.

For information on the manner of citing the Court’s case-law, please see [here](#).

The Court reserves the right to report some or all of the judgments and decisions listed below in the form of extracts. The full original language version or versions of any such judgment or decision will remain available for consultation in the HUDOC database

Article 44 § 2

The judgment of a Chamber shall become final

- (a) when the parties declare that they will not request that the case be referred to the Grand Chamber; or
- (b) three months after the date of the judgment, if reference of the case to the Grand Chamber has not been requested; or
- (c) when the panel of the Grand Chamber rejects the request to refer under Article 43.

Article 43

1. Within a period of three months from the date of the judgment of the Chamber, any party to the case may, in exceptional cases, request that the case be referred to the Grand Chamber.
2. A panel of five judges of the Grand Chamber shall accept the request if the case raises a serious question affecting the interpretation or application of the Convention or the Protocols thereto, or a serious issue of general importance.
3. If the panel accepts the request, the Grand Chamber shall decide the case by means of a judgment.

Contents

CASES BY ARTICLE	4
CASES BY APPLICANT	12
CASES BY STATE	14

Cases by article

ARTICLE 1

JURISDICTION OF STATES

Jurisdiction of Moldovan and Russian Governments in relation to person detained within separatist region of the Republic of Moldova

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 2

EXPULSION

Proposed expulsion to Iran of low-profile political activist: *deportation would not constitute a violation*

Proposed expulsion to Iran without adequate investigation of reality and implications of conversion to Christianity after arrival in Europe: *deportation would constitute a violation*

F.G. v. Sweden [GC], no. 43611/11, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 2 § 1

EFFECTIVE INVESTIGATION

Alleged failure to conduct effective investigation into fatal shooting of person mistakenly identified as suspected terrorist: *no violation*

Armani da Silva v. the United Kingdom [GC], no. 5878/08, 30.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 3

DEGRADING TREATMENT

Limited personal space in multi-occupancy prison accommodation: *violation; no violations*

Muršić v. Croatia [GC], no. 7334/13, 20.10.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

DEGRADING TREATMENT | INHUMAN TREATMENT

Conditions of detention and lack of medical assistance in separatist region of the Republic of Moldova: *no violation; violation*

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

DEGRADING TREATMENT | INHUMAN TREATMENT

Failure to provide adequate medical care for minor during detention to “correct his behaviour”: *violation*

Blokhin v. Russia [GC], no. 47152/06, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

EFFECTIVE INVESTIGATION | POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS (PROCEDURAL ASPECT)

Refusal to reopen criminal proceedings in respect of which Government had submitted unilateral declaration: *violation*

Jeronovičs v. Latvia [GC], no. 44898/10, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

EXPULSION

Proposed expulsion to Iran of low-profile political activist: *deportation would not constitute a violation*

Proposed expulsion to Iran without adequate investigation of reality and implications of conversion to Christianity after arrival in Europe: *deportation would constitute a violation*

F.G. v. Sweden [GC], no. 43611/11, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

EXPULSION

Proposed deportation to Iraq of family threatened by al-Qaeda: *deportation would constitute a violation*

J.K. and Others v. Sweden [GC], no. 59166/12, 23.8.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

EXPULSION

Proposed deportation of person suffering from serious illness to his country of origin in face of doubts as to the availability of appropriate medical treatment there: *expulsion would have constituted a violation*

Paposhvili v. Belgium [GC], no. 41738/10, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

INHUMAN OR DEGRADING PUNISHMENT

De facto irreducibility of life sentence imposed on prisoner suffering from mental illness: *violation*

Murray v. the Netherlands [GC], no. 10511/10, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 4

Article 4 § 3 (a)

WORK REQUIRED OF DETAINEES

Continuing obligation on prisoner to work after reaching retirement age: *no violation*

Meier v. Switzerland, no. 10109/14, 9.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 5

Article 5 § 1

LAWFUL ARREST OR DETENTION

Detention ordered by “courts” of separatist region of the Republic of Moldova: *no violation; violation*

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 5 § 1 (d)

EDUCATIONAL SUPERVISION

Thirty-day placement of minor in detention centre for young offenders to “correct his behaviour”: *violation*

Blokhin v. Russia [GC], no. 47152/06, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 5 § 3

REASONABLENESS OF PRE-TRIAL DETENTION

Absence of relevant and sufficient reasons for pre-trial detention other than reasonable suspicion of commission of an offence: *violation*

Buzadji v. the Republic of Moldova [GC], no. 23755/07, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 6

Article 6 § 1 (civil)

ACCESS TO COURT

Decision regarding restitution of places of worship based on “wishes of the adherents of the communities which owned the properties”: *no violation*

FAIR HEARING

Profound and lasting divergences in the case-law of a superior court and failure to use mechanism designed to avoid case-law conflict: *violation*

Lupeni Greek Catholic Church and Others v. Romania [GC], no. 76943/11, 29.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

CIVIL RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS | CIVIL ACCESS TO COURT

Sanctions imposed on applicants on basis of UN Security Council resolutions: *violation*

Al-Dulimi and Montagna Management Inc. v. Switzerland [GC], no. 5809/08, 21.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

CIVIL RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS | ACCESS TO COURT

Inability of Supreme Court President to contest premature termination of his mandate: *Article 6 applicable; violation*

Baka v. Hungary [GC], no. 20261/12, 23.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

FAIR HEARING | EQUALITY OF ARMS

Enforcement in Latvia of judgment delivered in Cyprus in the debtor's absence: *no violation*

Avotiņš v. Latvia [GC], no. 17502/07, 23.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 6 § 1 (criminal)

CRIMINAL CHARGE | FAIR HEARING

Lack of adequate procedural guarantees in proceedings leading to minor's placement in detention centre for young offenders to "correct his behaviour": *Article 6 applicable*

Blokhin v. Russia [GC], no. 47152/06, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

FAIR HEARING

Adequate procedural safeguards in place to enable accused to understand reasons for jury's guilty verdict in assize court: *no violation*

Lhermitte v. Belgium [GC], no. 34238/09, 29.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 6 § 3

RIGHTS OF DEFENCE

Lack of adequate procedural guarantees in proceedings leading to minor's placement in detention centre for young offenders to "correct his behaviour": *violation*

Blokhin v. Russia [GC], no. 47152/06, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 6 § 3 (c)

DEFENCE THROUGH LEGAL ASSISTANCE

Delayed access to a lawyer during police questioning owing to exceptionally serious and imminent threat to public safety: *violation; no violation*

Ibrahim and Others v. the United Kingdom [GC], nos. 50541/08 and 3 others, 13.9.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 8

EXPULSION

Proposed deportation of person suffering from serious illness to his country of origin in face of doubts as to the availability of appropriate medical treatment there: *expulsion would have constituted a violation*

Paposhvili v. Belgium [GC], no. 41738/10, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

RESPECT FOR PRIVATE LIFE

Withdrawal of citizenship following annulment of simulated marriage: *no violation*

Ramadan v. Malta, no. 76136/12, 21.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

RESPECT FOR PRIVATE LIFE

Legislation preventing health professionals assisting with home births: *no violation*

Dubská and Krejzová v. the Czech Republic [GC], nos. 28859/11 and 28473/12, 15.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 8 § 1

RESPECT FOR FAMILY LIFE | RESPECT FOR PRIVATE LIFE

Restrictions on visits by family members and on right to converse in own language in prison in separatist region of the Republic of Moldova: *no violation; violation*

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 9

FREEDOM OF RELIGION | MANIFEST RELIGION OR BELIEF

Refusal to provide public religious services to members of Alevi faith: *violation*

İzzettin Doğan and Others v. Turkey [GC], no. 62649/10, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 9 § 1

FREEDOM OF RELIGION

Refusal by prison authorities in separatist region of the Republic of Moldova to allow prisoner to see pastor: *no violation; violation*

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 10

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

Fine imposed on opposition MPs for showing billboards and using a megaphone during parliamentary votes: *violation*

Karácsony and Others v. Hungary [GC], nos. 42461/13 and 44357/13, 17.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

Premature termination of Supreme Court President's mandate as a result of views expressed publicly in his professional capacity: *violation*

Baka v. Hungary [GC], no. 20261/12, 23.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Article 10 § 1

FREEDOM TO IMPART INFORMATION | FREEDOM TO RECEIVE INFORMATION

Conviction of a journalist for the publication of materials covered by the secrecy of a pending investigation: *no violation*

Bédat v. Switzerland [GC], no. 56925/08, 29.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

FREEDOM TO RECEIVE INFORMATION

Authorities' refusal to provide an NGO conducting a survey with the names of public defenders and the number of their appointments: *violation*

Magyar Helsinki Bizottság v. Hungary [GC], no. 18030/11, 8.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 11

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION

Legally unforeseeable and thus unlawful confiscation of political party's assets: *violation*

Cumhuriyet Halk Partisi v. Turkey, no. 19920/13, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

FREEDOM OF PEACEFUL ASSEMBLY

Authorities' failure to communicate with the leaders of a protest demonstration in order to ensure its peaceful conduct: *violation*

Frumkin v. Russia, no. 74568/12, 5.1.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 13

EFFECTIVE REMEDY

Lack of effective domestic remedies to complain of breach of Convention rights for person detained in separatist region of the Republic of Moldova: *no violation; violation*

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

EFFECTIVE REMEDY

Lack of suspensive effect of remedy for alleged collective expulsion: *no violation*

Khlaifia and Others v. Italy [GC], no. 16483/12, 15.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 14

DISCRIMINATION (ARTICLE 8)

More favourable conditions for family reunion applying to persons who had held Danish citizenship for at least 28 years: *violation*

Biao v. Denmark [GC], no. 38590/10, 24.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

DISCRIMINATION (ARTICLE 9)

Difference in treatment between members of Alevi faith and citizens adhering to majority branch of Islam: *violation*

İzzettin Doğan and Others v. Turkey [GC], no. 62649/10, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

DISCRIMINATION (ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL No. 1)

Failure to take account of the needs of child with disabilities when determining applicant father's eligibility for tax relief on the purchase of suitably adapted property: *violation*

Guberina v. Croatia, no. 23682/13, 22.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 35

Article 35 § 1

EXHAUSTION OF DOMESTIC REMEDIES | EFFECTIVE DOMESTIC REMEDY

Application for compensation under Law no. 1545 (1998) in Moldova not effective remedy in respect of unlawful detention in separatist region: *preliminary objection dismissed*

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 37

SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING FURTHER EXAMINATION

Procedural issues justifying continued examination of complaint despite expiration of deportation order: *request to strike out dismissed*

F.G. v. Sweden [GC], no. 43611/11, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

STRIKING OUT APPLICATIONS

Continuing obligation of the respondent State to investigate Article 3 complaints even following a decision striking out the complaint following a unilateral declaration

Jeronovičs v. Latvia [GC], no. 44898/10, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL No. 1

PEACEFUL ENJOYMENT OF POSSESSIONS

Loss of disability benefits due to newly introduced eligibility criteria: *violation*

Béla Né Nagy v. Hungary [GC], no. 53080/13, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 4 OF PROTOCOL No. 4

PROHIBITION OF COLLECTIVE EXPULSION OF ALIENS

Removal to Tunisia of large group of Tunisian sea-migrants after each had been identified individually and allowed to raise any personal objections: *no violation*

Khlaifia and Others v. Italy [GC], no. 16483/12, 15.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ARTICLE 4 OF PROTOCOL No. 7

RIGHT NOT TO BE TRIED OR PUNISHED TWICE

Parallel administrative and criminal proceedings in respect of the same conduct: *no violation*

A and B v. Norway [GC], nos. 24130/11 and 29758/11, 15.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Cases by applicant

A

A and B v. Norway [GC], nos. 24130/11 and 29758/11, 15.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Al-Dulimi and Montagna Management Inc. v. Switzerland [GC], no. 5809/08, 21.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Armani da Silva v. the United Kingdom [GC], no. 5878/08, 30.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Avotiņš v. Latvia [GC], no. 17502/07, 23.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

B

Baka v. Hungary [GC], no. 20261/12, 23.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Bédat v. Switzerland [GC], no. 56925/08, 29.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Bélné Nagy v. Hungary [GC], no. 53080/13, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Biao v. Denmark [GC], no. 38590/10, 24.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Blokhin v. Russia [GC], no. 47152/06, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Buzadji v. the Republic of Moldova [GC], no. 23755/07, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

C

Cumhuriyet Halk Partisi v. Turkey, no. 19920/13, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

D

Dubská and Krejzová v. the Czech Republic [GC], nos. 28859/11 and 28473/12, 15.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

F

F.G. v. Sweden [GC], no. 43611/11, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Frumkin v. Russia, no. 74568/12, 5.1.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

G

Guberina v. Croatia, no. 23682/13, 22.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

I

Ibrahim and Others v. the United Kingdom [GC], nos. 50541/08 and 3 others, 13.9.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

İzzettin Doğan and Others v. Turkey [GC], no. 62649/10, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

J

J.K. and Others v. Sweden [GC], no. 59166/12, 23.8.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Jeronovičs v. Latvia [GC], no. 44898/10, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

K

Karácsony and Others v. Hungary [GC], nos. 42461/13 and 44357/13, 17.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Khlaifia and Others v. Italy [GC], no. 16483/12, 15.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

L

Lhermitte v. Belgium [GC], no. 34238/09, 29.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Lupeni Greek Catholic Church and Others v. Romania [GC], no. 76943/11, 29.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

M

Magyar Helsinki Bizottság v. Hungary
[GC], no. 18030/11, 8.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Meier v. Switzerland, no. 10109/14, 9.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

**Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and
Russia** [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Murray v. the Netherlands [GC],
no. 10511/10, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Muršić v. Croatia [GC], no. 7334/13, 20.10.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

P

Paposhvili v. Belgium [GC],
no. 41738/10, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

R

Ramadan v. Malta, no. 76136/12, 21.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Cases by State

BELGIUM

Lhermitte v. Belgium [GC], no. 34238/09, 29.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Paposhvili v. Belgium [GC],
no. 41738/10, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

CROATIA

Guberina v. Croatia, no. 23682/13, 22.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Muršić v. Croatia [GC], no. 7334/13, 20.10.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

CZECH REPUBLIC

Dubská and Krejzová v. the Czech Republic
[GC], nos. 28859/11 and 28473/12, 15.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

DENMARK

Biao v. Denmark [GC], no. 38590/10, 24.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

HUNGARY

Baka v. Hungary [GC], no. 20261/12, 23.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Bélané Nagy v. Hungary [GC],
no. 53080/13, 13.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Karácsony and Others v. Hungary [GC],
nos. 42461/13 and 44357/13, 17.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Magyar Helsinki Bizottság v. Hungary
[GC], no. 18030/11, 8.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ITALY

Khlaifia and Others v. Italy [GC],
no. 16483/12, 15.12.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

LATVIA

Avotiņš v. Latvia [GC], no. 17502/07, 23.5.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Jeronovičs v. Latvia [GC], no. 44898/10, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

MALTA

Ramadan v. Malta, no. 76136/12, 21.6.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

Buzadji v. the Republic of Moldova
[GC], no. 23755/07, 5.7.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Mozer v. the Republic of Moldova and
Russia [GC], no. 11138/10, 23.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

NETHERLANDS

Murray v. the Netherlands [GC],
no. 10511/10, 26.4.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

NORWAY

A and B v. Norway [GC], nos. 24130/11
and 29758/11, 15.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

ROMANIA

Lupeni Greek Catholic Church and Others
v. Romania [GC], no. 76943/11, 29.11.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

RUSSIA

Blokhin v. Russia [GC], no. 47152/06, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Frumkin v. Russia, no. 74568/12, 5.1.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

SWEDEN

F.G. v. Sweden [GC], no. 43611/11, 23.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

**J.K. and Others v. Sweden [GC],
no. 59166/12, 23.8.2016**

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

SWITZERLAND

**Al-Dulimi and Montagna Management Inc.
v. Switzerland [GC], no. 5809/08, 21.6.2016**

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Bédat v. Switzerland [GC], no. 56925/08, 29.3.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

Meier v. Switzerland, no. 10109/14, 9.2.2016

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

TURKEY

**Cumhuriyet Halk Partisi v. Turkey,
no. 19920/13, 26.4.2016**

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

**İzzettin Doğan and Others v. Turkey
[GC], no. 62649/10, 26.4.2016**

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

UNITED KINGDOM

**Armani da Silva v. the United Kingdom
[GC], no. 5878/08, 30.3.2016**

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)

**Ibrahim and Others v. the United Kingdom
[GC], nos. 50541/08 and 3 others, 13.9.2016**

[Judgment](#) | [Legal summary](#)