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Contradictory court judgments on applications seeking the closure of a rival commercial structure: Article 6 applicable; violation

Fair hearing
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Access to court

Retroactive reimbursement denied to taxpayers who had not challenged a social contribution before it was declared unconstitutional: inadmissible
Frantzeskaki and Others v. Greece (dec.), 57275/17 et al., 12 February 2019 ........................................................................................................... no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
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25 June 2019 ................................................................................................................. no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary

Martinez Agirre and Others v. Spain (dec.), 75529/16 et al.,  
25 June 2019 ................................................................................................................. no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary
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Rook v. Germany, 1586/15, 25 July 2019 ........................................................................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Adequate facilities
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Knox v. Italy, 76577/13, 24 January 2019 ..................................................................... no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary
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Nullum crimen sine lege
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Drelingas v. Lithuania, 28859/16, 12 March 2019 ........................................ no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

Alleged unforeseeability of fine imposed by trial court on "defence counsel" and its amount: case referred to the Grand Chamber

Gestur Jónsson and Ragnar Halldór Hall v. Iceland, 68273/14 and 68271/14 (Chamber judgment of 30 October 2018) ........................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
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Alleged unforeseeability of fine imposed by trial court on "defence counsel" and its amount: case referred to the Grand Chamber

Gestur Jónsson and Ragnar Halldór Hall v. Iceland, 68273/14 and 68271/14 (Chamber judgment of 30 October 2018) ........................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
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Rola v. Slovenia, 12096/14 and 39335/16, 4 June 2019 ........................................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary
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Ozdil and Others v. the Republic of Moldova, 42305/18, 11 June 2019 ................................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary
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Mortier v. Belgium, 78017/17 .......................................................... no. 225 | Communication | Legal summary
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Refusal by the authorities to authorise transfer abroad of gametes from the applicant’s partner for post mortem insemination: communicated

Dalleau v. France, 57307/18 .......................................................... no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary
Respect for private life

Advisory opinion concerning the recognition in domestic law of a legal parent-child relationship between a child born through a gestational surrogacy arrangement abroad and the intended mother

*Advisory opinion requested by the French Court of Cassation (GC), P16-2018-001, 10 April 2019...............................no. 228 | Opinion | Legal summary*

Alleged failure to conduct effective investigation into road-traffic accident in which an individual sustained unintentional life-threatening injuries: *Article 8 not applicable*

*Nicolae Virgiliu Tănase v. Romania (GC), 41720/13, 25 June 2019...........no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Convention compliance of secret surveillance regime including the bulk interception of external communications: *case referred to the Grand Chamber*

*Big Brother Watch and Others v. the United Kingdom, 58170/13 et al. (Chamber judgment of 13 September 2018)..............................no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Allegations of sexual abuse in an orphanage found not to be corroborated by the investigation file or the preventive measures implemented there: *case referred to the Grand Chamber*

*X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16 (Chamber judgment of 17 January 2019).................................................................no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Failure to effectively investigate serious interferences into well-known journalist’s private life: violations

*Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan, 65286/13 and 57270/14, 10 January 2019.............................................................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Allegations of sexual abuse in an orphanage not corroborated by the investigation file or the preventive measures implemented there: *no violation*

*X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16, 17 January 2019......................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Retention of peaceful campaigner’s data on police database: *violation*

*Catt v. the United Kingdom, 43514/15, 24 January 2019.......................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Lack of reaction to air pollution by a steelworks, to the detriment of the surrounding population’s health: *violation*

*Cordella and Others v. Italy, 54414/13 and 54264/15, 24 January 2019....no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Order to provide genetic sample in context of paternity proceedings: *no violation*

*Mifsud v. Malta, 62257/15, 29 January 2019...........................................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Power of border control officials to stop and question without suspicion or access to lawyer: *violation*

*Beghal v. the United Kingdom, 4755/16, 28 February 2019.................no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Internet news portal found not liable for sexist remarks posted on its site by anonymous third parties: *no violation*

*Høiness v. Norway, 43624/14, 19 March 2019.......................................no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Official present during consultations between prisoner and his lawyer: *violation*

*Altay v. Turkey (no. 2), 11236/09, 9 April 2019........................................no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary*

Newspaper article disseminating photograph of suspect along with statement accusing him of criminal conduct: *no violation*

*Mityanin and Leonov v. Russia, 11436/06 and 22912/06, 7 May 2019.......no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary*
Refusal to register a change in a candidate’s self-declared ethnicity in a period of election, with no foreseeable legal basis: violation

Tasev v. North Macedonia, 9825/13, 16 May 2019 ............................................... no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Refusal to appoint a teacher to a post abroad because his wife wore a headscarf: violation

Yılmaz v. Turkey, 36607/06, 4 June 2019 .................................................................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Domestic law lacking safeguards against abuse with respect to permanent video surveillance of detainees in their cells: violation

Gorlov and Others v. Russia, 27057/06 et al., 2 July 2019 ....................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Dam construction threatening important archaeological site: inadmissible

Ahunbay and Others v. Turkey (dec.), 6080/06, 29 January 2019 ................................................................. no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary

Alleged failures of public authorities in respect of applicants' homes water-supply contamination caused by their private developers: inadmissible

Tolić and Others v. Croatia (dec.), 13482/15 et al., 4 June 2019 ...................... no. 230 | Decision | Legal summary

Lack of access to a private cinema for a disabled person wishing to see a film not being shown in cinemas with disabled access: Article 8 not applicable; inadmissible

Glaisen v. Switzerland (dec.), 40477/13, 25 June 2019 ............................................ no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary

LGBT rights film screening interrupted by group shouting homophobic abuse: communicated

Association ACCEPT and Others v. Romania, 19237/16 .................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

Interview with minor broadcast without prior parental consent: communicated

Țurțulea v. Romania, 35582/15 ............................................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

Disclosure of the private email correspondence of two civil servants following management checks concerning the applicant’s correspondent: communicated

Kılıçoğlu v. Turkey, 38861/09................................................................. no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Use of surgical symphysiotomy in Irish maternity hospitals: communicated

Farrell v. Ireland, 62007/17, .................................................................. no. 228 | Communication | Legal summary

Alleged lack of legal basis for the withdrawal of the right to drive motor vehicles: communicated

S.R. v. Norway, 43927/17.................................................................. no. 228 | Communication | Legal summary

Refusal to allow a homosexual prisoner to have conjugal visits: communicated

Duță v. Romania, 8783/15................................................................. no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary

Respect for family life

Temporary placement in children’s home due to parents’ refusal to send children to school: no violation

Wunderlich v. Germany, 18925/15, 10 January 2019 ........................................ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Applicant excluded from his granddaughter’s life after her adoption: violation

Bogonosovy v. Russia, 38201/16, 5 March 2019 ........................................ no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

Transfer of child back to biological parents after nine years in care of foster mother: no violation

Foster mother and children denied access to child following his transfer to biological parents after nine years in foster care: violation

V.D. and Others v. Russia, 72931/10, 9 April 2019........................................ no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Refusal to allow a prisoner convicted of terrorist offences to leave prison under escort to pay her respects to her late father: no violation
Guimon v. France, 48798/14, 11 April 2019 ................................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

Restrictions on the length and conditions of family visits to a person held in detention in a remand prison: violation
Chaldyev v. Russia, 33172/16, 28 May 2019 .................................................................... no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Pre-adoption foster placement of child despite father’s acquittal for domestic violence and his regained custody of the child’s elder brothers: violation
Haddad v. Spain, 16572/17, 18 June 2019 ........................................................................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Respect for home
Inspection by planning officials of partially furnished residential premises, without prior consent from the occupier or judicial authorisation: violation
Halabi v. France, 66554/14, 16 May 2019 ........................................................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

-ooo-

Alleged failures of public authorities in respect of applicants’ homes water-supply contamination caused by their private developers: inadmissible
Tolić and Others v. Croatia (dec.), 13482/15 et al., 4 June 2019 ........................................ no. 230 | Decision | Legal summary

Respect for correspondence
Proportionality and safeguards of Swedish legislation on signals intelligence: case referred to the Grand Chamber
Centrum för rättvisa v. Sweden, 35252/08 (Chamber judgment of 19 June 2018) ............................................................... no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary

-ooo-

Disclosure of the private email correspondence of two civil servants following management checks concerning the applicant’s correspondent: communicated
Kılıçoğlu v. Turkey, 38861/09 ............................................................................................ no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Positive obligations
Allegations of sexual abuse in an orphanage found not to be corroborated by the investigation file or the preventive measures implemented there: case referred to the Grand Chamber
X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16 (Chamber judgment of 17 January 2019) ....................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

-ooo-

Failure to effectively investigate serious interferences into well-known journalist’s private life: violations
Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan, 65286/13 and 57270/14, 10 January 2019 ........................................................ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Allegations of sexual abuse in an orphanage not corroborated by the investigation file or the preventive measures implemented there: no violation
X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16, 17 January 2019 .......................................................... no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Pre-adoption foster placement of child despite father’s acquittal for domestic violence and his regained custody of the child’s elder brothers: violation
Haddad v. Spain, 16572/17, 18 June 2019 ........................................................................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary
Refusal to change surname on the sole grounds that the new name requested is not Turkish: violation

*Aktaş and Aslaniskender v. Turkey, 18684/07 and 21101/07,* 25 June 2019 .................................................................................................................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

---

Alleged failures of public authorities in respect of applicants' homes water-supply contamination caused by their private developers: inadmissible

*Tolić and Others v. Croatia (dec.), 13482/15 et al., 4 June 2019...............no. 230 | Decision | Legal summary

**Expulsion**

Review of proportionality overly superficial in the expulsion of a convicted person who had become an invalid dependent on his children: *expulsion would constitute a violation*

*I.M. v. Switzerland, 23887/16, 9 April 2019.................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

---

**ARTICLE 9**

**Freedom of religion**

Criminal conviction for subjecting children to purportedly excessive religious practices: *communicated*

*Cupiał v. Poland, 67414/11.................................................................. no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

---

**ARTICLE 10**

**Freedom of expression**

Defamation for referring to commercial dispute of candidate in parliamentary elections: *no violation*

*Prunea v. Romania, 47881/11, 8 January 2019..............................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Prolonging of numerous sets of criminal proceedings against a newspaper proprietor, subsequently acquitted, for publishing statements by terrorist organisations: *violation*

*Ali Gürbüz v. Turkey, 52497/08 et al., 12 March 2019..................no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

Ban on access to means of communication during house arrest without connection to requirements of criminal investigation: *violation*

*Navalnyy v. Russia (no. 2), 43734/14, 9 April 2019...............................no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

---

Football player’s conviction of minor offence for provocative chanting of an official greeting of a fascist movement and totalitarian regime: *inadmissible*

*Šimunić v. Croatia (dec.), 20373/17, 22 January 2019..................no. 225 | Decision | Legal summary

---

Lifting of immunity of an MP allegedly on the basis of her political opinions: *communicated*

*Kerestecioğlu Demir v. Turkey, 68136/16.................................................. no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Conviction for glorifying crime in slogans printed on a T-shirt worn by a three-year old at nursery school: *communicated*

*Z.B. v. France, 46883/15.................................................................no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary

Television station fined for broadcasting Hungarian programme without being translated into or subtitled in Slovak in breach of domestic law: *communicated*

*Július Pereszlényi-Servis TV-Video v. Slovakia, 25175/15..........no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary

---
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Freedom to impart information

Criminal proceedings against the management of a newspaper for having published statements by the leader of a terrorist organisation containing an implied threat of a resumption of violence: no violation

Gürbüz and Bayar v. Turkey, 8860/13, 23 July 2019 ........................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Positive obligations

Failure to protect journalist’s freedom of expression: violation

Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan, 65286/13 and 57270/14, 10 January 2019 ............................................................................................... no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

ARTICLE 11

Freedom of peaceful assembly

Courts’ failure to take into account confusion engendered by involvement of private security agents when convicting protesters of disobeying police orders: violation
State’s failure to ensure peaceful nature of protests through lack of clear rules on division of responsibility between police and private security guards: violation

Chernega and Others v. Ukraine, 74768/10, 18 June 2019 ......................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Criminal conviction for participation in a demonstration denied by accused constituted interference: violation

Zülküf Murat Kahraman v. Turkey, 65808/10, 16 July 2019 ......................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Suspension at the request of minority MPs of the convening of a regional parliament sitting in order to announce the results of an unconstitutional referendum: inadmissible

Forcadell i Lluis and Others v. Spain (dec.), 75147/17, 7 May 2019 .......... no. 229 | Decision | Legal summary

Freedom of association

Dissolution of an insolvent foundation unable to fulfil its registered aims: no violation

MİHR Foundation v. Turkey, 10814/07, 7 May 2019 ................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Refusal to register LGBT associations: violation

Zhdanov and Others v. Russia, 12200/08 et al., 16 July 2019 ................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Regulation of conflicts arising in case of multiple collective agreements applicable in one company: communicated

Angert and Others v. Germany, 12693/18
Ratih v. Germany, 14883/18 ........................................................................ no. 225 | Communication | Legal summary

ARTICLE 13

Effective remedy

Alleged ineffectiveness of a complaints procedure before a legislative assembly to deal with irregularities in the election of its own members: relinquishment in favour of the Grand Chamber

Mugemangango v. Belgium, 310/15 ................................................................. no. 230 | Legal summary

-ooo-
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Absence of effective remedy for allegations of inhuman conditions of transport of prisoners: *violation*

*Tomov and Others v. Russia, 18255/10 et al., 9 April 2019* .................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

Structural shortcoming which rendered ineffective an order to ensure that prisoners' basic needs were met during a strike in prisons: *violation*

*Clasens v. Belgium, 26564/16, 28 May 2019* ................................................................. no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Informal prisoner hierarchy allegedly tolerated by prison management: *communicated*

*A.S. and Others v. Russia, 45049/17* ................................................................. no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary

**ARTICLE 14**

**Discrimination (Article 3)**

Absence of legislation defining domestic violence and dealing with it at systemic level: *violation*

*Volodina v. Russia, 41261/17, 9 July 2019* ................................................................. no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Informal prisoner hierarchy allegedly tolerated by prison management: *communicated*

*A.S. and Others v. Russia, 45049/17* ................................................................. no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary

**Discrimination (Article 6)**

Retroactive reimbursement denied to taxpayers who had not challenged a social contribution before it was declared unconstitutional: *inadmissible*

*Frantzeskaki and Others v. Greece (dec.), 57275/17 et al., 12 February 2019* .................................................. no. 227 | Decision | Legal summary

**Discrimination (Article 8)**

Blanket ban on prison leave for male prisoners in closed prisons: *violation*

*Ēcīs v. Latvia, 12879/09, 10 January 2019* ................................................................. no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Difference of severity in regulations on visits to detainees between prisons and remand prisons: *violation*

*Chaldayev v. Russia, 33172/16, 28 May 2019* ................................................................. no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Failure to provide abortion free of charge: *communicated*

*A and B v. the United Kingdom, 80046/17* ................................................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

LGBT rights film screening interrupted by group shouting homophobic abuse: *communicated*

*Association ACCEPT and Others v. Romania, 19237/16* ................................................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

Female to male transsexual registered under former female forename and as child’s mother in birth register: *communicated*

*O.H. and G.H. v. Germany, 53568/18 and 54741/18* ................................................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

Refusal to issue birth certificate indicating both child’s parents as her mothers in case of adoption by biological mother’s partner in same-sex couple: *communicated*

*S.W. and Others v. Austria, 1928/19* ................................................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary
Refusal to include child of same-sex couple in register of civil status: communicated
A.D.-K. and Others v. Poland, 30806/15 ............................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Alleged discrimination in refusal to grant custody to child’s mother on grounds of her sexual orientation: communicated
A.S. v. Poland, 58012/10 ............................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Refusal to grant Polish nationality to children of same-sex couple born through surrogacy in USA: communicated
Schlittner-Hay v. Poland, 56846/15 and 56849/15 .............................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Alleged discrimination in removal of children from mother’s custody on grounds of her sexual orientation: communicated
X. v. Poland, 20741/10 .....................................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Social-security benefit refused to a foreign child who had entered the country legally, albeit by a procedure other than family reunification: communicated
Shiozaki v. France, 69802/17 ............................................................no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary

Refusal to exempt mentally ill alien seeking naturalisation from language and citizenship tests: communicated
Aziz Thamer Al-Ebrah v. Denmark, 32834/18 .....................................no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary

Refusal to allow a homosexual prisoner to have conjugal visits: communicated
Duţă v. Romania, 8783/15 .................................................................no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary

Discrimination (Article 10)

Television station fined for broadcasting Hungarian programme without being translated into or subtitled in Slovak in breach of domestic law: communicated
Július Pereszlényi-Servis TV-Video v. Slovakia, 25175/15 ....................no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary

Discrimination (Article 11)

Refusal to register LGBT associations: violation
Zhdanov and Others v. Russia, 12200/08 et al., 16 July 2019 ..............no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Discrimination (Article 1 of Protocol No. 1)

Alleged discrimination in provision of disability benefits to civilian as opposed military beneficiaries: communicated
Popović and Others v. Serbia, 26944/13 et al ....................................no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

ARTICLE 15

Derogation in time of emergency

Detention based on mere suspicion of membership of an illegal organisation, without any specific incriminating evidence: not “strictly required”
Alparslan Altan v. Turkey, 12778/17, 16 April 2019 ............................no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
ARTICLE 18

Restriction for unauthorised purposes

Member of parliament prevented from discharging his duties as a result of his prolonged pre-trial detention, for the alleged purpose of stifling pluralism: case referred to the Grand Chamber

Selahattin Demirtaş v. Turkey (no. 2), 14305/17 (Chamber judgment of 20 November 2018) ................................................................. no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

Political activist’s house arrest with restrictions on communication, correspondence and use of Internet, aimed at suppressing pluralism: violation

Navalnyy v. Russia (no. 2), 43734/14, 9 April 2019 ........................................ no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

Alleged politically motivated deprivation of liberty: no violation

Korban v. Ukraine, 26744/16, 4 July 2019 ........................................................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

ARTICLE 33

Inter-State application

Quantification and identification of victims eligible for compensation in respect of non-pecuniary damage in an inter-State case

Georgia v. Russia (just satisfaction) [GC], 13255/07, 31 January 2019 ........ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

ARTICLE 34

Victim

Impact on shareholders of legislation putting banks under central supervising authorities: case referred to the Grand Chamber

Albert and Others v. Hungary, 5294/14 (Chamber judgment of 29 January 2019) ................................................................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Three months of suspended prison sentence declared as served following acknowledgment that criminal proceedings had been excessively long: loss of victim status

Chiarello v. Germany, 497/17, 20 June 2019 ......................................................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Life prisoners not directly affected by statutory restrictions on family visits in absence of genuine attempt to maintain contact or in case of less frequent visits than permitted: inadmissible

Chernenko and Others v. Russia (dec.), 4246/14, 5 February 2019 ................................................................. no. 227 | Decision | Legal summary

Locus standi

Application from a group of MPs from a regional parliament acting to defend their own personal rights: locus standi granted

Forcadell i Lluis and Others v. Spain (dec.), 75147/17, 7 May 2019 ........ no. 229 | Decision | Legal summary
Hinder the exercise of the right of application

Proceeding with examination of complaint about failure to comply with interim measure would amount to circumventing exhaustion rule

*Tunç and Yerbasan v. Turkey (dec.), 4133/16 and 31542/16,* 29 January 2019 .................................................................................................. no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary

### ARTICLE 35

#### Article 35 § 1

**Exhaustion of domestic remedies**

Effectiveness of a suspensive remedy, in respect of an asylum request submitted after the application had been lodged with the Court: *admissible*

*A.M. v. France, 12148/18, 29 April 2019* .................................................................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

-ooo-

No exemption from requirement to apply to Constitutional Court: *inadmissible*

*Elçi v. Turkey (dec.), 63129/15, 29 January 2019* ...................................................... no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary

No special circumstances for exemption from requirement to apply to Constitutional Court: *inadmissible*

*Tunç and Yerbasan v. Turkey (dec.), 4133/16 and 31542/16,* 29 January 2019 .................................................................................................. no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary

Effectiveness of new remedy for physical conditions of detention: *inadmissible*

*Draniceru v. the Republic of Moldova (dec.), 31975/15, 12 February 2019* ........ no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary

Effectiveness of constitutional complaint to challenge either application of legislation in court proceedings or court rulings, both allegedly contrary to the Fundamental Law: *inadmissible*

*Szalontay v. Hungary (dec.), 71327/13, 12 March 2019* ........................................ no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

Failure to use a new remedy, applicable to pending cases, to obtain reimbursement of national taxes in breach of EU law: *inadmissible*

*Pop and Others v. Romania (dec.), 54494/11 et al., 2 April 2019* .................... no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

Effectiveness of an action for damages against the State in order to challenge the non-enforcement of a judgment ordering urgent rehousing: *inadmissible*

*Bouhamila v. France (dec.), 31798/16, 25 June 2019* .............................................. no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary

### Effective domestic remedy – France

Effectiveness of a suspensive remedy, in respect of an asylum request submitted after the application had been lodged with the Court: *admissible*

*A.M. v. France, 12148/18, 29 April 2019* .................................................................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary

-ooo-

Effectiveness of an action for damages against the State in order to challenge the non-enforcement of a judgment ordering urgent rehousing: *inadmissible*

*Bouhamila v. France (dec.), 31798/16, 25 June 2019* .............................................. no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary
Effective domestic remedy – Hungary

Effectiveness of constitutional complaint to challenge either application of legislation in court proceedings or court rulings, both allegedly contrary to the Fundamental Law: inadmissible

Szalontay v. Hungary (dec.), 71327/13, 12 March 2019 ............................no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

Effective domestic remedy – Republic of Moldova

Effectiveness of new remedy for physical conditions of detention: inadmissible

Draniceru v. the Republic of Moldova (dec.), 31975/15, 12 February 2019 .............no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary

Effective domestic remedy – Romania

Failure to use a new remedy, applicable to pending cases, to obtain reimbursement of national taxes in breach of EU law: inadmissible

Pop and Others v. Romania (dec.), 54494/11 et al., 2 April 2019 .......................no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

Six-month period

Starting point for the six-month period depending on whether the complaint concerns a refusal to authorise a family visit to prison or the conditions of such visit

Chaldayev v. Russia, 33172/16, 28 May 2019 ............................................no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

ARTICLE 37

Striking out applications

Unilateral declarations containing no undertaking to reopen investigation in cases where such reopening was de jure or de facto impossible: struck out

Taşdemir v. Turkey (dec.), 52538/09, 12 March 2019 .................................no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
Kutlu and Others v. Turkey (dec.), 18357/11, 12 March 2019 ........................no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
Karaca v. Turkey (dec.), 5809/13, 12 March 2019 .......................................no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

ARTICLE 41

Just satisfaction

Quantification and identification of victims eligible for compensation in respect of non-pecuniary damage in an inter-State case

Georgia v. Russia (just satisfaction) [GC], 13255/07, 31 January 2019 ...............no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Domestic law enabling appropriate reparation after the Court has found a violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1: strike out

Kaynar and Others v. Turkey, 21104/06 et al., 7 May 2019 ..........................no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

-ooo-
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Article 46 § 2

Execution of judgment – General measures

Respondent State required to take general measures in the face of continued air pollution from factory emissions, affecting the health of persons living in the neighbouring municipalities

*Cordella and Others v. Italy, 54414/13 and 54264/15,* 24 January 2019

Systemic problem calling for reform of the system of review of whole-life sentences

*Petukhov v. Ukraine (no. 2), 41216/13,* 12 March 2019

Respondent State required to take general measures to resolve structural problem of inhuman conditions of transport of prisoners and the absence of effective remedies

*Tomov and Others v. Russia, 18255/10 et al.,* 9 April 2019

Article 46 § 4

Infringement proceedings

Infringement proceedings against Azerbaijan for failure to abide by final judgment of the European Court: violation

*Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan,* 15172/13, 29 May 2019

ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL No. 1

Peaceful enjoyment of possessions

Impact on shareholders of legislation putting banks under central supervising authorities: case referred to the Grand Chamber

*Albert and Others v. Hungary,* 5294/14 (Chamber judgment of 29 January 2019)

Pensions halved following the transfer to the ordinary insurance scheme of contributors to an insolvent pension fund: no violation

*Yavaş and Others v. Turkey,* 36366/06, 5 March 2019

Control of the use of property

Preventive attachment of assets belonging to managers or relatives of executives of an insolvent bank, even after they were not found personally implicated: violation

*Uzan and Others v. Turkey,* 19620/05 et al., 5 March 2019

Deprivation of property – Public interest

Lack of compensation for private garage located on public land and demolished for commercial housing development: violation

*Svitlana Ilchenko v. Ukraine,* 47166/09, 4 July 2019
ARTICLE 2 OF PROTOCOL No. 1

Right to education

Inability for prisoners to use a computer or to access the Internet for their higher-education studies: violation

*Mehmet Resit Arslan and Orhan Bingöl v. Turkey*, 47121/06 et al.,
18 June 2019........................................................................................................no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Request for an autistic child to attend a mainstream school rejected in favour of placement in a specialised institution: *inadmissible*

*Dupin v. France* (dec.), 2282/17, 18 December 2018 ..................................no. 225 | Decision | Legal summary

University admission system attaching greater weight to a student’s previous field of study: *inadmissible*

*Kılıç v. Turkey* (dec.), 29601/05, 5 March 2019 ..........................................no. 227 | Decision | Legal summary

Alleged failure of State to address bullying at school of child with mental disorder: *communicated*

*M.C. and Others v. Romania*, 44654/18.............................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Delay in issuing military ID resulting in inability to sit university entrance examination: *communicated*

*Musayev v. Azerbaijan*, 54567/13.................................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

Respect for parents’ religious convictions

Criminal conviction for subjecting children to purportedly excessive religious practices: *communicated*

*Cupiał v. Poland*, 67414/11 .............................................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

ARTICLE 3 OF PROTOCOL No. 1

Free expression of the opinion of the people

Member of parliament prevented from discharging his duties as a result of his prolonged pre-trial detention, for the alleged purpose of stifling pluralism: *case referred to the Grand Chamber*

*Selahattin Demirtaş v. Turkey* (no. 2), 14305/17 (Chamber judgment of 20 November 2018) .................................................................no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

Alleged ineffectiveness of a complaints procedure before a legislative assembly to deal with irregularities in the election of its own members: *relinquishment in favour of the Grand Chamber*

*Mugemangango v. Belgium*, 310/15..................................................................no. 230 | Legal summary

Regional parliament prevented from announcing the results of a referendum on self-determination organised in breach of a Constitutional Court decision: *inadmissible*

*Forcadell i Lluis and Others v. Spain* (dec.), 75147/17, 7 May 2019 ..........no. 229 | Decision | Legal summary

Stand for election

Member of parliament prevented from discharging his duties as a result of his prolonged pre-trial detention, for the alleged purpose of stifling pluralism: *case referred to the Grand Chamber*

*Selahattin Demirtaş v. Turkey* (no. 2), 14305/17 (Chamber judgment of 20 November 2018) .................................................................no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
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Failings in the decision-making process for accepting the resignation of a member of parliament, allegedly made under duress: violation

G.K. v. Belgium, 58302/10, 21 May 2019 ................................................................. no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Lack of time for campaigning due to late registration of candidates in parliamentary elections following initial arbitrary refusals to register them and delays in proceedings: violation

Abdalov and Others v. Azerbaijan, 28508/11 et al., 11 July 2019 .......... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL No. 7

Procedural safeguards relating to expulsion of aliens

Removal decided by court on the basis of classified documents concerning national security that were not disclosed to the applicants: relinquishment in favour of the Grand Chamber

Muhammad and Muhammad v. Romania, 80982/12 .................................................. no. 226 | Legal summary

ARTICLE 4 OF PROTOCOL No. 7

Right not to be tried or punished twice

Reopening by a higher authority of its own motion, without any new facts or fundamental defects requiring correction, of criminal proceedings that had been replaced by an administrative fine: violation

Mihalache v. Romania [GC], 54012/10, 8 July 2019 .............................................. no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL No. 12

General prohibition of discrimination

Inability to vote and stand in local election due to legal void in legislation: communicated

Baralija v. Bosnia and Herzegovina, 30100/18................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

Alleged discrimination in final high school exams of pupils belonging to national minorities who studied in their native tongue: communicated

Ádám and Others v. Romania, 81114/17 ......................................................... no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

PROTOCOL No. 16

Advisory opinions

Advisory opinion concerning the recognition in domestic law of a legal parent-child relationship between a child born through a gestational surrogacy arrangement abroad and the intended mother

Advisory opinion requested by the French Court of Cassation [GC], P16-2018-001, 10 April 2019 ................................................................. no. 228 | Opinion | Legal summary
CASES ACCEPTED FOR REFERAL TO THE GRAND CHAMBER
AND CASES IN WHICH JURISDICTION WAS RELINQUISHED
BY A CHAMBER IN FAVOUR OF THE GRAND CHAMBER

REFERRALS

Albert and Others v. Hungary, 5294/14 (Chamber judgment of
29 January 2019) ................................................................................................................
no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

   ARTICLE 34: VICTIM

   ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL NO. 1: PEACEFUL ENJOYMENT OF POSSESSIONS

   Impact on shareholders of legislation putting banks under central supervising authorities:
case referred to the Grand Chamber

Big Brother Watch and Others v. the United Kingdom, 58170/13 et al.
(Chamber judgment of 13 September 2018) .................................................................
no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary

   ARTICLE 8: RESPECT FOR PRIVATE LIFE

   Convention compliance of secret surveillance regime including the bulk interception of
external communications: case referred to the Grand Chamber

Centrum för rättvisa v. Sweden, 35252/08 (Chamber judgment of 19 June
2018) ......................................................................................................................................
no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary

   ARTICLE 8: RESPECT FOR CORRESPONDENCE

   Proportionality and safeguards of Swedish legislation on signals intelligence: case referred to
the Grand Chamber

Gestur Jónsson and Ragnar Halldór Hall v. Iceland, 68273/14 and 68271/14
(Chamber judgment of 30 October 2018) .................................................................
no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

   ARTICLE 6 § 1 (CRIMINAL) : FAIR HEARING

   Alleged shortcomings in review of fine imposed in absentia on defence counsel by trial court:
case referred to the Grand Chamber

   ARTICLE 7: NULLUM CRIMEN SINE LEGE – NULLA POENA SINE LEGE

   Alleged unforeseeability of fine imposed by trial court on “defence counsel” and its amount:
case referred to the Grand Chamber

Selahattin Demirtaş v. Turkey (no. 2), 14305/17 (Chamber judgment of
20 November 2018) ............................................................................................................
no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

   ARTICLE 18: RESTRICTION FOR UNAUTHORISED PURPOSES

   ARTICLE 3 OF PROTOCOL NO. 1: FREE EXPRESSION OF THE OPINION OF THE PEOPLE – STAND FOR ELECTION

   Member of parliament prevented from discharging his duties as a result of his prolonged pre-
trial detention, for the alleged purpose of stifling pluralism: case referred to the Grand Chamber

X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16 (Chamber judgment of 17 January 2019) ......
no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

   ARTICLE 3: POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS (SUBSTANTIVE AND PROCEDURAL ASPECTS)

   ARTICLE 8: RESPECT FOR PRIVATE LIFE – POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS

   Allegations of sexual abuse in an orphanage found not to be corroborated by the inves-
tigation file or the preventive measures implemented there: case referred to the Grand Chamber
**Mugemangango v. Belgium, 310/15**

**ARTICLE 13: EFFECTIVE REMEDY**

Alleged ineffectiveness of a complaints procedure before a legislative assembly to deal with *irregularities in the election of its own members*: *relinquishment in favour of the Grand Chamber*

**Muhammad and Muhammad v. Romania, 80982/12**

**ARTICLE 1 OF PROTOCOL NO. 7: PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS RELATING TO EXPULSION OF ALIENS**

Removal decided by court on the basis of classified documents concerning national security that were not disclosed to the applicants: *relinquishment in favour of the Grand Chamber*
CASES LISTED ALPHABETICALLY BY RESPONDENT STATE

AUSTRIA

Judgments

Kurt v. Austria, 62903/15, 4 July 2019 ........................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Communicated cases

S.W. and Others v. Austria, 1928/19 .............................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

AZERBAIJAN

Judgments

Abdalov and Others v. Azerbaijan, 28508/11 et al., 11 July 2019 ........................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan, 15172/13, 29 May 2019 ........................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan, 65286/13 and 57270/14, 10 January 2019 ........................ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Communicated cases

Musayev v. Azerbaijan, 54567/13 ........................ no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary

BELGIUM

Grand Chamber (judgments/decisions)

Rooman v. Belgium [GC], 18052/11, 31 January 2019 ........................ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)

Mugemangango v. Belgium, 310/15 (relinquishment) ........................ no. 230 | Legal summary

Judgments

Clasens v. Belgium, 26564/16, 28 May 2019 ........................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
G.K. v. Belgium, 58302/10, 21 May 2019 ........................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Romeo Castaño v. Belgium, 8351/17, 9 July 2019 ........................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Communicated cases

Mortier v. Belgium, 78017/17 ........................ no. 225 | Communication | Legal summary

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Communicated cases

Baralića v. Bosnia and Herzegovina, 30100/18 ........................ no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary
### BULGARIA

#### Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Judgments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16</td>
<td>17 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Decisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rashkov v. Bulgaria (dec.), 52257/09</td>
<td>11 December 2018</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yankov v. Bulgaria (dec.), 44768/10</td>
<td>18 June 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CROATIA

#### Decisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Šimunić v. Croatia (dec.), 20373/17</td>
<td>22 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolić and Others v. Croatia (dec.), 13482/15 et al.</td>
<td>4 June 2019</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CYPRUS

#### Grand Chamber (judgments/decisions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Güzelyurtlu and Others v. Cyprus and Turkey [GC], 36925/07</td>
<td>29 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DENMARK

#### Judgments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Henrik Bruun Hansen v. Denmark, 51072/15</td>
<td>9 July 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Communicated cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aziz Thamer Al-Ebrah v. Denmark, 32834/18</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FINLAND

#### Judgments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA-Capital Oy v. Finland, 5556/10</td>
<td>14 February 2019</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FRANCE

#### Judgments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.M. v. France, 12148/18</td>
<td>29 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guimon v. France, 48798/14</td>
<td>11 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Decisions
Bouhamla v. France (dec.), 31798/16, 25 June 2019
Dupin v. France (dec.), 2282/17, 18 December 2018

Communicated cases
Dalleau v. France, 57307/18
Shiozaki v. France, 69802/17
Z.B. v. France, 46883/15

GEORGIA

Judgments
Kereselidze v. Georgia, 39718/09, 28 March 2019
Rustavi 2 Broadcasting Company Ltd and Others v. Georgia, 16812/17, 18 July 2019
Svanidze v. Georgia, 37809/08, 25 July 2019
Vazagashvili and Shanava v. Georgia, 50375/07, 18 July 2019

GERMANY

Judgments
Chiarello v. Germany, 497/17, 20 June 2019
Rook v. Germany, 1586/15, 25 July 2019
Wunderlich v. Germany, 18925/15, 10 January 2019

Communicated cases
Angert and Others v. Germany, 12693/18
O.H. and G.H. v. Germany, 53568/18 and 54741/18
Ratih v. Germany, 14883/18

GREECE

Judgments
Sine Tsaggarakis A.E.E. v. Greece, 17257/13, 23 May 2019
T.I. and Others v. Greece, 40311/10, 18 July 2019

Decisions
Frantzeskaki and Others v. Greece (dec.), 57275/17 et al., 12 February 2019
HUNGARY

Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)

Albert and Others v. Hungary, 5294/14 (Chamber judgment of 29 January 2019 – referral) ................................................................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Judgments

R.S. v. Hungary, 65290/14, 2 July 2019 ................................................................. no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Decisions

Szalontay v. Hungary (dec.), 71327/13, 12 March 2019 .............................. no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

ICELAND

Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)

Gestur Jónsson and Ragnar Halldór Hall v. Iceland, 68273/14 and 68271/14 (Chamber judgment of 30 October 2018 – referral) .............................................. no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Judgments

Guðmundur Andri Ástráðsson v. Iceland, 26374/18, 12 March 2019 .................. no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
Júlíus Þór Sigurþórsson v. Iceland, 38797/17, 16 July 2019 .............................. no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Sigurður Einarsson and Others v. Iceland, 39757/15, 4 June 2019 ..................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

IRELAND

Judgments

Doyle v. Ireland, 51979/17, 23 May 2019 .............................................................. no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

Communicated cases

Farrell v. Ireland, 62007/17 ................................................................................. no. 228 | Communication | Legal summary

ITALY

Judgments

Cordella and Others v. Italy, 54414/13 and 54264/15, 24 January 2019 .......... no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary
Knox v. Italy, 76577/13, 24 January 2019 .............................................................. no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary
Marcello Viola v. Italy (no. 2), 77633/16, 13 June 2019 ...................................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

LATVIA

Judgments

Ēcis v. Latvia, 12879/09, 10 January 2019 .............................................................. no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary
Kangers v. Latvia, 35726/10, 14 March 2019 ....................................................... no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
## LITHUANIA

### Judgments

- **Drėlingas v. Lithuania**, 28859/16, 12 March 2019

## MALTA

### Judgments

- **Aboya Boa Jean v. Malta**, 62676/16, 2 April 2019
- **Mifsud v. Malta**, 62257/15, 29 January 2019

### Decisions

- **Draniceru v. the Republic of Moldova (dec.)**, 31975/15, 12 February 2019

## REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

### Judgments

- **Ozdil and Others v. the Republic of Moldova**, 42305/18, 11 June 2019

### Decisions

- **Draniceru v. the Republic of Moldova (dec.)**, 31975/15, 12 February 2019

## NORTH MACEDONIA

### Judgments

- **Tasev v. North Macedonia**, 9825/13, 16 May 2019

## NORWAY

### Judgments

- **Høiness v. Norway**, 43624/14, 19 March 2019

### Communicated cases

- **S.R. v. Norway**, 43927/17

## POLAND

### Communicated cases

- **A.D.-K. and Others v. Poland**, 30806/15
- **A.S. v. Poland**, 58012/10
- **Cupiał v. Poland**, 67414/11
- **Schlittner-Hay v. Poland**, 56846/15 and 56849/15
- **X. v. Poland**, 20741/10
PORTUGAL

**Grand Chamber (judgments/decisions)**

Fernandes de Oliveira v. Portugal [GC], 78103/14, 31 January 2019......................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

ROMANIA

**Grand Chamber (judgments/decisions)**

Mihalache v. Romania [GC], 54012/10, 8 July 2019..............................................no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Nicolae Virgiliu Tănase v. Romania [GC], 41720/13, 25 June 2019..........................no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

**Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)**

Muhammad and Muhammad v. Romania, 80982/12 (relinquishment)..............................................no. 226 | Legal summary

**Judgments**

Prunea v. Romania, 47881/11, 8 January 2019......................................................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

**Decisions**

Pop and Others v. Romania (dec.), 54494/11 et al., 2 April 2019.................no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

**Communicated cases**

Ádám and Others v. Romania, 81114/17..........................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary
Association ACCEPT and Others v. Romania, 19237/16.............................no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary
Duţă v. Romania, 8783/15..............................................................................no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary
M.C. and Others v. Romania, 44654/18..............................................................no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary
Ţurțulea v. Romania, 35582/15...........................................................................no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

RUSSIA

**Grand Chamber (judgments/decisions)**

Georgia v. Russia (just satisfaction) [GC], 13255/07, 31 January 2019..............no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

**Judgments**

Bogonosov v. Russia, 38201/16, 5 March 2019.....................................................no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
Chaldayev v. Russia, 33172/16, 28 May 2019......................................................no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Gorlov and Others v. Russia, 27057/06 et al., 2 July 2019.............................no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Ilgiz Khalikov v. Russia, 48724/15, 15 January 2019.......................................no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary
Mityanin and Leonov v. Russia, 11436/06 and 22912/06, 7 May 2019.............no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Navalnyy v. Russia (no. 2), 43734/14, 9 April 2019.......................................no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Tomov and Others v. Russia, 18255/10 et al., 9 April 2019.............................no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
V.D. and Others v. Russia, 72931/10, 9 April 2019........................................no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Volodina v. Russia, 41261/17, 9 July 2019.......................................................no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Zhdanov and Others v. Russia, 12200/08 et al., 16 July 2019..........................no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

**Decisions**

Chernenko and Others v. Russia (dec.), 4246/14, 5 February 2019......................no. 227 | Decision | Legal summary
### Communicated cases

- **A.S. and Others v. Russia**, 45049/17 ................................................................. no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary
- **Ayley and Others v. Russia**, 25714/16 and 56328/18 ....................................... no. 228 | Communication | Legal summary
- **Tiunov v. Russia**, 29442/18 .................................................................................. no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

### SAN MARINO

- **Pasquini v. San Marino**, 50956/16, 2 May 2019 ................................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary

### SERBIA

#### Judgments


#### Communicated cases

- **Popović and Others v. Serbia**, 26944/13 et al. .................................................... no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

### SLOVAKIA

#### Communicated cases

- **Július Pereszlényi-Servis TV-Video v. Slovakia**, 25175/15 ............................... no. 231 | Communication | Legal summary

### SLOVENIA

#### Judgments


### SPAIN

#### Judgments

- **Haddad v. Spain**, 16572/17, 18 June 2019 ....................................................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

#### Decisions

- **Forcadell i Lluis and Others v. Spain (dec.),** 75147/17, 7 May 2019 ...................... no. 229 | Decision | Legal summary
- **Larrañaga Arando and Others v. Spain (dec.),** 73911/16 and 79503/16, 25 June 2019 .................................................................................. no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary
- **Martínez Agirre and Others v. Spain (dec.),** 75529/16 et al., 25 June 2019 .......... no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary
SWEDEN

Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)
Centrum för rättvisa v. Sweden, 35252/08 (Chamber judgment of 19 June 2018 – referral) .............................................................................................................. no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary

SWITZERLAND

Judgments
I.M. v. Switzerland, 23887/16, 9 April 2019.................................................................................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Ndayegeamiyé-Mporamazina v. Switzerland, 16874/12, 5 February 2019............no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary

Decisions
Glaisen v. Switzerland (dec.), 40477/13, 25 June 2019 .............................................. no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary

TURKEY

Grand Chamber (judgments/decisions)
Güzelyurtlu and Others v. Cyprus and Turkey [GC], 36925/07, 29 January 2019.............................................................................................................. no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)
Selahattin Demirtaş v. Turkey (no. 2), 14305/17 (Chamber judgment of 20 November 2018 – referral) .................................................................................... no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

Judgments
Aktaş and Aslaniskender v. Turkey, 18684/07 and 21101/07, 25 June 2019............no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary
Ali Gürbüz v. Turkey, 52497/08 et al., 12 March 2019 ............................................. no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
Alparslan Altan v. Turkey, 12778/17, 16 April 2019 .................................................. no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Altay v. Turkey (no. 2), 11236/09, 9 April 2019........................................................ no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Gürbüz and Bayar v. Turkey, 8860/13, 23 July 2019................................................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Kaynar and Others v. Turkey, 21104/06 et al., 7 May 2019........................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Mehmet Reşit Arslan and Orhan Bingöl v. Turkey, 47121/06 et al., 18 June 2019........ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary
MİHR Foundation v. Turkey, 10814/07, 7 May 2019................................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Tarak and Depe v. Turkey, 70472/12, 9 April 2019..................................................... no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Uzan and Others v. Turkey, 19620/05 et al., 5 March 2019...................................... no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
Yavaş and Others v. Turkey, 36366/06, 5 March 2019............................................. no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
Yılmaz v. Turkey, 36607/06, 4 June 2019................................................................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary
Zülfikür Murat Kahraman v. Turkey, 65808/10, 16 July 2019.................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Decisions
Ahunbay and Others v. Turkey (dec.), 6080/06, 29 January 2019 ......................... no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary
Elçi v. Turkey (dec.), 63129/15, 29 January 2019...................................................... no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary
Karaca v. Turkey (dec.), 5809/13, 12 March 2019 .................................................. no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
Kılıç v. Turkey (dec.), 29601/05, 5 March 2019...................................................... no. 227 | Decision | Legal summary
Kutlu and Others v. Turkey (dec.), 18357/11, 12 March 2019.............................. no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
Taşdemir v. Turkey (dec.), 52538/09, 12 March 2019............................................. no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary

Index 2019 to the Information Notes on the Court’s case-law ▶ January-July ▶ Page 39/49
Tunç and Yerbasan v. Turkey (dec.), 4133/16 and 31542/16, 29 January 2019

Communicated cases

Kerestecioğlu Demir v. Turkey, 68136/16
Kılıçoğlu v. Turkey, 38861/09

UKRAINE

Judgments

Chernega and Others v. Ukraine, 74768/10, 18 June 2019
Cosmos Maritime Trading and Shipping Agency v. Ukraine, 53427/09, 27 June 2019
Korban v. Ukraine, 26744/16, 4 July 2019
Petukhov v. Ukraine (no. 2), 41216/13, 12 March 2019
Svitlana Ilchenko v. Ukraine, 47166/09, 4 July 2019

Decisions

Famulyak v. Ukraine (dec.), 30180/11, 2 May 2019

UNITED KINGDOM

Grand Chamber (referrals/relinquishments)

Big Brother Watch and Others v. the United Kingdom, 58170/13 et al. (Chamber judgment of 13 September 2018 – referral)

Judgments

Beghal v. the United Kingdom, 4755/16, 28 February 2019
Catt v. the United Kingdom, 43514/15, 24 January 2019

Communicated cases

A and B v. the United Kingdom, 80046/17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Reference</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Summary Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A and B v. the United Kingdom, 80046/17</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.D.-K. and Others v. Poland, 30806/15</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.M. v. France, 12148/18, 29 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.S. v. Poland, 58012/10</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.S. and Others v. Russia, 45049/17</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdulov and Others v. Azerbaijan, 28508/11 et al., 11 July 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboya Boa Jean v. Malta, 62676/16, 2 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ádám and Others v. Romania, 81114/17</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory opinion requested by the French Court of Cassation, P16-2018-001, 10 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Opinion</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahunbay and Others v. Turkey (dec.), 6080/06, 29 January 2019</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aktaş and Aslaniskender v. Turkey, 18684/07 and 21101/07, 25 June 2019</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert and Others v. Hungary, 5294/14 (Chamber judgment of 29 January 2019)</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Gürbüz v. Turkey, 52497/08 et al., 12 March 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alparslan Altan v. Turkey, 12778/17, 16 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altay v. Turkey (no. 2), 11236/09, 9 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angert and Others v. Germany, 12693/18</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association ACCEPT and Others v. Romania, 19237/16</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayley and Others v. Russia, 25714/16 and 56328/18</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aziz Thamer Al-Ebrah v. Denmark, 32834/18</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baraliija v. Bosnia and Herzegovina, 30100/18</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beghal v. the United Kingdom, 4755/16, 28 February 2019</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Brother Watch and Others v. the United Kingdom, 58170/13 et al.</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chamber judgment of 13 September 2018 – referral)</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogoñosovy v. Russia, 38201/16, 5 March 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouhamla v. France (dec.), 31798/16, 25 June 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>No. 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catt v. the United Kingdom, 43514/15, 24 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrum för rättvisa v. Sweden, 35252/08 (Chamber judgment of 19 June 2018)</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaldayed v. Russia, 33172/16, 28 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chernega and Others v. Ukraine, 74768/10, 18 June 2019</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chernenko and Others v. Russia (dec.), 4246/14, 5 February 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>No. 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiarello v. Germany, 497/17, 20 June 2019</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clausen v. Belgium, 26564/16, 28 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordella and Others v. Italy, 54414/13 and 54264/15, 24 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>No. 225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**D**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Details</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dalleau v. France, 57307/18</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doyle v. Ireland, 51979/17, 23 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draniceru v. the Republic of Moldova (dec.), 31975/15, 12 February 2019</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drėlingas v. Lithuania, 28859/16, 12 March 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dupin v. France (dec.), 2282/17, 18 December 2018</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duţă v. Romania, 8783/15</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Details</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ėcis v. Latvia, 12879/09, 10 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elçi v. Turkey (dec.), 63129/15, 29 January 2019</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Details</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Famulyak v. Ukraine (dec.), 30180/11, 2 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrell v. Ireland, 62007/17</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandes de Oliveira v. Portugal (GC), 78103/14, 31 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forcadell i Lluis and Others v. Spain (dec.), 75147/17, 7 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frantzeskaki and Others v. Greece (dec.), 57275/17 et al., 12 February 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Details</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.K. v. Belgium, 58302/10, 21 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia v. Russia (just satisfaction) [GC], 13255/07, 31 January 2019</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestur Jónsson and Ragnar Halldór Hall v. Iceland, 68273/14 and 68271/14 (Chamber judgment of 30 October 2018 – referral)</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaisen v. Switzerland (dec.), 40477/13, 25 June 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorlov and Others v. Russia, 27057/06 et al., 2 July 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guðmundur Andri Aðráðsson v. Iceland, 26374/18, 12 March 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guimon v. France, 48798/14, 11 April 2019</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gürbüz and Bayar v. Turkey, 8860/13, 23 July 2019</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Güzelyurtlu and Others v. Cyprus and Turkey [GC], 36925/07, 29 January 2019...</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**H**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Details</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haddad v. Spain, 16572/17, 18 June 2019</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halabi v. France, 66554/14, 16 May 2019</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinss v. Norway, 43624/14, 19 March 2019</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>Legal summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Index 2019 to the Information Notes on the Court’s case-law

| January-July | Page 43/49 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>I</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.M. v. Switzerland, 23887/16, 9 April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan, 15172/13, 29 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilgiz Khalikov v. Russia, 48724/15, 15 January 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>J</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Július Pereszlényi-Servis TV-Video v. Slovakia, 25175/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Júlíus Þór Sigurþórsson v. Iceland, 38797/17, 16 July 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>K</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kangers v. Latvia, 35726/10, 14 March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karaca v. Turkey (dec.), 5809/13, 12 March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaynar and Others v. Turkey, 21104/06 et al., 7 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kersefidze v. Georgia, 39718/09, 28 March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerestecioğlu Demir v. Turkey, 68136/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan, 65286/13 and 57270/14, 10 January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan v. France, 12267/16, 28 February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kılıç v. Turkey (dec.), 29601/05, 5 March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kılıçoğlu v. Turkey, 38861/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox v. Italy, 76577/13, 24 January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korban v. Ukraine, 26744/16, 4 July 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurt v. Austria, 62903/15, 4 July 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutlu and Others v. Turkey (dec.), 18357/11, 12 March 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>L</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larrañaga Arando and Others v. Spain (dec.), 73911/16 and 79503/16, 25 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>M</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.C. and Others v. Romania, 44654/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcello Viola v. Italy (no. 2), 77633/16, 13 June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martínez Agirre and Others v. Spain (dec.), 75529/16 et al., 25 June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehmet Reşit Arslan and Orhan Bingöl v. Turkey, 47121/06 et al., 18 June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mifsud v. Malta, 62257/15, 29 January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihalache v. Romania [GC], 54012/10, 8 July 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MİHR Foundation v. Turkey, 10814/07, 7 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mityanin and Leonov v. Russia, 11436/06 and 22912/06, 7 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortier v. Belgium, 78017/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mugemangango v. Belgium, 310/15 (relinquishment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad and Muhammad v. Romania, 80982/12 (relinquishment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musayev v. Azerbaijan, 54567/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### N

Navalnyy v. Russia (no. 2), 43734/14, 9 April 2019 ................................................... no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Ndayegamiye-Mporamazina v. Switzerland, 16874/12, 5 February 2019 ...................... no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Nicolae Virgiliu Tănase v. Romania [GC], 41720/13, 25 June 2019 ............................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary  

### O

O.H. and G.H. v. Germany, 53568/18 and 54741/18 ..................................................... no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary  
Ozdil and Others v. the Republic of Moldova, 42305/18, 11 June 2019 ........................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary  

### P

Pasquini v. San Marino, 50956/16, 2 May 2019 .......................................................... no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Petukhov v. Ukraine (no. 2), 41216/13, 12 March 2019 ............................................ no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Pop and Others v. Romania (dec.), 54944/11 et al., 2 April 2019 .............................. no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary  
Popović and Others v. Serbia, 26944/13 et al. .......................................................... no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary  
Prunea v. Romania, 47881/11, 8 January 2019 ......................................................... no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary  

### R

R.S. v. Hungary, 65290/14, 2 July 2019 ....................................................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Rashkovi v. Bulgaria (dec.), 52257/09, 11 December 2018 ....................................... no. 225 | Decision | Legal summary  
Ratih v. Germany, 14883/18 ...................................................................................... no. 225 | Communication | Legal summary  
Rola v. Slovenia, 12096/14 and 39335/16, 4 June 2019 ............................................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Romeo Castaño v. Belgium, 8351/17, 9 July 2019 .................................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Rook v. Germany, 1586/15, 25 July 2019 ................................................................ no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Rooman v. Belgium [GC], 18052/11, 31 January 2019 ............................................ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Rustavi 2 Broadcasting Company Ltd and Others v. Georgia, 16812/17, 18 July 2019 ......................................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary  

### S

S.R. v. Norway, 43927/17 ............................................................................................. no. 228 | Communication | Legal summary  
S.W. and Others v. Austria, 1928/19 ......................................................................... no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary  
SA-Capital Oy v. Finland, 5556/10, 14 February 2019 ................................................. no. 226 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Schlütter-Hay v. Poland, 56846/15 and 56849/15 ...................................................... no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary  
Selahattin Demirtas v. Turkey (no. 2), 14305/17 (Chamber judgment of 20 November 2018 – referral) .................................................................................... no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Shiozaki v. France, 69802/17 ....................................................................................... no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary  
Sigurður Einarsson and Others v. Iceland, 39757/15, 4 June 2019 ............................. no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Šimunić v. Croatia (dec.), 20373/17, 22 January 2019 ............................................. no. 225 | Decision | Legal summary  
Svanidze v. Georgia, 37809/08, 25 July 2019 .......................................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Svitlana Ilchenko v. Ukraine, 47166/09, 4 July 2019 ................................................. no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary  
Szalontay v. Hungary (dec.), 71327/13, 12 March 2019 ......................................... no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
T.I. and Others v. Greece, 40311/10, 18 July 2019 ........................................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Tarak and Depe v. Turkey, 70472/12, 9 April 2019 .......................................................... no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Taşdemir v. Turkey (dec.), 52538/09, 12 March 2019 .................................................... no. 228 | Decision | Legal summary
Tasev v. North Macedonia, 9825/13, 16 May 2019 ........................................................ no. 229 | Judgment | Legal summary
Tim Henrik Bruun Hansen v. Denmark, 51072/15, 9 July 2019 ...................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Tiunov v. Russia, 29442/18 ............................................................................................ no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary
Tolić and Others v. Croatia (dec.), 13482/15 et al., 4 June 2019 ................................. no. 230 | Decision | Legal summary
Tomov and Others v. Russia, 18255/10 et al., 9 April 2019 ........................................ no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Tunç and Yerbasan v. Turkey (dec.), 4133/16 and 31542/16, 29 January 2019.............. no. 226 | Decision | Legal summary
Tuţulea v. Romania, 35582/15 ....................................................................................... no. 226 | Communication | Legal summary

Uzan and Others v. Turkey, 19620/05 et al., 5 March 2019 ............................................. no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary

V.D. and Others v. Russia, 72931/10, 9 April 2019 ....................................................... no. 228 | Judgment | Legal summary
Vazagashvili and Shanava v. Georgia, 50375/07, 18 July 2019 .................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Volodina v. Russia, 41261/17, 9 July 2019 .................................................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary

Wunderlich v. Germany, 18925/15, 10 January 2019 ...................................................... no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary

X. v. Poland, 20741/10 ................................................................................................. no. 227 | Communication | Legal summary
X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16, 17 January 2019 ................................................ no. 225 | Judgment | Legal summary
X and Others v. Bulgaria, 22457/16 (Chamber judgment of 17 January 2019 – referral) ............................................................................................................................ no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Yankov v. Bulgaria (dec.), 44768/10, 18 June 2019 ...................................................... no. 231 | Decision | Legal summary
Yavaş and Others v. Turkey, 36366/06, 5 March 2019 ............................................... no. 227 | Judgment | Legal summary
Yılmaz v. Turkey, 36607/06, 4 June 2019 .................................................................... no. 230 | Judgment | Legal summary

Z.B. v. France, 46883/15 ............................................................................................. no. 230 | Communication | Legal summary
Zhdanov and Others v. Russia, 12200/08 et al., 16 July 2019 .................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
Zülküf Murat Kahraman v. Turkey, 65808/10, 16 July 2019 ..................................... no. 231 | Judgment | Legal summary
DECISIONS OF OTHER INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTIONS

EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF SOCIAL RIGHTS


Lack of appropriate legal, social and economic protection for unaccompanied foreign minors

Transgender Europe and ILGA-Europe v. the Czech Republic, 117/2014, decision on admissibility and the merits 15 May 2018, made public 1 October 2018 .................................................................................................... no. 226

Compulsory sex-change operation with sterilisation for transgender persons in order to obtain legal recognition of their gender identity

COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION (CJEU)

Abubacarr Jawo v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland, C-163/17, 19 March 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber) .......... no. 227

Transfer to another member State of an asylum-seeker under the Dublin III Regulation is inhuman and degrading if it exposes him or her to a situation of extreme material poverty

Austria v. Germany, C-591/17, 18 June 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)............................................................... no. 230

The German vignette for the use by passenger vehicles of federal roads is discriminatory since the economic burden of the charge falls, de facto, solely on the owners and drivers of vehicles registered in other member States

Baltic Media Alliance Ltd v. Lietuvos radijo ir televizijos komisija, C-622/17, 4 July 2019 (CJEU) ....................... no. 231

A member State may, for reasons of public policy such as combating incitement to hatred, impose a temporary obligation to broadcast or retransmit a television channel from another member State only in pay-to-view packages

Bashar Ibrahim and Others. v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland, C-297/17, C-318/17, C-319/17, and Bundesrepublik Deutschland v. Taus Magamadov (C-438/17), 19 March 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)............ no. 227

The lack of subsistence allowances in a member State which had granted subsidiary protection does not in itself demonstrate that the rejection of an asylum application by another member State would expose the person concerned to inhuman or degrading living conditions

Cresco Investigation GmbH v. Markus Achatzi, C-193/17, 22 January 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)........... no. 225

The grant of a paid public holiday on Good Friday only to employees members of certain churches constituted discrimination on grounds of religion

European Commission v. Italy, C-621/16 P, 26 March 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)........................................... no. 227

In the selection procedure for European Union staff, differences of treatment based on language must be justified by the real needs of the service and be foreseeable through clear criteria

European Commission v. Poland, C-619/18, 24 June 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)................................. no. 230

The lowering of the retirement age of judges of the Supreme Court and the discretionary power of the President of the Republic to extend the performance of their duties are contrary to EU law
Fashion ID GmbH & Co. KG v. Verbraucherzentrale NRW eV, C-40/17, 29 July 2019 (CJEU) ........................................... no. 231
The operator of a website that features a Facebook “Like” button can be a controller jointly with Facebook in respect of the collection and transmission to Facebook of the personal data of visitors to its website

M v. Ministerstvo vnitra and X v. Commissaire général aux réfugiés et aux apatrides, C-391/16, C-77/17and C-78/17, 14 May 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)................................................................................................................ no. 229
Validity of the provisions of the Refugee Directive relating to the revocation of and refusal to grant refugee status on grounds connected with the protection of the security or the community of the host Member State

OG and PI v. Public Prosecutor’s Office In Lübeck and in Zwickau, C-508/18 and C-82/19 PPU, 27 May 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)................................................................................................................ no. 229
Need for the judicial authority issuing a European arrest warrant to be independent from the executive

Österreichischer Gewerkschaftsbund, Gewerkschaft Öffentlicher Dienst v. Republik Österreich, C-24/17, and Martin Leitner v. Landespolizeidirektion Tirol, C-396/17, 8 May 2019 (CJEU)................................................................................................................ no. 229
System of remuneration and advancement of State officials and contractual public servants amounts to discrimination on grounds of age on the date of their recruitment

RE v. Praxair MRC SAS, C-486/18, 8 May 2019 (CJEU) ...................................................................................................... no. 229
Calculation of compensation payments for dismissal and redeployment of an employee who is on part-time parental leave must be carried out on the basis of the full-time salary

RH, C-8/19 PPU, 12 February 2019 (CJEU) .......................................................................................................................... no. 227
Incompatibility with European Union law of national legislation prohibiting the pre-trial detention judge from staying proceedings pending a reply from the CJEU
Possibility for the pre-trial detention judge to reply to the detainee’s defence counsel, comparing the incriminating and exculpatory evidence, without infringing the presumption of innocence

Sergejs Buivids v. Datu valsts inspekcija, C-345/17, 14 February 2019 (CJEU)............................................................... no. 227
The recording and publication on a website of a video of policy officers unwittingly filmed in a police station constitute personal data processing
In order to come under the specific rules on balancing journalistic purposes with the protection of private life, such a video must have the sole object of disclosing information, opinions or ideas to the public

SM v. Entry Clearance Officer, UK Visa Section, C-129/18, 26 March 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)...................... no. 227
The Algerian kafala system does not create a direct parent-child relationship between a minor and his guardian, an EU citizen, but it is an important factor in terms of family reunification

Spain v. European Parliament, C-377/16, 26 March 2019 (CJEU, Grand Chamber)................................................. no. 227
In the selection procedure for European Union staff, differences of treatment based on language must be justified by the real needs of the service and be foreseeable through clear criteria

Staatssecretaris van Veiligheid en Justitie v. Y.Z. and Others, C-557/17, 14 March 2019 (CJEU)............................... no. 227
Necessity of individual examination of situations in cases of withdrawal of residence permits obtained in the context of family reunification based on falsified documents
Ignorance on the part of the beneficiary of a residence permit concerning the fraudulent nature of the documents produced for family reunification does not preclude its withdrawal

Index 2019 to the Information Notes on the Court’s case-law  ▶ January-July  ▶ Page 47/49
Possibility of maintaining the enforcement of a European arrest warrant beyond the 90-day limit set out in the Framework Decision where there is a very serious and unavoidable risk of the person absconding

Necessity to harmonise lengths of detention in the various jurisprudential cases of suspension of the period of enforcement of a European warrant (request to the CJEU for a preliminary ruling or conditions of detention in the issuing State)

Possible loss of nationality of a member State and of EU citizenship in the event of a lengthy interruption of the genuine link between the individual and that member State

The exclusion, in part, of nationals of other member States from the German senior amateur athletics championships may be contrary to EU law

The legislation on the calculation of retirement pensions for part-time workers, particularly disadvantageous to female workers, is contrary to EU law

State obligations regarding forced disappearances and participation of armed forces in public security tasks

Right to a fair trial and right to judicial protection in the removal of judges

Reinforced duty of due diligence for victims of torture and sexual slavery

Right to access to justice affected by a bill seeking to grant amnesty for all the gross violations committed during the internal armed conflict in Guatemala

Violation of right to social security due to the denial of a pension

Killings (also known as falsos positivos – “false positives”) carried out by members of the armed forces as part of attacks on civilians in various parts of Colombia

State obligations in cases of sexual torture by State security forces

Denial of visa to child taken in under kafalah
Klemetti Kakkalajarvi and Others v. Finland, communication 2950/2017, views 1 February 2019......................... no. 226
Right to vote in elections to the Sami parliament granted by Supreme Administrative Court to individuals who had been found ineligible to vote by the Sami parliament

Tiina Sanila-Aikio v. Finland, communication 2668/2015, views 1 February 2019................................................... no. 226
Right to vote in elections to the Sami parliament granted by Supreme Administrative Court to individuals who had been found ineligible to vote by the Sami parliament