



COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

SURVEY OF ACTIVITIES

1999

Information document issued by the Registrar of the European Court of Human Rights

CONTENTS

	Page
I. Historical background, organisation and procedure.....	3
II. Composition of the Court.....	9
III. Composition of the Grand Chamber and Sections.....	11
IV. Chronological list of judgments delivered by the Court in 1999	13
V. Subject-matter of judgments delivered by the Court in 1999	29
VI. Conclusion reached in judgments delivered in 1999	35
VII. Violations by State	39
VIII. Cases referred to the Grand Chamber by its Panel in 1999	45
IX. List of non-final judgments delivered by Sections in 1999	48
X. Statistical information	49
XI. Statistical tables by State.....	51

I. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, ORGANISATION AND PROCEDURE

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

A. The European Convention on Human Rights of 1950

1. The Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms was drawn up within the Council of Europe. It was opened for signature in Rome on 4 November 1950 and entered into force in September 1953. The object of its authors was to take the first steps for the collective enforcement of certain of the rights stated in the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948.

2. In addition to laying down a catalogue of civil and political rights and freedoms, the Convention set up a system of enforcement of the obligations entered into by Contracting States. Three institutions were entrusted with this responsibility: the European Commission of Human Rights (set up in 1954), the European Court of Human Rights (set up in 1959) and the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, the latter organ being composed of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the member States or their representatives.

3. Under the 1950 Convention Contracting States and, where the Contracting States had accepted the right of individual petition, individual applicants (individuals, groups of individuals or non-governmental organisations) could lodge complaints against Contracting States for alleged violations of Convention rights.

The complaints were first the subject of a preliminary examination by the Commission, which determined their admissibility. Where applications had been declared admissible and no friendly settlement had been reached, the Commission drew up a report establishing the facts and expressing an opinion on the merits of the case. The report was transmitted to the Committee of Ministers.

4. Where the respondent State had accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court, the Commission and/or any Contracting State concerned had a period of three months following the transmission of the report to the Committee of Ministers within which to bring the case before the Court for a final, binding adjudication. Individuals were not entitled to bring their cases before the Court.

If a case was not referred to the Court, the Committee of Ministers decided whether there had been a violation of the Convention and, if appropriate, awarded just satisfaction to the victim. The Committee of Ministers also had responsibility for supervising the execution of the Court's judgments.

B. Subsequent developments

5. Since the Convention's entry into force eleven Protocols have been adopted. Protocols Nos. 1, 4, 6 and 7 to the Convention added further rights and liberties to those guaranteed and Protocol No. 2 conferred on the Court the power to give advisory opinions. Protocol No. 9 enabled individual applicants to bring their cases before the Court, subject to ratification by the respondent State and acceptance by a Screening Panel. Protocol No. 11 restructured the enforcement machinery (see below). The remaining Protocols concerned the organisation of and procedure before the Convention institutions.

6. From 1980 onwards, the steady growth in the number of cases brought before the Convention institutions made it increasingly difficult to keep the length of proceedings within acceptable limits. The problem was aggravated by the accession of new Contracting States from 1990.

The number of applications registered annually with the Commission increased from 404 in 1981 to 2,037 in 1993. By 1997 that figure had more than doubled (4,750). By 1997 the number of unregistered or provisional files opened each year in the Commission had risen to over 12,000. The Court's statistics reflected a similar story, with the number of cases referred annually rising from 7 in 1981 to 52 in 1993 and 119 in 1997.

7. The increasing case-load had prompted a lengthy debate on the necessity for a reform of the Convention supervisory machinery. Opinions were divided at the beginning of the negotiations on restructuring the Convention system, but ultimately the solution adopted was the creation of a single full-time court. The aim was to simplify the structure with a view to shortening the length of proceedings and at the same time to strengthen the judicial character of the system by making it fully compulsory and abolishing the Committee of Ministers' adjudicative role.

On 11 May 1994 Protocol No. 11 to the European Convention on Human Rights "restructuring the control machinery" was opened for signature.

THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

A. Transitional period

8. Protocol No. 11 required ratification by all the Contracting States and entered into force one year after the last ratification had been deposited. That ratification was deposited with the Council of Europe in October 1997, ushering in a preparatory period of one year during which the judges were elected and held a number of meetings to take the necessary organisational and procedural measures for the establishment of the Court. In particular, the judges elected their office holders and drew up new draft Rules of Court.

The new European Court of Human Rights came into operation on 1 November 1998 with the entry into force of Protocol No. 11. On 31 October 1998, the old Court had ceased to function. However, the Protocol provided that the Commission should continue for one year (until 31 October 1999) to deal with cases which had been declared admissible before the date of entry into force.

B. Organisation of the Court

9. The European Court of Human Rights set up under the Convention as amended is composed of a number of judges equal to that of the Contracting States (currently forty one). There is no restriction on the number of judges of the same nationality. Judges are elected by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe for a term of six years. The terms of office of one half of the judges elected at the first election will expire after three years, so as to ensure that the terms of office of one half of the judges are renewed every three years.

Judges sit on the Court in their individual capacity and do not represent any State. They cannot engage in any activity which is incompatible with their independence or impartiality or with the demands of full-time office. Their terms of office expire when they reach the age of seventy.

The Plenary Court elects its President, two Vice-Presidents and two Presidents of Section for a period of three years. However, for a period of three years from the entry into force of Protocol No. 11 the two Presidents of Section who are not simultaneously Vice-Presidents of the Court (see paragraph 10, below) are elected for a term of office of eighteen months.

10. Under the Rules of Court, the Court is divided into four Sections, whose composition, fixed for three years, is geographically and gender balanced and takes account of the different legal systems of the Contracting States. Each Section is presided over by a President, two of the Section Presidents being at the same time Vice-Presidents of the Court. Section Presidents are assisted and where necessary replaced by Vice-Presidents of Section.

11. Committees of three judges are set up within each Section for twelve month periods. Committees are an important feature in the new structure as they are responsible for much of the filtering formerly carried out by the Commission.

12. Chambers of seven members are constituted within each Section on the basis of rotation, with the Section President and the judge elected in respect of the State concerned sitting in each case. Where the latter is not a member of the Section, he or she sits as an *ex officio* member of the Chamber. The members of the Section who are not full members of the Chamber sit as substitute members.

13. The Grand Chamber of seventeen judges is constituted for three years. Apart from the *ex officio* members - the President, Vice-Presidents and Section Presidents - the Grand Chamber is formed by rotation within two groups, which alternate every nine months. These groups are composed with a view to geographical balance and are intended to reflect the different legal traditions.

C. Procedure before the Court

1. General

14. Any Contracting State (State application) or individual claiming to be a victim of a violation of the Convention (individual application) may lodge directly with the Court in Strasbourg an application alleging a breach by a Contracting State of one of the Convention rights. A notice for the guidance of applicants and forms for making applications may be obtained from the Registry.

15. The procedure before the European Court of Human Rights is adversarial and public. Hearings are, in principle, public, unless the Chamber/Grand Chamber decides otherwise on account of exceptional circumstances. Memorials and other documents filed with the Court's Registry by the parties are accessible to the public.

16. Individual applicants may submit applications themselves, but legal representation is recommended, and even required for hearings or after a decision declaring an application admissible. The Council of Europe has set up a legal aid scheme for applicants who do not have sufficient means.

17. The official languages of the Court are English and French, but applications may be drafted in one of the official languages of the Contracting States. Once the application has been declared admissible, one of the Court's official languages must be used, unless the President of the Chamber/Grand Chamber authorises the continued use of the language of the application.

2. Admissibility procedure

18. Each individual application is assigned to a Section, whose President designates a rapporteur. After a preliminary examination of the case, the rapporteur decides whether it should be dealt with by a three-member Committee or by a Chamber.

19. A Committee may decide, by unanimous vote, to declare inadmissible or strike out an application where it can do so without further examination.

20. Individual applications which are not declared inadmissible by Committees or which are referred directly to a Chamber by the rapporteur and State applications are examined by a Chamber. Chambers determine both admissibility and merits, usually in separate decisions but where appropriate together.

21. Chambers may at any time relinquish jurisdiction in favour of the Grand Chamber where a case raises a serious question of interpretation of the Convention or where there is a risk of departing from existing case-law, unless one of the parties objects to such relinquishment within one month of notification of the intention to relinquish.

22. The first stage of the procedure is generally written, although the Chamber may decide to hold a hearing, in which case issues arising in relation to the merits will normally also be addressed.

23. Chamber decisions on admissibility, which are taken by majority vote, must contain reasons and be made public.

3. Procedure on the merits

24. Once the Chamber has decided to admit the application, it may invite the parties to submit further evidence and written observations, including any claims for “just satisfaction” by the applicant, and to attend a public hearing on the merits of the case.

25. The President of the Chamber may, in the interests of the proper administration of justice, invite or grant leave to any Contracting State which is not party to the proceedings, or any person concerned who is not the applicant, to submit written comments, and, in exceptional circumstances, to make representations at the hearing. A Contracting State whose national is an applicant in the case is entitled to intervene as of right.

26. During the procedure on the merits, negotiations aimed at securing a friendly settlement may be conducted through the intermediary of the Registrar. The friendly settlement negotiations are confidential.

4. Judgments

27. Chambers decide by a majority vote. Any judge who has taken part in the consideration of the case is entitled to append to the judgment a separate opinion, either concurring or dissenting, or a bare statement of dissent.

28. Within three months of delivery of the judgment of a Chamber, any party may request that a case be referred to the Grand Chamber if it raises a serious question of interpretation or application or a serious issue of general importance. Such requests are examined by a Grand Chamber panel of five judges composed of the President of the Court, the Section Presidents, with the exception of the Section President who presides over the Section to which the Chamber that gave judgment belongs, and another judge selected by rotation from judges who were not members of the original Chamber.

29. A Chamber’s judgment becomes final at the expiry of the three month period or earlier if the parties announce that they have no intention of requesting a referral or after a decision of the panel rejecting the request for referral.

30. If the panel accepts the request, the Grand Chamber renders its decision on the case in the form of a judgment. The Grand Chamber decides by a majority vote and its judgments are final.

31. All final judgments of the Court are binding on the respondent States concerned.

32. Responsibility for supervising the execution of judgments lies with the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe. It is thus for the Committee of Ministers to verify whether States in respect of which a violation of the Convention is found have taken adequate remedial measures to comply with the specific or general obligations arising out the Court’s judgments.

5. Advisory opinions

33. The Court may, at the request of the Committee of Ministers, give advisory opinions on legal questions concerning the interpretation of the Convention and Protocols.

Decisions of the Committee of Ministers to request an advisory opinion are taken by a majority vote.

34. Advisory opinions are given by the Grand Chamber and given by a majority vote. Any judge may attach to the advisory opinion a separate opinion or a bare statement of dissent.

II. COMPOSITION OF THE COURT

On 31 December 1999 the Court was composed as follows (in order of precedence):

Mr Luzius WILDHABER, President	(Swiss)
Mrs Elisabeth PALM, Vice-President	(Swedish)
Mr Christos ROZAKIS, Vice-President	(Greek)
Mr Nicolas BRATZA, Section President	(British)
Mr Matti PELLONPÄÄ, Section President	(Finnish)
Mr Benedetto CONFORTI	(Italian)
Mr Antonio PASTOR RIDRUEJO	(Spanish)
Mr Luigi FERRARI BRAVO	(Italian) ¹
Mr Gaukur JÖRUNDSSON	(Icelandic)
Mr Georg RESS	(German)
Mr Giovanni BONELLO	(Maltese)
Mr Lucius CAFLISCH	(Swiss) ²
Mr Loukis LOUCAIDES	(Cypriot)
Mr Jerzy MAKARCZYK	(Polish)
Mr Pranas KŪRIS	(Lithuanian)
Mr Ireneu CABRAL BARRETO	(Portuguese)
Mr Riza TÜRMEŒEN	(Turkish)
Mr Jean-Paul COSTA	(French)
Mrs Françoise TULKENS	(Belgian)
Mrs Viera STRÁŽNICKÁ	(Slovakian)
Mr Corneliu BÎRSAN	(Romanian)
Mr Peer LORENZEN	(Danish)
Mr Willi FUHRMANN	(Austrian)
Mr Karel JUNGWIERT	(Czech)
Mr Marc FISCHBACH	(Luxemburger)
Mr Volodymyr BUTKEVYCH	(Ukrainian)
Mr Josep CASADEVALL	(Andorran)

¹ Elected as the judge in respect of San Marino.

² Elected as the judge in respect of Liechtenstein.

Mr Boštjan ZUPANČIČ	(Slovenian)
Mrs Nina VAJIĆ	(Croatian)
Mr John HEDIGAN	(Irish)
Mrs Wilhelmina THOMASSEN	(Dutch)
Mrs Margarita TSATSA-NIKOLOVSKA	(citizen of "the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia")
Mr Tudor PANTIRU	(Moldovan)
Mrs Hanne Sophie GREVE	(Norwegian)
Mr András BAKA	(Hungarian)
Mr Rait MARUSTE	(Estonian)
Mr Egils LEVITS	(Latvian)
Mr Kristaq TRAJA	(Albanian)
Mrs Snejana BOTOCHAROVA	(Bulgarian)
Mr Mindia UGREKHELIDZE	(Georgian)
Mr Anatoly KOVLER	(Russian)
Mr Michele de SALVIA, Registrar	(Italian)
Mr Paul MAHONEY, Deputy Registrar	(British)
Mrs Maud DE BOER-BUQUICCHIO, Deputy Registrar	(Dutch)

III. COMPOSITION OF THE GRAND CHAMBER AND SECTIONS

On 31 December 1999 the composition of the Grand Chamber and Sections was as follows (in order or precedence):

Grand Chamber 1

Mr L. Wildhaber, *President*
Mrs E. Palm
Mr C.L. Rozakis
Sir Nicolas Bratza
Mr M. Pellonpää
Mr B. Conforti
Mr A. Pastor Ridruejo
Mr G. Bonello
Mr J. Makarczyk
Mr P. Kūris
Mr R. Türmen
Mrs F. Tulkens
Mrs V. Strážnická
Mr C. Bîrsan
Mr P. Lorenzen
Mr M. Fischbach
Mr V. Butkevych
Mr J. Casadevall
Mrs H. S. Greve
Mr A.B. Baka
Mr R. Maruste
Mrs S. Botoucharova
Mr M. Ugrekhelidze

Grand Chamber 2

Mr L. Wildhaber, *President*
Mrs E. Palm
Mr C.L. Rozakis
Sir Nicolas Bratza
Mr M. Pellonpää
Mr L. Ferrari Bravo
Mr Gaukur Jörundsson
Mr G. Ress
Mr L. Caflisch
Mr L. Loucaides
Mr I. Cabral Barreto
Mr J.-P. Costa
Mr W. Fuhrmann
Mr K. Jungwiert
Mr B. Zupančič
Mrs N. Vajić
Mr J. Hedigan
Mrs W. Thomassen
Mrs M. Tsatsa-Nikolovska
Mr T. Panfîru
Mr E. Levits
Mr K. Traja

Section 1

Mrs E. Palm, *President*
Mr J. Casadevall
Mr L. Ferrari Bravo
Mr Gaukur Jörundsson
Mr R. Türmen
Mr C. Bîrsan
Mr B. Zupančič
Mrs W. Thomassen
Mr T. Panțîru
Mr R. Maruste

Mr M. O'Boyle, *Registrar*

Section 3

Sir Nicolas Bratza, *President*
Mr J.-P. Costa
Mr L. Loucaides
Mr P. Kūris
Mrs F. Tulkens
Mr W. Fuhrmann
Mr K. Jungwiert
Mrs H.S. Greve
Mr K. Traja
Mr M. Ugrekhelidze

Mrs S. Dollé, *Registrar*

Section 2

Mr C.L. Rozakis, *President*
Mr M. Fischbach
Mr L. Wildhaber
Mr B. Conforti
Mr G. Bonello
Mrs V. Strážnická
Mr P. Lorenzen
Mrs M. Tsatsa-Nikolovska
Mr A.B. Baka
Mr E. Levits
Mr A. Kovler

Mr E. Fribergh, *Registrar*

Section 4

Mr M. Pellonpää, *President*
Mr G. Ress
Mr A. Pastor Ridruejo
Mr L. Caflisch
Mr J. Makarczyk
Mr I. Cabral Barreto
Mr V. Butkevych
Mrs N. Vajić
Mr J. Hedigan
Mrs S. Botoucharova

Mr V. Berger, *Registrar*

IV. CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF JUDGMENTS DELIVERED BY THE COURT IN 1999

1. **Fressoz and Roire v. France**
(N° 29183/95)
21 January 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction of journalists for publishing extracts from tax-assessment forms (Art. 10)
[violation]
2. **Garcia Ruiz v. Spain**
(N° 30544/96)
21 January 1999 [Grand Chamber] alleged failure of court to reply to arguments (Art. 6 §§ 1 and 3(c))
[no violation]
3. **Van Geyseghe v. Belgium**
(N° 26103/95)
21 January 1999 [Grand Chamber] appellate court's refusal to allow a lawyer to represent his client where the latter did not attend in person (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
4. **Janowski v. Poland**
(N° 25716/94)
21 January 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for insulting municipal guards (Art. 10)
[no violation]
5. **Tsavachidis v. Greece**
(N° 28802/95)
21 January 1999 [Grand Chamber] alleged secret surveillance of Jehovah's Witness (Arts. 8 and 9)
[struck out - arrangement]
6. **Matthews v. United Kingdom**
(N° 24833/94)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] exclusion of Gibraltar from European parliamentary elections (Art. 3 of Prot. 1)
[violation]
7. **Laino v. Italy**
(N° 33158/96)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
8. **Waite and Kennedy v. Germany**
(N° 26083/94)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] access to court - immunity of international organisation (Art. 6 § 1)
[no violation]
9. **Beer and Regan v. Germany**
(N° 28934/95)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] access to court - immunity of international organisation (Art. 6 § 1)
[no violation]
10. **Cable and others v. United Kingdom**
(N° 24436/94, 24582/94, 24583/94, 24584/94, 24895/94, 25937/94, 25939/94, 25940/94, 25941/94, 26271/95, 26525/95, 27341/95, 27342/95, 27346/95, 27357/95, 27389/95, 27409/95, 27760/95, 27762/95, 27772/95, 28009/95, 28790/95, 30236/96, 30239/96, 30276/96, 30277/96, 30460/96, 30461/96, 30462/96, 31399/96, 31400/96, 31434/96, 31899/96, 32024/96, 32944/96),
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] independence and impartiality of courts martial (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 11. | Hood v. United Kingdom
(N° 27267/95)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] | independence of military officer before whom detainee brought (Art. 5 §§ 3 and 5) [violation]; independence and impartiality of court martial (Art. 6 § 1) [violation] |
| 12. | Larkos v. Cyprus
(N° 29515/95)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] | discriminatory treatment of tenants of State-owned accommodation (Art. 14 + Art. 8) [violation] |
| 13. | Buscarini and others v. San Marino
(N° 24645/94)
18 February 1999 [Grand Chamber] | obligation of Members of Parliament to swear an oath on the Gospels (Art. 9) [violation] |
| 14. | Papachelas v. Greece
(N° 31423/96)
25 March 1999 [Grand Chamber] | irrebuttable presumption of benefit accruing from expropriation (Art. 1 of Prot. 1) [violation]; length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [no violation] |
| 15. | Iatridis v. Greece
(N° 31107/96)
25 March 1999 [Grand Chamber] | refusal of authorities to return property to tenant after eviction order quashed (Art. 1 of Prot. 1) [violation]; lack of effective remedy (Art. 13) [violation] |
| 16. | Musiał v. Poland
(N° 24557/94)
25 March 1999 [Grand Chamber] | length of time taken to decide on a request for release from psychiatric detention (Art. 5 § 4) [violation] |
| 17. | Nikolova v. Bulgaria
(N° 31195/96)
25 March 1999 [Grand Chamber] | role of investigator and prosecutor in ordering detention (Art. 5 § 3) [violation]; scope of court review of lawfulness of detention (Art. 5 § 4) [violation] |
| 18. | Pélissier and Sassi v. France
(N° 25444/94)
25 March 1999 [Grand Chamber] | reclassification of charge by appeal court without giving defence a proper opportunity to submit arguments (Art. 6 § 3(a) and (b)) [violation]; length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation] |
| 19. | Lemoine v. France
(N° 26242/95)
1 April 1999 [Section IV] | length of administrative proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [no jurisdiction - reference out of time] |
| 20. | Trome v. Spain
(N° 27781/95)
1 April 1999 [Section IV] | refusal to allow third party to intervene in civil proceedings which affect it (Art. 6 § 1) [struck out - arrangement] |
| 21. | Chassagnou and others v. France
(N° 25088/94, 28331/95, 28443/95)
29 April 1999 [Grand Chamber] | obligation of certain land-owners to join the local hunting association and allow hunting on their land (Arts. 11 and 14, and Art. 1 of Prot. 1) [violation] |
| 22. | Aquilina v. Malta
(N° 25642/94)
29 April 1999 [Grand Chamber] | detainee brought before judge without power to order release (Art. 5 § 3) [violation] |

23. **T.W. v. Malta**
(N° 25644/94)
29 April 1999 [Grand Chamber] detainee brought before judge without power to order release (Art. 5 § 3)
[violation]
24. **Antunes Tomás Rebocho v. Portugal**
(N° 34562/97)
30 April 1999 [Section IV] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
25. **Ledonne (N° 1) v. Italy**
(N° 35742/97)
12 May 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
26. **Saccomanno v. Italy**
(N° 36719/97)
12 May 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
27. **Ledonne (N° 2) v. Italy**
(N° 38414/97)
12 May 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
28. **Jaffredou v. France**
(N° 39843/98)
19 May 1999 [Section III] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
29. **Bladet Tromsø and Stensaas v. Norway**
(N° 21980/93)
20 May 1999 [Grand Chamber] defamation - reproduction of non-public official report (Art. 10)
[violation]
30. **Rekvényi v. Hungary**
(N°25390/94)
20 May 1999 [Grand Chamber] constitutional prohibition on police officers engaging in political activities and joining political parties (Arts. 10 and 11)
[no violation]
31. **Oğur v. Turkey**
(N° 21594/93)
20 May 1999 [Grand Chamber] shooting of night-watchman during military operation (Art. 2 + exhaustion)
[violation]
32. **Caillot v. France**
(N° 36932/97)
4 June 1999 [Section III] length of administrative proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
33. **Nunes Violante v. Portugal**
(N°33953/96)
8 June 1999 [Section IV] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
34. **Zubani v. Italy**
(N° 14025/88)
16 June 1999 [Grand Chamber] [just satisfaction]
35. **Abdurrahim Incedursun v. the Netherlands**
(N° 33124/96)
22 June 1999 [Grand Chamber] threatened expulsion to Turkey (Art. 3)
[friendly settlement]
36. **M.K. v. France**
(N° 30148/96)
28 June 1999 [Section I] interference with prisoner's correspondence (Art. 8)
[friendly settlement]

37. **Laureano Santos v. Portugal**
(N° 34139/96)
23 June 1999 [Section IV] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
38. **Matter v. Slovakia**
(N° 31534/96)
5 July 1999 [Section II] length of proceedings relating to deprivation of
legal capacity on mental health grounds
(Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
forcible psychiatric examination (Art. 8)
[no violation]
39. **S.N. v. Portugal**
(N° 33289/96)
6 July 1999 [Section IV] length of criminal proceedings which the
applicant had joined as a party seeking damages
(Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
40. **Millan i Tornes v. Andorra**
(N° 35052/97)
6 July 1999 [Section I] requirement to obtain authorisation of the
prosecution service in order to lodge an *empara*
appeal in criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[struck out - arrangement]
41. **Ceylan v. Turkey**
(N° 23556/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for incitement to hostility and hatred
(Art. 10)
[violation]
42. **Arslan v. Turkey**
(N° 23462/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for making separatist propaganda
(Art. 10)
[violation]
43. **Gerger v. Turkey**
(N° 24919/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for making separatist propaganda
(Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National
Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
44. **Polat v. Turkey**
(N° 23500/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for making separatist propaganda
(Art. 10)
[violation]
45. **Karataş v. Turkey**
(N° 23168/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for making separatist propaganda
(Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National
Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
46. **Erdoğdu and İnce v. Turkey**
(N° 25067/94 and N° 25068/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber] conviction for making separatist propaganda
(Art. 10)
[violation]

47. **Başkaya and Okçuoğlu v. Turkey**
(N° 23536/94 and 24408/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for making separatist propaganda (Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
absence of clear legal basis for imposing sentence of imprisonment (Art. 7)
[violation]
48. **Okçuoğlu v. Turkey**
(N° 24146/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for making separatist propaganda (Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
49. **Sürek and Özdemir v. Turkey**
(N° 23927/94 and N° 24277/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for making separatist propaganda (Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
50. **Sürek v. Turkey (no. 1)**
(N° 26682/95)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for making separatist propaganda (Art. 10)
[no violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
51. **Sürek v. Turkey (no. 2)**
(N° 24122/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for disclosing identity of officials responsible for combating terrorism (Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
52. **Sürek v. Turkey (no. 3)**
(N° 24735/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for making separatist propaganda (Art. 10)
[no violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
53. **Sürek v. Turkey (no. 4)**
(N° 24762/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- conviction for making separatist propaganda (Art. 10)
[violation]
independence and impartiality of National Security Court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]

54. **Tanrikulu v. Turkey**
(N° 23763/94)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- effectiveness of investigation into killing by unidentified perpetrators (Art. 2)
[violation]
availability of effective remedy (Art. 13)
[violation]
alleged discrimination (Art. 14)
[no violation]
hindrance of exercise of right of petition
[failure to comply with obligations]
55. **Çakıcı v. Turkey**
(N° 22479/93)
8 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- disappearance of applicant's brother after being taken into custody (Art. 2)
[violation]
alleged torture during custody (Art. 3)
[violation]
right to liberty and security (Art. 5)
[violation]
availability of effective remedy (Art. 13)
[violation]
Articles 14 and 18 [no violation]
56. **ERI, Lda. v. Portugal**
(N° 31823/96)
22 July 1999 [Section IV]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
57. **Scarth v. United Kingdom**
(N° 33745/96)
22 July 1999 [Section IV]
- lack of public hearing in arbitration proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
58. **Santos v. Portugal**
(N° 35586/97)
22 July 1999 [Section IV]
- length of criminal proceedings which the applicant had joined as a party seeking damages (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
59. **Caetano Baeta v. Portugal**
(N° 36671/97)
22 July 1999 [Section IV]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
60. **Bottazzi v. Italy**
(N° 34884/97)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
61. **A.P. v. Italy**
(N° 35265/97)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
62. **Di Mauro v. Italy**
(N° 34256/96)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
63. **A.L.M. v. Italy**
(N° 35284/97)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[no jurisdiction - reference out of time]
64. **Ferrari v. Italy**
(N° 33440/96)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
- length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]

65. **Immobiliare Saffi v. Italy**
(N° 22774/93)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
staggering of granting of police assistance to enforce eviction orders (Art. 1 of Prot. 1)
[violation]
prolonged non-enforcement of judicial decision and absence of possibility of court review of prefectural decisions staggering granting of police assistance (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
66. **Selmouni v. France**
(N° 25803/94)
28 July 1999 [Grand Chamber]
torture in police custody (Art. 3)
[violation]
length of criminal proceedings which the applicant had joined as a party seeking damages (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
67. **Douiye v. the Netherlands**
(N° 31464/96)
4 August 1999 [Grand Chamber]
alleged unlawfulness of detention due to procedural error in custody order (Art. 5 § 1)
[no violation]
speedy review of lawfulness of detention (Art. 5 § 4)
[no violation]
68. **Bosio and Moretti v. Italy**
(N° 36608/97)
6 September 1999 [Section II]
length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
69. **Bohunický v. Slovakia**
(N° 36570/97)
13 September 1999 [Section II]
length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
70. **Buscemi v. Italy**
(N° 29569/95)
16 September 1999 [Section II]
child custody (Art. 8)
[no violation]
impartiality of judge (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
71. **Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. United Kingdom**
(N° 31417/96 and N° 32377/96),
27 September 1999 [Section III]
dismissal of homosexuals from the armed forces following investigation into private life (Art. 8)
[violation]
72. **Smith and Grady v. United Kingdom**
(N° 33985/96 and N° 33986/96),
27 September 1999 [Section III]
dismissal of homosexuals from the armed forces following investigation into private life (Art. 8)
[violation]
degrading treatment (Art. 3)
[no violation]
availability of effective remedy (Art. 13)
[violation]
73. **Civet v. France**
(N° 29340/95)
28 September 1999 [Grand Chamber]
length of detention on remand (Art. 5 § 3)
[preliminary objection allowed - non-exhaustion]
74. **Dalban v. Romania**
(N° 28114/95)
28 September 1999 [Grand Chamber]
conviction for defamation (Art. 10)
[violation]

- | | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 75. | Öztürk v. Turkey
(N° 22479/93)
28 September 1999 [Grand Chamber] | conviction for incitement to hatred and hostility
(Art. 10)
[violation] |
| 76. | Serre v. France
(N° 29718/96)
29 September 1999 [Section III] | lack of public hearing in professional disciplinary proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation] |
| 77. | Moore and Gordon v. United Kingdom
(N° 36529/97 and N° 37393/97),
29 September 1999 [Section III] | independence and impartiality of courts martial
(Art. 6 § 1)
[violation] |
| 78. | Smith and Ford v. United Kingdom
(N° 37475/97 and N° 39036/97),
29 September 1999 [Section III] | independence and impartiality of courts martial
(Art. 6 § 1)
[violation] |
| 79. | Djaid v. France
(N° 38687/97)
29 September 1999 [Section III] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation] |
| 80. | Conceição Gavina v. Portugal
(N° 33435/96)
5 October 1999 [Section IV] | length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation] |
| 81. | Scaruffi v. Italy
(N° 33455/96)
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |
| 82. | Bagedda and Delogu v. Italy
(N° 33992/96)
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |
| 83. | Donsimoni v. France
(N° 36754/97)
5 October 1999 [Section III] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation] |
| 84. | Pesoni v. Italy
(N° 39694/98)
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |
| 85. | Mangiola v. Italy
(N° 40179/98)
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |
| 86. | La Brocca and others v. Italy
(N° 40293/98 and N° 40295/98)
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |
| 87. | Francesca v. Italy
(N° 40665/98)
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |
| 88. | Silvestri and others v. Italy
(N° 41327/98, 41328/98, 41329/98
and 41560/98),
5 October 1999 [Section II] | length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement] |

89. **Perks and others v. United Kingdom** (N° 25277/94, 25279/94, 25280/94, 25281/94, 25285/94, 28048/95, 28192/95 and 28456/95)
12 October 1999 [Section III] detention for non-payment of community charge (Art. 5 § 1)
[no violation]
unavailability of legal aid for proceedings relating to non-payment of community charge (Art. 6 § 3(c))
[violation]
90. **Riera Blume and others v. Spain** (N° 37680/97)
14 October 1999 [Section IV] holding of members of sect against their will in order to be de-programmed (Art. 5 § 1)
[violation]
91. **Humen v. Poland** (N° 26614/95)
15 October 1999 [Grand Chamber] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[no violation]
92. **G.S. v. Italy** (N° 34204/96)
19 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
93. **Gelli v. Italy** (N° 37752/97)
19 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
94. **Emmolo v. Italy** (N° 42500/98)
19 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
95. **Scandella v. Italy** (N° 43494/98)
19 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
96. **Macciocchi v. Italy** (N° 43584/98)
19 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
97. **Carrozza v. Italy** (N° 43598/98)
19 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
98. **Maini v. France** (N° 31808/96)
26 October 1999 [Section III] length of criminal proceedings which the applicant had joined as a party seeking damages (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
99. **Franzil v. Italy** (N° 34214/96)
26 October 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
100. **Ceriello v. Italy** (N° 33620/97)
26 October 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
101. **Scalvini v. Italy** (N° 36621/97)
26 October 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]

102.	Calor Sud v. Italy (N° 36624/97) 26 October 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
103.	Varipati v. Greece (N° 38459/97) 26 October 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation] effect of length on property rights (Art. 1 of Prot. 1) [no violation]
104.	Ferrara and De Lorenzo v. Italy (N° 40282/98 and N° 40283/98) 26 October 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
105.	Escoubet v. Belgium (N° 26780/95) 28 October 1999 [Grand Chamber]	lack of access to court for review of temporary withdrawal of driving licence following accident (Art. 6 § 1) [no violation - Art. 6 not applicable]
106.	Zielinski and Pradal and Gonzalez and others v. France (N° 24846/94 and N° 34165/96 to N° 34173/96) 28 October 1999 [Grand Chamber]	passing of legislation affecting outcome of pending court proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
107.	Brumărescu v. Romania (N° 28342/95) 28 October 1999 [Grand Chamber]	annulment by Supreme Court of Justice of final and binding judgment ordering return of property previously nationalised (Art. 6 § 1) [violation] deprivation of property (Art. 1 of Prot. 1) [violation]
108.	Wille v. Liechtenstein (N° 28396/95) 28 October 1999 [Grand Chamber]	statement of intention on the part of the Prince of Liechtenstein not to appoint the applicant to public office in the future on account of his views on certain constitutional issues (Art. 10) [violation]
109.	Gatto v. Italy (N° 34469/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
110.	Iuliano v. Italy (N° 35756/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
111.	Rossi v. Italy (N° 36148/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
112.	Passadoro v. Italy (N° 36740/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
113.	G.M.N. v. Italy (N° 37131/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]

114.	Vitale and others v. Italy (N° 37166/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
115.	L.G. v. Italy (N° 37188/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
116.	Ali' v. Italy (N° 37484/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
117.	Ghilino v. Italy (N° 38116/97) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
118.	Errigo v. Italy (N° 39789/98) 2 November 1999 [Section II]	length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
119.	Osteo Deutschland GmbH v. Germany (N° 26988/95) 3 November 1999 [Section IV]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [struck out]
120.	Špaček s.r.o. v. the Czech Republic (N° 26449/95) 9 November 1999 [Section III]	accessibility of regulations modifying the manner of preparing business account (Art. 1 of Prot. 1) [no violation]
121.	Aprile de Puoti v. Italy (N° 32375/96) 9 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
122.	Crossland v. United Kingdom (N° 36120/97) 9 November 1999 [Section III]	unavailability of widows' allowance to widowers (Art. 14) [friendly settlement]
123.	Debboub alias Hussein Ali v. France (N° 37786/97) 9 November 1999 [Section III]	length of detention on remand (Art. 5 § 3) [violation]
124.	Arnò v. Italy (N° 38098/97) 9 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
125.	Bargagli v. Italy (N° 38109/97) 9 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
126.	M.C. v. Italy (N° 38478/97) 9 November 1999 [Section II]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
127.	Gozalvo v. France (N° 38894/97) 9 November 1999 [Section III]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]

128.	Gros v. France (N° 43743/98) 9 November 1999 [Section III]	length of proceedings relating to employment (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
129.	E.P. v. Italy (N° 31127/96) 16 November 1999 [Section II]	length of child care proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation] taking of child into care (Art. 8) [violation]
130.	Marques Gomes Galo v. Portugal (N° 35592/97) 23 November 1999 [Section IV]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
131.	Galinho Carvalho Matos v. Portugal (N° 35593/97) 23 November 1999 [Section IV]	length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
132.	Arvois v. France (N° 38249/97) 23 November 1999 [Section III]	length of administrative proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
133.	Hashman and Harrup v. United Kingdom (N° 25594/94) 25 November 1999 [Grand Chamber]	binding over to be of good behaviour - conduct <i>contra bonos mores</i> (Art. 10) [violation]
134.	Nilsen and Johnsen v. Norway (N° 23118/93) 25 November 1999 [Grand Chamber]	statements struck down by court as being null and void (Art. 10) [violation]
135.	Lughofer v. Austria (N° 22811/93) 30 November 1999 [Section III]	lack of public hearing in proceedings relating to land consolidation (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
136.	Faulkner v. United Kingdom (N° 30308/96) 30 November 1999 [Section III]	unavailability of legal aid for civil proceedings in Guernsey (Art. 6 § 1) [friendly settlement]
137.	Baghli v. France (N° 34374/97) 30 November 1999 [Section III]	expulsion of foreign national after lengthy period of residence (Art. 8) [no violation]
138.	Bouilly v. France (N° 38952/97) 7 December 1999 [Section III]	length of administrative proceedings (Art. 6 § 1) [violation]
139.	Pellegrin v. France (N° 28541/95) 8 December 1999 [Grand Chamber]	length of proceedings relating to the non-renewal of a contract of employment by the Government (Art. 6 § 1) [no violation - Article 6 not applicable]
140.	Freedom and Democracy Party (ÖZDEP) v. Turkey (N° 23885/94) 8 December 1999 [Grand Chamber]	dissolution of political party (Art. 11) [violation]

141. **De Blasiis v. Italy**
(N° 33969/96)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
142. **Khalifaoui v. France**
(N° 34791/97)
14 December 1999 [Section III] dismissal of appeal on points of law as a result of
appellant's failure to surrender into custody prior
to appeal hearing (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
143. **Penna v. Italy**
(N° 35168/97)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
144. **Ferreira de Sousa and
Costa Araújo v. Portugal**
(N° 36257/97)
14 December 1999 [Section IV] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
145. **A.M. v. Italy**
(N° 37019/97)
14 December 1999 [Section II] absence of opportunity to examine witnesses
abroad (Art. 6 §§ 3(d))
[violation]
146. **Antonakopoulos, Vortsela and
Antonakopoulou v. Greece**
(N° 37098/97)
14 December 1999 [Section III] refusal of authorities to implement final and
binding court judgment (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
non-payment by State of sums due to applicants
(Art. 1 of Prot. 1)
[violation]
147. **Marchetti v. Italy**
(N° 37702/97)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
148. **Serif v. Greece**
(N° 38178/97)
14 December 1999 [Section II] conviction of Muslim religious leader for usurping
functions of a minister of a "known religion"
(Art. 9)
[violation]
149. **Ediltes S.N.C. v. Italy**
(N° 40953/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
150. **Cittadini and Ruffini v. Italy**
(N° 40955/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
151. **I. v. Italy**
(N° 40957/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art 6 § 1)
[violation]
152. **Cantacessi v. Italy**
(N° 40959/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
153. **Cassetta v. Italy**
(N° 40961/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]

154. **Castelli v. Italy**
(N° 40962/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
155. **Aiello v. Italy**
(N° 40963/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
156. **R. v. Italy**
(N° 40964/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
157. **P. v. Italy**
(N° 40966/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
158. **Privitera v. Italy**
(N° 40967/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
159. **Muso v. Italy**
(N° 40969/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
160. **Di Rosa v. Italy**
(N° 40970/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
161. **F. v. Italy**
(N° 40971/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
162. **Masi v. Italy**
(N° 40972/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
163. **Iadanza v. Italy**
(N° 40973/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
164. **Ercolino and Ambrosino v. Italy**
(N° 40976/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
165. **Mastroeni v. Italy**
(N° 41041/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
166. **G.B.Z., L.Z. and S.Z. v. Italy**
(N° 41603/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
167. **Iacopelli v. Italy**
(N° 41832/98)
14 December 1999 [Section IV] length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
168. **M.R. v. Italy**
(N° 41892/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]

169. **Lombardo v. Italy**
(N° 42353/98)
14 December 1999 [Section II] length of criminal proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[friendly settlement]
170. **T. v. United Kingdom**
(N° 24724/94)
16 December 1999 [Grand Chamber] trial of child for murder in adult court (Art. 3)
[no violation]
detention of convicted child "at Her Majesty's
pleasure" (Art. 5 § 1(a))
[no violation]
absence of review of continuing lawfulness of
detention (Art. 5 § 4)
[violation]
effective participation of child in trial in adult
court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
fixing by Executive of minimum period of
detention to be served within discretionary
sentence (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
171. **V. v. United Kingdom**
(N° 24888/94)
16 December 1999 [Grand Chamber] trial of child for murder in adult court (Art. 3)
[no violation]
detention of convicted child "at Her Majesty's
pleasure" (Art. 5 § 1(a))
[no violation]
absence of review of continuing lawfulness of
detention (Art. 5 § 4)
[violation]
effective participation of child in trial in adult
court (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
fixing by Executive of minimum period of
detention to be served within discretionary
sentence (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
172. **Skoutaridou v. Turkey**
(N° 16159/90)
17 December 1999 [Section I] access to property in northern Cyprus
(Art. 1 of Prot. 1)
[struck out]
173. **G.S. v. Austria**
(N° 26297/95)
21 December 1999 [Section III] length of proceedings relating to application for
licence to run pharmacy (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
174. **W.R. v. Austria**
(N° 26602/95)
21 December 1999 [Section III] length of disciplinary proceedings against a
lawyer (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]
175. **Salgueiro da Silva Mouta
v. Portugal**
(N° 33290/96)
21 December 1999 [Section IV] refusal to award custody to homosexual father
(Arts. 8 and 14)
[violation]
176. **Demirtepe v. France**
(N° 34821/97)
21 December 1999 [Section III] opening of prisoner's correspondence (Art. 8)
[violation]

177. **Freitas Lopes v. Portugal**
(N° 36325/97)
21 December 1999 [Section IV]

length of civil proceedings (Art. 6 § 1)
[violation]

V. SUBJECT-MATTER OF JUDGMENTS DELIVERED BY THE COURT IN 1999

Art. 2 *Cases concerning principally the right to life*

- death of a night-watchman during a military operation in Turkey (OĞUR);
- effectiveness of investigation into a death at the hands of unidentified perpetrators in Turkey (TANRIKULU);
- disappearance of detainee in Turkey (ÇAKICI).

Art. 3 *Cases concerning principally physical integrity*

- torture in detention in Turkey (ÇAKICI) and in France (SELMOUNI);
- threatened expulsion to Turkey from the Netherlands (ABDURRAHIM INCEDURSUN).

Art. 5 *Cases concerning principally the right to liberty and security*

- disappearance of detainee in Turkey (ÇAKICI);
- clerical error in detention order and time taken to review the lawfulness of the detention in the Netherlands (DOUIYEB);
- detention for non-payment of a community charge in the United Kingdom (PERKS AND OTHERS);
- holding of members of a sect for the purpose of de-programming them in Spain (RIERA BLUME AND OTHERS);
- detention "at Her Majesty's pleasure" of children convicted of murder in the United Kingdom and absence of review of the continuing lawfulness of their detention (T. v. THE UNITED KINGDOM ; V. v. THE UNITED KINGDOM);
- independence and impartiality of military officer before whom detained soldier brought in the United Kingdom (HOOD);
- role of investigator and prosecutor in ordering detention and the scope of review of lawfulness of detention in Bulgaria (NIKOLOVA);
- lack of power of judge in Malta to order release from detention (AQUILINA ; T.W. v. MALTA);
- length of pre-trial detention in France (CIVET ; DEBBOUB);
- time taken to decide on a request for release from psychiatric detention in Poland (MUSIAŁ).

Art. 6 *Cases concerning principally the right to a fair trial*

- alleged lack of access to a court in Germany as a result of the immunity of an international organisation (WAITE AND KENNEDY ; BEER AND REGAN);
- requirement to obtain the authorisation of the prosecution service in order to lodge an *empara* appeal in criminal proceedings in Andorra (MILLAN I TORNES);

- access to court to contest the withdrawal of a driving licence following a road traffic accident in Belgium (ESCOUBET);
- dismissal of an appeal on points of law in criminal proceedings in France because of the failure of the appellant to surrender into custody prior to the appeal hearing (KHALFAOUI);
- prolonged non-enforcement of judicial decision and absence of possibility of court review of prefectural decisions staggering granting of police assistance in Italy (IMMOBILIARE SAFFI);
- annulment by the Supreme Court of Justice in Romania of a final and binding judgment ordering restitution of nationalised property (BRUMĂRESCU);
- refusal of authorities to implement a final and binding judgment in Greece (ANTONAKOPOULOS, VORTSELA AND ANTONAKOPOULOU);
- alleged failure of courts to address arguments properly in civil proceedings in Spain (GARCIA RUIZ);
- refusal of appeal court in Belgium to allow representation of appellant who had failed to appear personally (VAN GEYSEGHEM);
- refusal to allow a third party to intervene in civil proceedings in Spain (TROME);
- unavailability of legal aid in proceedings relating to non-payment of a community charge in the United Kingdom (PERKS AND OTHERS) and for civil proceedings in Guernsey (FAULKNER);
- adoption of legislation affecting the outcome of pending court proceedings in France (ZIELINSKI AND PRADAL & GONZALEZ AND OTHERS);
- effectiveness of children's participation in their trial for murder in an adult court in the United Kingdom and the fixing by the Executive of the minimum period of detention (T. v. THE UNITED KINGDOM ; V. v. THE UNITED KINGDOM);
- lack of a public hearing in arbitration proceedings in the United Kingdom (SCARTH), in professional disciplinary proceedings in France (SERRE) and in land consolidation proceedings in Austria (LUGHOFER);
- length of proceedings before:
 - civil courts in France (JAFFREDOU ; ZIELINSKI AND PRADAL & GONZALEZ AND OTHERS ; GOZALVO), in Germany (OSTEO DEUTSCHLAND GMBH), in Greece (PAPACHELAS ; VARIPATI), in Italy (LAINO ; BOTTAZZI ; A.P. v. ITALY ; DI MAURO ; A.L.M. v. ITALY ; FERRARI ; BOSIO AND MORETTI ; CERIELLO ; SCALVINI ; CALOR SUD ; G.M.N. v. ITALY ; VITALE AND OTHERS ; L.G. v. ITALY ; GHILINO ; APRILE DE PUOTO ; ARNÓ ; BARGAGLI ; M.C. v. ITALY ; E.P. v. ITALY ; EDILTES S.N.C. ; CITTADINI AND RUFFINI ; I. v. ITALY ; CANTACESSI ; CASSETTA ; CASTELLI ; AIELLO ; R. v. ITALY ; P. v. ITALY ; PRIVITERA ; MUSO ; DI ROSA ; F. v. ITALY ; MASI ; IADANZA ; ERCOLINO AND AMBROSINO ; IACOPELLI), in Poland (HUMEN), in Portugal (ANTUNES TOMAS REBOCHO ; NUNES VIOLANTE ; LAUREANO SANTOS ; ERI, Lda ; CAETANO BAETA ; CONCEIÇÃO GAVINA ; MARQUES GOMES GALO ; GALINHO CARVALHO MATOS ; FERREIRA DE SOUSA AND COSTA ARAÚJO ; FREITAS LOPES) and in Slovakia (MATTER ; BOHUNICKÝ);
 - administrative courts in Austria (G.S. v. AUSTRIA) and in France (LEMOINE ; CAILLOT ; ARVOIS ; BOUILLY ; PELLEGRIN);
 - disciplinary bodies in Austria (W.R. v. AUSTRIA);
 - labour courts in France (GROS);

criminal courts in France (PELISSIER AND SASSI ; DJAID ; DONSIMONI), in Italy (LEDONNE (N° 1) ; SACCOMANO ; LEDONNE (N° 2) ; SCARUFFI ; BAGEDDA AND DELOGU; PESONI ; MANGIOLA ; LA BROCCA AND OTHERS ; FRANCESCA ; SILVESTRI AND OTHERS ; G.S. v. ITALY ; GELLI ; EMMOLO ; SCANDELLA ; MACCIOCCHI ; CARROZZA ; FRANZIL ; FERRARA AND DE LORENZO ; GATTO ; IULIANO ; ROSSI ; PASSADORO ; ALI' ; ERRIGO ; DE BLASII ; MARCHETTI ; MASTROENI ; LOMBARDO ; M.R. v. ITALY ; PENNA ; G.B.Z., L.Z. AND S.Z v. ITALY);

civil party application to join criminal proceedings in France (SELMOUNI ; MAINI) and in Portugal (S.N. v. PORTUGAL ; SANTOS);

- independence and impartiality of National Security Courts in Turkey (GERGER ; KARATAŞ ; BAŞKAYA AND OKÇUOĞLU ; OKÇUOĞLU ; SÜREK AND ÖZDEMİR ; SÜREK (N° 1) ; SÜREK (N° 2) ; SÜREK (N° 3) ; SÜREK (N° 4)) and of courts martial in the United Kingdom (CABLE AND OTHERS ; HOOD ; MOORE AND GORDON ; SMITH AND FORD);
- impartiality of a judge in child care proceedings in Italy (BUSCEMI);
- reclassification of criminal charge by an appeal court in France (PELISSIER AND SASSI);
- absence of any opportunity to question witnesses living abroad in connection with criminal proceedings in Italy (A.M. v. ITALY).

Art. 7 *Cases concerning principally non-retroactivity of criminal offences and penalties*

- absence of a clear legal basis for imposing a sentence of imprisonment on a publisher in Turkey (BAŞKAYA AND OKÇUOĞLU).

Art. 8 *Cases concerning principally the right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence*

- forcible psychiatric examination in Slovakia (MATTER);
- alleged secret surveillance of a Jehovah's Witness in Greece (TSAVACHIDIS);
- dismissal of homosexuals from the armed forces in the United Kingdom following investigations into their private lives (LUSTIG-PREAN AND BECKETT ; SMITH AND GRADY)
- child custody in Italy (BUSCEMI);
- taking of a child into care in Italy (E.P. v. ITALY);
- refusal to award custody to a father in Portugal on account of his homosexuality (SALGUEIRA DA SILVA MOUTA);
- expulsion of a foreign national after a lengthy period of residence in France (BAGHLI);
- opening of prisoners' correspondence by prison authorities in France (M.K. v. FRANCE ; DEMIRTEPE).

Art. 9 *Cases concerning principally freedom of religion*

- alleged secret surveillance of a Jehovah's Witness in Greece (TSAVACHIDIS);
- obligation of Members of Parliament in San Marino to swear an oath on the Gospels (BUSCARINI AND OTHERS);
- conviction of a Muslim religious leader in Greece for usurping the functions of a minister of a "known religion" (SERIF).

Art. 10 *Cases concerning principally freedom of expression*

- conviction of journalists for publishing extracts from tax-assessment forms in France (FREZZOZ AND ROIRE);
- conviction for insulting municipal guards in Poland (JANOWSKI);
- constitutional prohibition in Hungary on police officers engaging in political activities (REKVÉNYI);
- award against a newspaper and journalist for defamation in Norway (BLADET TROMSØ AND STENSAAS);
- conviction for incitement to hostility and hatred in Turkey (CEYLAN ; ÖZTÜRK);
- convictions for making separatist propaganda in Turkey (ARSLAN ; GERGER ; POLAT ; KARATAŞ ; ERDOĞDU AND İNCE ; BAŞKAYA AND OKÇUOĞLU ; OKÇUOĞLU ; SÜREK AND ÖZDEMİR ; SÜREK (N° 1) ; SÜREK (N° 3) ; SÜREK (N° 4));
- conviction for disclosing the identity of officials responsible for combatting terrorism in Turkey (SÜREK (N° 2));
- conviction for defamation in Romania (DALBAN);
- declaration by the Prince of Liechtenstein not to appoint the applicant to public office in the future on account of the latter's views on certain constitutional issues (WILLÉ);
- binding over to be of good behaviour following conduct *contra bonos mores* in the United Kingdom (HASHMAN AND HARRUP);
- striking down of statements as null and void by a court in Norway (NILSEN AND JOHNSEN).

Art. 11 *Cases concerning principally freedom of peaceful assembly and freedom of association*

- dissolution of a political party by the Constitutional Court in Turkey (FREEDOM AND DEMOCRACY PARTY (ÖZDEP));
- obligation of certain land-owners in France to belong to the local hunting association (CHASSAGNOU AND OTHERS);
- constitutional prohibition in Hungary on police officers joining political parties (REKVÉNYI).

Art. 13 *Cases concerning principally the right to an effective remedy before a national authority*

- remedy in respect of the refusal of the authorities to return property after an eviction order had been quashed in Greece (IATRIDIS);
- remedy in respect of an inadequate investigation into a killing by unidentified perpetrators in Turkey (TANRIKULU);
- remedy in respect of the disappearance of a person taken into detention in Turkey (ÇAKIÇI);
- remedy in respect of dismissal of homosexuals from the armed forces in the United Kingdom (SMITH AND GRADY).

Art. 14 *Cases concerning principally the prohibition of discrimination*

- refusal to award custody to a father in Portugal on account of his homosexuality (SALGUEIRA DA SILVA MOUTA) (Article 8 of the Convention);
- position of tenants of State-owned accommodation in Cyprus (LARKOS) (Article 8 of the Convention);
- obligation of certain land-owners in France to belong to the local hunting association (CHASSAGNOU AND OTHERS) (Article 11 of the Convention and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1);
- unavailability of widow's allowance to widowers in the United Kingdom (CROSSLAND) (Article 1 of Protocol No. 1).

Art. 1 *Cases concerning principally the right of property*

Prot. 1

- irrebuttable presumption of benefit accruing from an expropriation in Greece (PAPACHELAS);
- refusal of authorities to return property to a tenant after an eviction order had been quashed in Greece (IATRIDIS);
- obligation of certain land-owners in France to allow hunting on their land (CHASSAGNOU AND OTHERS);
- staggering of granting of police assistance to enforce eviction orders in Italy (IMMOBILIARE SAFFI);
- effect of length of proceedings on property rights in Greece (VARIPATI);
- deprivation of property as a result of the annulment by Supreme Court of Justice of Romania of a final and binding judgment ordering return of nationalised property (BRUMĂRESCU);
- alleged insufficient accessibility of regulations modifying the manner of preparing business accounts in the Czech Republic (ŠPAČEK SRO);
- non-payment by the authorities of sums due following court judgment (ANTONAKOPOULOS, VORTSELA AND ANTONAKOPOULOU);
- access to property in northern Cyprus (SKOUTARIDOU v. TURKEY).

Art. 3 *Cases concerning principally the right to free elections*

Prot. 1

- the exclusion of Gibraltar from European Parliament elections (MATTHEWS v. THE UNITED KINGDOM).

VI. CONCLUSION REACHED IN JUDGMENTS DELIVERED IN 1999

a. Judgments in which the Court found at least one violation:

1.	Fressoz and Roire v. France	Article 10
2.	Van Geyseghem v. Belgium	Article 6 § 1
3.	Matthews v. United Kingdom	Article 3 of Protocol No. 1
4.	Laino v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
5.	Cable and others v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
6.	Hood v. United Kingdom	Article 5 §§ 3 and 5 and Article 6 § 1
7.	Larkos v. Cyprus	Article 14 in conjunction with Article 8
8.	Buscarini and others v. San Marino	Article 9
9.	Papachelas v. Greece	Article 1 of Protocol No. 1
10.	Iatridis v. Greece	Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 and Article 13
11.	Musiał v. Poland	Article 5 § 4
12.	Nikolova v. Bulgaria	Article 5 § 3 and Article 5 § 4
13.	Pélissier and Sassi v. France	Article 6 § 3(a) and (b) and Article 6 § 1
14.	Chassagnou and others v. France	Article 11 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1, alone and in conjunction with Article 14
15.	Aquilina v. Malta	Article 5 § 3
16.	T.W. v. Malta	Article 5 § 3
17.	Ledonne (N° 1) v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
18.	Sacomanno v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
19.	Ledonne (N° 2) v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
20.	Bladet Tromsø and Stensaas v. Norway	Article 10
21.	Oğur v. Turkey	Article 2
22.	Caillot v. France	Article 6 § 1
23.	Nunes Violante v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1
24.	Matter v. Slovakia	Article 6 § 1
25.	Ceylan v. Turkey	Article 10
26.	Arslan v. Turkey	Article 10
27.	Gerger v. Turkey	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
28.	Polat v. Turkey	Article 10
29.	Karataş v. Turkey	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
30.	Erdoğan and İnce v. Turkey	Article 10
31.	Başkaya and Okçuoğlu v. Turkey	Article 10, Article 6 § 1 and Article 7
32.	Okçuoğlu v. Turkey	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
33.	Süreç and Özdemir v. Turkey	Art. 10 and Article 6 § 1
34.	Süreç v. Turkey (no. 1)	Article 6 § 1
35.	Süreç v. Turkey (no. 2)	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
36.	Süreç v. Turkey (no. 3)	Article 6 § 1
37.	Süreç v. Turkey (no. 4)	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
38.	Tanrıkulu v. Turkey	Article 2 and Article 13 (+ former Article 25)
39.	Çakıcı v. Turkey	Article 2, Article 3, Article 5 and Article 13
40.	Scarth v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
41.	Santos v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1
42.	Bottazzi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
43.	A.P. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
44.	Di Mauro v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
45.	Ferrari v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
46.	Immobiliare Saffi v. Italy	Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 and Article 6 § 1
47.	Selmouni v. France	Article 3 and Article 6 § 1
48.	Bosio and Moretti v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
49.	Buscemi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
50.	Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. United Kingdom	Article 8
51.	Smith and Grady v. United Kingdom	Article 8 and Article 13

52.	Dalban v. Romania	Article 10
53.	Öztürk v. Turkey	Article 10
54.	Serre v. France	Article 6 § 1
55.	Moore and Gordon v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
56.	Smith and Ford v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
57.	Djaid v. France	Article 6 § 1
58.	Conceição Gavina v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1
59.	Donsimoni v. France	Article 6 § 1
60.	Perks and others v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 3(c)
61.	Riera Blume and others v. Spain	Article 5 § 1
62.	Gelli v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
63.	Maini v. France	Article 6 § 1
64.	Ceriello v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
65.	Scalvini v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
66.	Calor Sud v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
67.	Varipati v. Greece	Article 6 § 1
68.	Zielinski and Pradal and Gonzalez and others v. France	Article 6 § 1
69.	Brumărescu v. Romania	Article 6 § 1 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1
70.	Wille v. Liechtenstein	Article 10
71.	G.M.N. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
72.	Vitale and others v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
73.	L.G. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
74.	Ghilino v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
75.	Aprile de Puoti v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
76.	Debboub alias Hussein Ali v. France	Article 5 § 3
77.	Arnò v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
78.	Bargagli v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
79.	M.C. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
80.	Gozalvo v. France	Article 6 § 1
81.	E.P. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1 and Article 8
82.	Marques Gomes Galo v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1
83.	Galinho Carvalho Matos v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1
84.	Arvois v. France	Article 6 § 1
85.	Hashman and Harrup v. United Kingdom	Article 10
86.	Nilsen and Johnsen v. Norway	Article 10
87.	Lughofer v. Austria	Article 6 § 1
88.	Bouilly v. France	Article 6 § 1
89.	Freedom and Democracy Party (ÖZDEP) v. Turkey	Article 11
90.	De Blasiis v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
91.	Khalfaoui v. France	Article 6 § 1
92.	Ferreira de Sousa and Costa Araújo v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1
93.	A.M. v. Italy	Article 6 §§ 1 and 3(d)
94.	Antonakopoulos, Vortsela and Antonakopoulou v. Greece	Article 6 § 1 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1
95.	Marchetti v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
96.	Serif v. Greece	Article 9
97.	Ediltes S.N.C. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
98.	Cittadini and Ruffini v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
99.	I. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
100.	Cantacessi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
101.	Cassetta v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
102.	Castelli v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
103.	Aiello v. Italy	Article 6 § 1

104.	R. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
105.	P. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
106.	Privitera v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
107.	Muso v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
108.	Di Rosa v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
109.	F. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
110.	Masi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
111.	Iadanza v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
112.	Ercolino and Ambrosino v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
113.	G.B.Z., L.Z. and S.Z. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
114.	T. v. United Kingdom	Article 5 § 4 and Article 6 § 1
115.	V. v. United Kingdom	Article 5 § 4 and Article 6 § 1
116.	G.S. v. Austria	Article 6 § 1
117.	W.R. v. Austria	Article 6 § 1
118.	Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v. Portugal	Article 8 in conjunction with Article 14
119.	Demirtepe v. France	Article 8
120.	Freitas Lopes v. Portugal	Article 6 § 1

b. Judgments in which the Court found no violation:

1. **Garcia Ruiz v. Spain**
2. **Janowski v. Poland**
3. **Waite and Kennedy v. Germany**
4. **Beer and Regan v. Germany**
5. **Rekvényi v. Hungary**
6. **Douiye v. the Netherlands**
7. **Humen v. Poland**
8. **Escoubet v. Belgium**
9. **Špaček s.r.o. v. the Czech Republic**
10. **Baghli v. France**
11. **Pellegrin v. France**

c. Judgments striking the application out of the list of cases

1. **Tsavachidis v. Greece** (arrangement)
2. **Trome v. Spain** (arrangement)
3. **Antunes Tomás Rebocho v. Portugal** (friendly settlement)
4. **Jaffredou v. France** (friendly settlement)
5. **Abdurrahim Incedursun v. the Netherlands** (friendly settlement)
6. **M.K. v. France** (friendly settlement)
7. **Laureano Santos v. Portugal** (friendly settlement)
8. **S.N. v. Portugal** (friendly settlement)
9. **Millan i Tornes v. Andorra** (arrangement)
10. **ERI, Lda. v. Portugal** (friendly settlement)
11. **Caetano Baeta v. Portugal** (friendly settlement)
12. **Bohunický v. Slovakia** (friendly settlement)
13. **Scaruffi v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
14. **Bagedda and Delogu v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
15. **Pesoni v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
16. **Mangiola v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
17. **La Brocca and others v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
18. **Francesca v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
19. **Silvestri and others v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
20. **G.S. v. Italy** (friendly settlement)

21. **Emmolo v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
22. **Scandella v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
23. **Macciocchi v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
24. **Carrozza v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
25. **Franzil v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
26. **Ferrara and De Lorenzo v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
27. **Gatto v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
28. **Iuliano v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
29. **Rossi v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
30. **Passadoro v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
31. **Ali' v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
32. **Errigo v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
33. **Osteo Deutschland GmbH v. Germany**
34. **Crossland v. United Kingdom** (friendly settlement)
35. **Gros v. France** (friendly settlement)
36. **Faulkner v. United Kingdom** (friendly settlement)
37. **Penna v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
38. **Mastroeni v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
39. **Iacopelli v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
40. **M.R. v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
41. **Lombardo v. Italy** (friendly settlement)
42. **Skoutaridou v. Turkey**

d. Cases not examined on the merits:

1. **Lemoine v. France** (no jurisdiction)
2. **Zubani v. Italy** (just satisfaction)
3. **A.L.M. v. Italy** (no jurisdiction)
4. **Civet v. France** (non-exhaustion)

VII. VIOLATIONS BY STATE

VIOLATIONS

AUSTRIA

1. **Lughofer v. Austria** Article 6 § 1
2. **G.S. v. Austria** Article 6 § 1
3. **W.R. v. Austria** Article 6 § 1

BELGIUM

1. **Van Geyselhem v. Belgium** Article 6 § 1

BULGARIA

1. **Nikolova v. Bulgaria** Article 5 § 3 and Article 5 § 4

CYPRUS

1. **Larkos v. Cyprus** Article 14 in conjunction with Article 8

FRANCE

1. **Fressoz and Roire v. France** Article 10
2. **Pélissier and Sassi v. France** Article 6 § 3(a) and (b) and Article 6 § 1
3. **Chassagnou and others v. France** Article 11 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1
4. **Caillot v. France** Article 6 § 1
5. **Selmouni v. France** Article 3 and Article 6 § 1
6. **Serre v. France** Article 6 § 1
7. **Djaid v. France** Article 6 § 1
8. **Donsimoni v. France** Article 6 § 1
9. **Maini v. France** Article 6 § 1
10. **Zielinski and Pradal and Gonzalez and others v. France** Article 6 § 1
11. **Debboub alias Hussein Ali v. France** Article 5 § 3
12. **Gozalvo v. France** Article 6 § 1
13. **Arvois v. France** Article 6 § 1
14. **Bouilly v. France** Article 6 § 1
15. **Khalifaoui v. France** Article 6 § 1
16. **Demirtepe v. France** Article 8

GREECE

1. **Papachelas v. Greece** Article 1 of Protocol No. 1
2. **Iatridis v. Greece** Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 and Article 13
3. **Varipati v. Greece** Article 6 § 1
4. **Antonakopoulos, Vortsela and Antonakopoulou v. Greece** Article 6 § 1 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1
5. **Serif v. Greece** Article 9

ITALY

1.	Laino v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
2.	Ledonne (N° 1) v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
3.	Sacomanno v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
4.	Ledonne (N° 2) v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
5.	Bottazzi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
6.	A.P. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
7.	Di Mauro v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
8.	Ferrari v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
9.	Immobiliare Saffi v. Italy	Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 and Article 6 § 1
10.	Bosio and Moretti v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
11.	Buscemi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
12.	Gelli v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
13.	Ceriello v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
14.	Scalvini v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
15.	Calor Sud v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
16.	G.M.N. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
17.	Vitale and others v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
18.	L.G. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
19.	Ghilino v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
20.	Aprile de Puoti v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
21.	Arnò v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
22.	Bargagli v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
23.	M.C. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
24.	E.P. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1 and Article 8
25.	De Blasiis v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
26.	Marchetti v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
27.	A.M. v. Italy	Article 6 §§ 1 and 3(d)
28.	G.B.Z., L.Z. and S.Z. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
29.	Ediltes S.N.C. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
30.	Cittadini and Ruffini v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
31.	I. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
32.	Cantacessi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
33.	Cassetta v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
34.	Castelli v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
35.	Aiello v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
36.	R. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
37.	P. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
38.	Privitera v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
39.	Muso v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
40.	Di Rosa v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
41.	F. v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
42.	Masi v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
43.	Iadanza v. Italy	Article 6 § 1
44.	Ercolino and Ambrosino v. Italy	Article 6 § 1

LIECHTENSTEIN

1.	Wille v. Liechtenstein	Article 10
----	-------------------------------	------------

MALTA

1.	Aquilina v. Malta	Article 5 § 3
2.	T.W. v. Malta	Article 5 § 3

NORWAY

1. **Bladet Tromsø and Stensaas v. Norway** Article 10
2. **Nilsen and Johnsen v. Norway** Article 10

POLAND

1. **Musiał v. Poland** Article 5 § 4

PORTUGAL

1. **Nunes Violante v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
2. **Santos v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
3. **Conceição Gavina v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
4. **Marques Gomes Galo v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
5. **Galinho Carvalho Matos v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
6. **Ferreira de Sousa and Costa Araújo v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
7. **Freitas Lopes v. Portugal** Article 6 § 1
8. **Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v. Portugal** Article 8 in conjunction with Article 14

ROMANIA

1. **Dalban v. Romania** Article 10
2. **Brumărescu v. Romania** Article 6 § 1 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1

SAN MARINO

1. **Buscarini and others v. San Marino** Article 9

SLOVAKIA

1. **Matter v. Slovakia** Article 6 § 1

SPAIN

1. **Riera Blume and others v. Spain** Article 5 § 1

TURKEY

1. **Oğur v. Turkey** Article 2
2. **Ceylan v. Turkey** Article 10
3. **Arslan v. Turkey** Article 10
4. **Gerger v. Turkey** Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
5. **Polat v. Turkey** Article 10
6. **Karataş v. Turkey** Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
7. **Erdoğan and İnce v. Turkey** Article 10
8. **Başkaya and Okçuoğlu v. Turkey** Article 10, Article 6 § 1 and Article 7
9. **Okçuoğlu v. Turkey** Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
10. **Sürek and Özdemir v. Turkey** Article 10 and Article 6 § 1

11.	Sürek v. Turkey (no. 1)	Article 6 § 1
12.	Sürek v. Turkey (no. 2)	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
13.	Sürek v. Turkey (no. 3)	Article 6 § 1
14.	Sürek v. Turkey (no. 4)	Article 10 and Article 6 § 1
15.	Tanrıkulu v. Turkey	Article 2 and Article 13 (+ former Article 25)
16.	Çakıcı v. Turkey	Article 2, Article 3, Article 5 and Article 13
17.	Öztürk v. Turkey	Article 10
18.	Freedom and Democracy Party (ÖZDEP) v. Turkey	Article 11

UNITED KINGDOM

1.	Matthews v. United Kingdom	Article 3 of Protocol No. 1
2.	Cable and others v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
3.	Hood v. United Kingdom	Article 5 §§ 3 and 5 and Article 6 § 1
4.	Scarth v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
5.	Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. United Kingdom	Article 8
6.	Smith and Grady v. United Kingdom	Article 8 and Article 13
7.	Moore and Gordon v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
8.	Smith and Ford v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 1
9.	Perks and others v. United Kingdom	Article 6 § 3(c)
10.	Hashman and Harrup v. United Kingdom	Article 10
11.	T. v. United Kingdom	Article 5 § 4 and Article 6 § 1
12.	V. v. United Kingdom	Article 5 § 4 and Article 6 § 1

TOTAL : 120

NON -VIOLATIONS

BELGIUM	1.	Escoubet v. Belgium
CZECH REPUBLIC	1.	Špaček s.r.o. v. the Czech Republic
FRANCE	1.	Baghli v. France
	2.	Pellegrin v. France
GERMANY	1.	Waite and Kennedy v. Germany
	2.	Beer and Regan v. Germany
HUNGARY	1.	Rekvényi v. Hungary
NETHERLANDS	1.	Douiye v. the Netherlands
POLAND	1.	Janowski v. Poland
	2.	Humen v. Poland
SPAIN	1.	Garcia Ruiz v. Spain
TOTAL	:	11

JUDGMENTS STRIKING OUT OF LIST

ANDORRA	1.	Millan i Tornes v. Andorra (arrangement)
FRANCE	1.	Jaffredou v. France (friendly settlement)
	2.	M.K. v. France (friendly settlement)
	3.	Gros v. France (friendly settlement)
GERMANY	1.	Osteo Deutschland GmbH v. Germany
GREECE	1.	Tsavachidis v. Greece (arrangement)
ITALY	1.	Scaruffi v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	2.	Bagedda and Delogu v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	3.	Pesoni v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	4.	Mangiola v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	5.	La Brocca and others v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	6.	Francesca v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	7.	Silvestri and others v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	8.	G.S. v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	9.	Emmolo v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	10.	Scandella v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	11.	Macciocchi v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	12.	Carrozza v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	13.	Franzil v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	14.	Ferrara and De Lorenzo v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	15.	Gatto v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	16.	Iuliano v. Italy (friendly settlement)

	17.	Rossi v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	18.	Passadoro v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	19.	Ali' v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	20.	Errigo v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	21.	Mastroeni v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	22.	Lombardo v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	23.	M.R. v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	24.	Penna v. Italy (friendly settlement)
	25.	Iacopelli v. Italy (friendly settlement)
NETHERLANDS	1.	Abdurrahim Incedursun v. the Netherlands (friendly settlement)
PORTUGAL	1.	Antunes Tomás Rebocho v. Portugal (friendly settlement)
	2.	Laureano Santos v. Portugal (friendly settlement)
	3.	S.N. v. Portugal (friendly settlement)
	4.	ERI, Lda. v. Portugal (friendly settlement)
	5.	Caetano Baeta v. Portugal (friendly settlement)
SLOVAKIA	1.	Bohunický v. Slovakia (friendly settlement)
SPAIN	1.	Trome v. Spain (arrangement)
TURKEY	1.	Skoutaridou v. Turkey
UNITED KINGDOM	1.	Crossland v. United Kingdom (friendly settlement)
	2.	Faulkner v. United Kingdom (friendly settlement)
TOTAL	:	42

CASES NOT EXAMINED ON MERITS

FRANCE	1.	Lemoine v. France (no jurisdiction)
	2.	Civet v. France (non-exhaustion)
ITALY	1.	A.L.M. v. Italy (no jurisdiction)
	2.	Zubani (just satisfaction)
TOTAL	:	4

VIII. CASES REFERRED TO THE GRAND CHAMBER BY DECISION OF THE PANEL IN 1999

- IMMOBILIARE SAFFI v. Italy (N° 22774/93) concerning the impossibility for the applicant to secure enforcement of an eviction order, due to the Prefect's exercise of his authority to stay the grant of police assistance.
- NILSEN and JOHNSEN v. Norway (N° 23118/93) concerning an award against the applicants, two police representatives, in respect of their criticism of a book on police brutality.
- HASHMAN and HARRUP v. the United Kingdom (N° 25594/94) concerning a binding over order in respect of behaviour *contra bonos mores*.
- ESCOUBET v. Belgium (N° 26780/95) concerning the temporary withdrawal of a driving licence following a road traffic accident.
- ATHANASSOGLOU and others v. Switzerland (N° 27644/95) concerning alleged lack of access to a court to contest the renewal of an operating permit for a nuclear power station.
- H.A. v. Switzerland (N° 27798/95) concerning secret surveillance and the keeping of information about the applicant in a card-index.
- BRUMARESCU v. Romania (N° 28342/95) concerning the annulment by the Supreme Court of Justice of a final decision recognising the applicant's title to his parents' house, nationalised in 1950.
- PELLEGRIN v. France (N° 28541/95) concerning the length of proceedings relating to the applicant's contractual employment by the State.
- DOUIYEB v. the Netherlands (N° 31464/96) concerning a clerical error in the specification of the criminal charge on which the applicant's detention was based.
- C.C. v. the United Kingdom (N° 32819/96) concerning the automatic refusal of bail in the case of certain serious offences when the accused has a previous conviction for one of those offences.
- BEYELER v. Italy (N° 33202/96) concerning the exercise by the State of a right of pre-emption over a Van Gogh painting of which the applicant claims to be the true owner.
- GONZALEZ and others v. France (Nos. 34165/96, 34166/96, 34167/96, 34168/96, 34169/96, 34170/96, 34171/96, 34172/96 and 34173/96) concerning the rejection of the applicants' civil claims as a result of the adoption of amending legislation during the proceedings.
- SCOZZARI and GIUNTA v. Italy (Nos. 39221/98 and 41963/98) concerns the taking of children into care, the refusal to consider placing them in the care of their grandmother, restrictions on the mother's access to them and the decision to place the children in a children's home run by persons previously convicted of sexual abuse.
- T. v. the United Kingdom (N° 24724/94) and V. v. the United Kingdom (N° 24888/94) concerning the trial of 11-year old boys for murder – effect and fairness; sentence and sentencing procedure, in particular the role of the Executive in fixing the tariff; absence of possibility of review.
- LABITA v. Italy (N° 26772/95) concerning alleged ill-treatment of the applicant in prison; control of correspondence; length of detention on remand; delay in release; preventive measures after acquittal, including restrictions of voting.

- JASPER v. the United Kingdom (N° 27052/95), ROWE and DAVIS v. the United Kingdom (N° 28901/95) and FITT v. the United Kingdom (N° 29777/96) concerning the non-disclosure of evidence in criminal proceedings by prosecution on basis of public interest immunity.
- CHA'ARE SHALOM VE TSEDEK v. France (N° 27417/95) concerning the refusal of a permit for an ultra-orthodox Jewish association to carry out ritual slaughters.
- FRYDLENDER v. France (N° 30979/96) concerning civil rights – the applicant's contractual employment by the State and the length of proceedings.
- THLIMMENOS v. Greece (N° 34369/97) concerning the refusal of the authorities to appoint the applicant, a Jehovah's Witness, to a post of chartered accountant because of his criminal conviction for refusing to do military service, and the length of proceedings before the Council of State.
- ELSHOLZ v. Germany (N° 25735/94) concerning complaints about the refusal of access to his son and about the alleged unfairness of the proceedings concerned.
- ROTARU v. Romania (N° 28341/95) concerning the applicant's complaints that the Romanian Investigation Services keep data about his private life and that he cannot modify or annul information which he considers false and defamatory.
- CYPRUS v. TURKEY (N° 25781/94) concerning the situation in Cyprus and, with reference to the Commission's report in a previous application (No. 8007/77), alleging continuing violations of the Convention.
- ILHAN v. Turkey (N° 22277/93) concerning the applicant's allegations that his brother was severely injured on being apprehended by gendarmes and that medical treatment was not provided promptly.
- SALMAN v. Turkey (N° 21986/93) concerning the applicant's allegation that her husband died as a result of ill-treatment received while he was in police custody.
- MENNITTO v. Italy (N° 33804/96) concerning the length of civil proceedings.
- T.P. and K.M. v. the United Kingdom (No. 28945/95) concerning the taking of a child into care and the alleged absence of procedural safeguards.
- Z. and others v. the United Kingdom (No. 29392/95) concerning the alleged failure of a local authority to take adequate measures to protect children from ill-treatment by their parents.
- Former KING OF GREECE v. Greece (No. 25701/94) concerning the ownership of royal property.
- MIKULSKI v. Poland (No. 27914/95) concerning the length of detention on remand and the length of criminal proceedings.
- CHAPMAN v. the United Kingdom (No. 27238/95) concerning the refusal of the authorities to allow gypsies to live in caravans on their own land.
- KUDLA v. Poland (No. 30210/96) concerning the adequacy of psychiatric treatment in prison, the length of detention on remand and the length of criminal proceedings.
- HASAN and CHAUCH v. Bulgaria (No. 30985/96) concerning the replacement of a Muslim leader by the State.

- D.N. v. Switzerland (No. 27154/95) concerning the impartiality of a court deciding on a request for release from psychiatric detention.

**IX. LIST OF NON-FINAL JUDGMENTS DELIVERED BY SECTIONS
IN 1999 (indicating the date on which the judgment became or is due to become
final)¹**

Title	Section	Judgment date	Expiry of 3 months	Request
Ledonne v. Italy (no. 1)	2	12 May 99	12 August 99	final
Sacomanno v. Italy	2	12 May 99	12 August 99	final
Ledonne v. Italy (no. 2)	2	12 May 99	12 August 99	final
Caillot v. France	3	4 June 99	4 September 99	final
Nunes Violante v. Portugal	4	8 June 99	8 September 99	final
Santos v. Portugal	4	22 July 99	22 October 99	final
Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. UK	3	27 September 99	27 December 99	final
Smith and Grady v. UK	3	27 September 99	27 December 99	final
Moore and Gordon v. UK	3	29 September 99	29 December 99	final
Smith and Ford v. UK	3	29 September 99	29 December 99	final
Serre v. France	3	29 September 99	29 December 99	final
Djaid v. France	3	29 September 99	29 December 99	final
Donsimoni v. France	3	5 October 99	5 January 2000	request
Riera Blume v. Spain	4	14 October 99	14 January 2000	
Gelli v. Italy	2	19 October 99	19 January 2000	request
Maini v. France	3	26 October 99	26 January 2000	
Varipati v. Greece	2	26 October 99	26 January 2000	
Debboub v. France	3	9 November 99	9 February 2000	
Arvois v. France	3	23 November 99	23 February 2000	
Marques Gomes Galo v. Portugal	4	23 November 99	23 February 2000	
Galinho Carvalho Matos v. Portugal	4	23 November 99	23 February 2000	
Bouilly v. France	3	7 December 99	7 March 2000	
Antonakopoulos v. Greece	3	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Khalifaoui v. France	3	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
De Blasiis v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Marchetti v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
A.M. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
G.B.Z. and L.Z. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Edil Tes s.n.v. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Cittadini and Ruffini v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
I. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Cantacessi v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Cassetta v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Castelli v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Aiello v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
R. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
P. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Privitera v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Muso v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Di Rosa v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
F. v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Massi v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Iadanza v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Ercolino and others v. Italy	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Serif v. Greece	2	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Ferreira de Sousa v. Portugal	4	14 December 99	14 March 2000	
Salgueiro de Silva Mouta v. Portugal	4	21 December 99	21 March 2000	
Freitas Lopes v. Portugal	4	21 December 99	21 March 2000	

¹ The table does not include judgments striking the case out of the list.

X. STATISTICAL INFORMATION

Cases examined by the Court		1.11.98 - 31.12.98	1999
I. Judgments delivered¹			
Grand Chamber		0	63
Chamber I		0	3
Chamber II		0	66
Chamber III		0	26
Chamber IV		0	19
Total		0	177
II. Applications declared admissible			
Section I		2	153
Section II		6	302
Section III		8	189
Section IV		9	87
Total		25	731
III. Applications declared inadmissible			
Section I	- Chamber	3	54
	- Committee	16	545
Section II	- Chamber	8	117
	- Committee	13	563
Section III	- Chamber	5	159
	- Committee	17	559
Section IV	- Chamber	8	125
	- Committee	49	1267
Total		119	3389
IV. Applications struck off			
Section I	- Chamber	0	10
	- Committee	0	24
Section II	- Chamber	0	22
	- Committee	0	11
Section III	- Chamber	0	25
	- Committee	0	10
Section IV	- Chamber	0	12
	- Committee	0	16
Total		0	130
Total number of applications decided²		0	4250
V. Applications communicated			
Section I		4	455
Section II		17	446
Section III		7	394
Section IV		10	301
Total number of applications communicated		38	1596

¹ The figures for judgments indicate the number of judgments delivered. A judgment may have dealt with more than one application.

² The figures for decisions are based on the number of individual applications and do not take account of the fact that several applications may have been dealt with in one decision.

Evolution du nombre de requêtes individuelles introduites devant la Cour (anciennement la Commission)
Development in the number of individual applications lodged with the Court (formerly the Commission) /

	1955 - 1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
Dossiers Provisoires <i>Provisional files</i>	22158	3150	3007	2831	2869	3675	4108	4900	4942	5550	5875	9323	9968	10201	12143	12469	16353	20399	153921
Requêtes enregistrées <i>Applications registered</i>	10210	499	586	596	706	860	1009	1445	1657	1648	1861	2037	2944	3481	4758	4750	5981	8396	53424
Décisions rendues <i>Decisions taken</i>	9548	436	582	582	511	590	654	1338	1216	1659	1704	1765	2372	2990	3400	3777	4420	4250	41794
Requêtes déclarées irrecevables ou rayées du rôle <i>Applications declared inadmissible or struck off the list</i>	9251	407	528	512	469	559	602	1243	1065	1441	1515	1547	1789	2182	2776	3073	3658	3519	36136
Requêtes déclarées recevables <i>Applications declared admissible</i>	297	29	54	70	42	31	52	95	151	217	189	218	582	807	624	703	762	731	5654
Décisions de rejet en cours d'examen au fond <i>Decisions to reject in the course of the examination of the merits</i>	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	12
<i>Arrêts rendus par la Cour</i> Judgments delivered by the Court	61	15	18	11	17	32	26	25	30	72	81	60	50	56	72	106	105	177	1014

XI. STATISTICAL TABLES BY STATE

DOSSIERS PROVISOIRES ET REQUÊTES / PROVISIONAL FILES AND APPLICATIONS *

Etat State	Dossiers provisoires ouverts Provisional files opened	Requêtes enregistrées Applications registered	Requêtes déclarées irrecevables ou rayées du rôle Applications declared inadmissible or struck off	Requêtes communiquées au Gouvernement Applications referred to Government	Requêtes déclarées recevables Applications declared admissible
Albanie/Albania	8	1	2	-	-
Allemagne/Germany	1596	534	331	11	1
Andorre/Andorra	-	1	1	-	-
Autriche/Austria	356	229	153	28	9
Belgique/Belgium	262	137	29	26	11
Bulgarie/Bulgaria	402	197	57	6	2
Chypre/Cyprus	29	17	5	2	3
Croatie/Croatia	156	103	32	1	-
Danemark/Denmark	121	56	57	6	2
Espagne/Spain	315	228	130	27	12
Estonie/Estonia	54	29	7	-	2
Finlande/Finland	175	144	85	9	3
France/France	2586	868	280	121	51
Georgie/Georgia	10	-	-	-	-
Grèce/Greece	184	143	70	23	17
Hongrie/Hungary	229	94	53	1	1
Irlande/Ireland	37	20	6	1	3
Islande/Iceland	4	1	3	2	2
Italie/Italy	3652	881	255	872	423
Lettonie/Latvia	74	29	11	1	-
Liechtenstein/Liechtenstein	1	1	1	-	-
Lituanie/Lithuania	166	76	23	14	3
Luxembourg/Luxembourg	29	12	8	4	-
ERY Macédoine/FYRO Macedonia	30	15	9	2	-
Malte/Malta	12	6	2	1	1
Moldova/Moldova	135	32	6	2	-
Norvège/Norway	41	20	11	2	3
Pays-Bas/Netherlands	278	206	121	8	1
Pologne/Poland	2898	691	358	33	3
Portugal/Portugal	149	112	22	26	17
Roumanie/Roumania	1062	294	33	46	1
Royaume-Uni/United Kingdom	1054	429	222	43	32
Russie (Fed)/Russia (Fed)	1787	972	348	4	-
Saint-Marin/San Marino	1	-	1	1	1
Rép. Slovaque/Slovak Rep.	227	163	42	14	3
Slovénie/Slovenia	116	86	25	1	1
Suède/Sweden	302	175	102	6	1
Suisse/Switzerland	291	156	94	3	2
Rép. Tchèque/Czech Rep.	282	150	61	12	4
Turquie/Turkey	518	655	153	278	112
Ukraine/Ukraine	770	433	310	5	4
Total	20399	8396	3519	1642	731

* Décisions sur la recevabilité peuvent traiter plus qu'une requête (requêtes jointes). / Decisions on admissibility may deal with more than one application (joined applications)

ARRETS / JUDGMENTS

<i>Etat en cause/ State concerned</i>	<i>Affaires ayant donné lieu à un constat de / Cases which gave rise to a finding of</i>		<i>Affaires n'ayant pas donné lieu à un constat sur le fond/ Cases which gave rise to no finding on the merits</i>	
	<i>Au moins une violation/ at least one violation</i>	<i>Non-violation/ Non-violation</i>	<i>Affaires rayées du rôle / Cases struck out of the list</i>	<i>Affaires non examinées au fond / Cases not examined on the merits</i>
ANDORRE / ANDORRA			1	
AUTRICHE / AUSTRIA	3			
BELGIQUE / BELGIUM	1	1		
BULGARIE / BULGARIA	1			
CHYPRE / CYPRUS	1			
REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE / CZECH REPUBLIC		1		
FRANCE / FRANCE	16	2	3	2
ALLEMAGNE / GERMANY		2	1	
GRECE / GREECE	5		1	
HONGRIE / HUNGARY		1		
ITALIE / ITALY	44		25	2
LIECHTENSTEIN/ LIECHTENSTEIN	1			
MALTE / MALTA	2			
PAYS-BAS / NETHERLANDS		1	1	
NORVEGE / NORWAY	2			
POLOGNE / POLAND	1	2		
PORTUGAL : PORTUGAL	8		5	
ROUMANIE / ROMANIA	2			
SAINT-MARIN / SAN MARINO	1			
REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE / REPUBLIC OF SLOVAKIA	1		1	
ESPAGNE / SPAIN	1	1	1	
TURQUIE / TURKEY	18		1	
ROYAUME-UNI / UNITED KINGDOM	12		2	
TOTAL / TOTAL	120	11	42	4