



COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

SURVEY OF ACTIVITIES

2002

Information document issued by the Registrar of the European Court of Human Rights

(Provisional version)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Historical background, organisation and procedure.....	3
II. Composition of the Court.....	8
III. Subject-matter of judgments delivered by the Court in 2001	9
IV. Judgments and decisions selected for publication.....	24
V. Statistical information.....	28
VI. Statistical tables by State.....	32

Note: This survey provides general information and statistics concerning the activities of the Court in 2002. Separate annual activity reports are also available for the Grand Chamber and each of the four Sections.

I. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

A. The European Convention on Human Rights of 1950

1. The Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms was drawn up within the Council of Europe. It was opened for signature in Rome on 4 November 1950 and entered into force in September 1953. Taking as their starting point the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the framers of the Convention sought to pursue the aims of the Council of Europe through the maintenance and further realisation of human rights and fundamental freedoms. The Convention was to represent the first steps for the collective enforcement of certain of the rights set out in the Universal Declaration.

2. In addition to laying down a catalogue of civil and political rights and freedoms, the Convention set up a mechanism for the enforcement of the obligations entered into by Contracting States. Three institutions were entrusted with this responsibility: the European Commission of Human Rights (set up in 1954), the European Court of Human Rights (set up in 1959) and the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, the latter organ being composed of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the member States or their representatives.

3. Under the Convention in its original version, complaints could be brought against Contracting States either by other Contracting States or by individual applicants (individuals, groups of individuals or non-governmental organisations). Recognition of the right of individual application was, however, optional and it could therefore be exercised only against those States which had accepted it (Protocol No. 11 to the Convention was subsequently to make its acceptance compulsory, see paragraph 6 below).

The complaints were first the subject of a preliminary examination by the Commission, which determined their admissibility. Where an application was declared admissible, the Commission placed itself at the parties' disposal with a view to brokering a friendly settlement. If no settlement was forthcoming, it drew up a report establishing the facts and expressing an opinion on the merits of the case. The report was transmitted to the Committee of Ministers.

4. Where the respondent State had accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court, the Commission and/or any Contracting State concerned had a period of three months following the transmission of the report to the Committee of Ministers within which to bring the case before the Court for a final, binding adjudication. Individuals were not entitled to bring their cases before the Court.

If a case was not referred to the Court, the Committee of Ministers decided whether there had been a violation of the Convention and, if appropriate, awarded "just satisfaction" to the victim. The Committee of Ministers also had responsibility for supervising the execution of the Court's judgments.

B. Subsequent developments

5. Since the Convention's entry into force twelve Protocols have been adopted. Protocols Nos. 1, 4, 6, 7 and 12 added further rights and liberties to those guaranteed by the Convention, while Protocol No. 2 conferred on the Court the power to give advisory opinions. Protocol No. 9 enabled individual applicants to bring their cases before the Court subject to ratification by the respondent State and acceptance by a screening panel. Protocol No. 11 restructured the enforcement machinery (see below). The remaining Protocols concerned the organisation of and procedure before the Convention institutions.

6. From 1980 onwards, the steady growth in the number of cases brought before the Convention institutions made it increasingly difficult to keep the length of proceedings within acceptable limits. The problem was aggravated by the accession of new Contracting States from 1990. The number of applications registered annually with the Commission increased from 404 in 1981 to 4,750 in 1997. By that year, the number of unregistered or provisional files opened each year in the Commission had risen to over 12,000. The Court's statistics reflected a similar story, with the number of cases referred annually rising from 7 in 1981 to 119 in 1997.

The increasing case-load prompted a lengthy debate on the necessity for a reform of the Convention supervisory machinery, resulting in the adoption of Protocol No. 11 to the Convention. The aim was to simplify the structure with a view to shortening the length of proceedings while strengthening the judicial character of the system by making it fully compulsory and abolishing the Committee of Ministers' adjudicative role.

Protocol No. 11, which came into force on 1 November 1998, replaced the existing, part-time Court and Commission by a single, full-time Court. For a transitional period of one year (until 31 October 1999) the Commission continued to deal with the cases which it had previously declared admissible.

7. During the three years which followed the entry into force of Protocol No. 11 the Court's case-load grew at an unprecedented rate. The number of applications registered rose from 5,979 in 1998 to 13,858 in 2001, an increase of approximately 130%. Concerns about the Court's capacity to deal with the growing volume of cases led to requests for additional resources and speculation about the need for further reform.

A Ministerial Conference on Human Rights, held in Rome on 3 and 4 November 2000 to mark the 50th anniversary of the opening of the Convention for signature, had initiated a process of reflection on reform of the system. In November 2002, as a follow-up to a Ministerial Declaration on "the Court of Human Rights for Europe", the Ministers' Deputies issued terms of reference to the Steering Committee for Human Rights (CDDH) to draw up a set of concrete and coherent proposals covering measures that could be implemented without delay and possible amendments to the Convention.

II. THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

A. Organisation of the Court

8. The European Court of Human Rights set up under the Convention as amended by Protocol No. 11 is composed of a number of judges equal to that of the Contracting States (currently forty-four). There is no restriction on the number of judges of the same nationality. Judges are elected by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe for a term of six years. The terms of office of one half of the judges elected at the first election expired after three years, so as to ensure that the terms of office of one half of the judges are renewed every three years.

Judges sit on the Court in their individual capacity and do not represent any State. They cannot engage in any activity which is incompatible with their independence or impartiality or with the demands of full-time office. Their terms of office expire when they reach the age of seventy.

The Plenary Court elects its President, two Vice-Presidents and two Presidents of Section for a period of three years.

9. Under the Rules of Court, the Court is divided into four Sections, whose composition, fixed for three years, is geographically and gender balanced and takes account of the different legal systems of the Contracting States. Two of the Sections are presided over by the Vice-Presidents of the Court; the other two Sections are presided over by the Section Presidents. Section Presidents are assisted and where necessary replaced by Section Vice-Presidents, elected by the Sections.

10. Committees of three judges are set up within each Section for twelve-month periods.

11. Chambers of seven members are constituted within each Section on the basis of rotation, with the Section President and the judge elected in respect of the State concerned sitting in each case. Where the latter is not a member of the Section, he or she sits as an *ex officio* member of the Chamber. The members of the Section who are not full members of the Chamber sit as substitute members.

12. The Grand Chamber of the Court is composed of seventeen judges, who include, as *ex officio* members, the President, Vice-Presidents and Section Presidents.

B. Procedure before the Court

1. General

13. Any Contracting State (State application) or individual claiming to be a victim of a violation of the Convention (individual application) may lodge directly with the Court in Strasbourg an application alleging a breach by a Contracting State of one of the Convention rights. A notice for the guidance of applicants and forms for making applications may be obtained from the Registry.

14. The procedure before the European Court of Human Rights is adversarial and public. Hearings, which are held only in a minority of cases, are public, unless the Chamber/Grand Chamber decides otherwise on account of exceptional circumstances. Memorials and other documents filed with the Court's Registry by the parties are, in principle, accessible to the public.

15. Individual applicants may submit applications themselves, but legal representation is recommended, and even required for hearings or once an application has been declared admissible. The Council of Europe has set up a legal aid scheme for applicants who do not have sufficient means.

16. The official languages of the Court are English and French, but applications may be submitted in one of the official languages of the Contracting States. Once the application has been declared admissible, one of the Court's official languages must be used, unless the President of the Chamber/Grand Chamber authorises the continued use of the language of the application.

2. Admissibility procedure

17. Each individual application is assigned to a Section, whose President designates a rapporteur. After a preliminary examination of the case, the rapporteur decides whether it should be dealt with by a three-member Committee or by a Chamber.

18. A Committee may decide, by unanimous vote, to declare inadmissible or strike out an application where it can do so without further examination.

19. Individual applications which are not declared inadmissible by Committees, or which are referred directly to a Chamber by the rapporteur, and State applications are examined by a Chamber. Chambers determine both admissibility and merits, in separate decisions or where appropriate together.

20. Chambers may at any time relinquish jurisdiction in favour of the Grand Chamber where a case raises a serious question of interpretation of the Convention or where there is a risk of departing from existing case-law, unless one of the parties objects to such relinquishment within one month of notification of the intention to relinquish. In the event of relinquishment the procedure followed is the same as that set out below for Chambers.

21. The first stage of the procedure is generally written, although the Chamber may decide to hold a public hearing, in which case issues arising in relation to the merits will normally also be addressed.

22. Decisions on admissibility, which are taken by majority vote, must contain reasons and be made public.

3. Procedure on the merits

23. Once the Chamber has decided to admit the application, it may invite the parties to submit further evidence and written observations, including any claims for “just satisfaction” by the applicant. If no hearing has taken place at the admissibility stage, it may decide to hold a hearing on the merits of the case.

24. The President of the Chamber may, in the interests of the proper administration of justice, invite or grant leave to any Contracting State which is not party to the proceedings, or any person concerned who is not the applicant, to submit written comments, and, in exceptional circumstances, to make representations at the hearing. A Contracting State whose national is an applicant in the case is entitled to intervene as of right.

25. During the procedure on the merits, negotiations aimed at securing a friendly settlement may be conducted through the Registrar. The negotiations are confidential.

4. Judgments

26. Chambers decide by a majority vote. Any judge who has taken part in the consideration of the case is entitled to append to the judgment a separate opinion, either concurring or dissenting, or a bare statement of dissent.

27. Within three months of delivery of the judgment of a Chamber, any party may request that the case be referred to the Grand Chamber if it raises a serious question of interpretation or application or a serious issue of general importance. Such requests are examined by a Grand Chamber panel of five judges composed of the President of the Court, the Section Presidents, with the exception of the Section President who presides over the Section to which the Chamber that gave judgment belongs, and another judge selected by rotation from judges who were not members of the original Chamber.

28. A Chamber’s judgment becomes final on expiry of the three-month period or earlier if the parties announce that they have no intention of requesting a referral or after a decision of the panel rejecting a request for referral.

29. If the panel accepts the request, the Grand Chamber renders its decision on the case in the form of a judgment. The Grand Chamber decides by a majority vote and its judgments are final.

30. All final judgments of the Court are binding on the respondent States concerned.

31. Responsibility for supervising the execution of judgments lies with the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe. The Committee of Ministers verifies whether States in respect of which a violation of the Convention is found have taken adequate remedial measures to comply with the specific or general obligations arising out of the Court's judgments.

5. Advisory opinions

32. The Court may, at the request of the Committee of Ministers, give advisory opinions on legal questions concerning the interpretation of the Convention and Protocols.

Decisions of the Committee of Ministers to request an advisory opinion are taken by a majority vote.

33. Advisory opinions are given by the Grand Chamber and adopted by a majority vote. Any judge may attach to the advisory opinion, a separate opinion or a bare statement of dissent.

II. COMPOSITION OF THE COURT¹

(in order of precedence)

Mr Luzius WILDHABER, President	(Swiss)
Mr Christos ROZAKIS, Vice-President	(Greek)
Mr Jean-Paul COSTA, Vice-President	(French)
Mr Georg RESS, Section President	(German)
Sir Nicolas BRATZA, Section President	(British)
Mr Antonio PASTOR RIDRUEJO	(Spanish)
Mr Gaukur JÖRUNDSSON	(Icelandic)
Mr Giovanni BONELLO	(Maltese)
Mrs Elisabeth PALM	(Swedish)
Mr Lucius CAFLISCH	(Swiss) ²
Mr Loukis LOUCAIDES	(Cypriot)
Mr Pranas KŪRIS	(Lithuanian)
Mr Ireneu CABRAL BARRETO	(Portuguese)
Mr Riza TÜRMEŒ	(Turkish)
Mrs Françoise TULKENS	(Belgian)
Mrs Viera STRÁŽNICKÁ	(Slovakian)
Mr Corneliu BÎRSAN	(Romanian)
Mr Peer LORENZEN	(Danish)
Mr Karel JUNGWIERT	(Czech)
Mr Marc FISCHBACH	(Luxemburger)
Mr Volodymyr BUTKEVYCH	(Ukrainian)
Mr Josep CASADEVALL	(Andorran)
Mr Boštjan ZUPANČIČ	(Slovenian)
Mrs Nina VAJIĆ	(Croatian)
Mr John HEDIGAN	(Irish)
Mrs Wilhelmina THOMASSEN	(Netherlands)
Mr Matti PELLONPÄÄ	(Finnish)
Mrs Margarita TSATSA-NIKOLOVSKA	(citizen of “the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”)
Mrs Hanne Sophie GREVE	(Norwegian)
Mr András BAKA	(Hungarian)
Mr Rait MARUSTE	(Estonian)
Mr Egils LEVITS	(Latvian)
Mr Kristaq TRAJA	(Albanian)
Mrs Snejana BOTOCHAROVA	(Bulgarian)
Mr Mindia UGREKHELIDZE	(Georgian)
Mr Anatoly KOVLER	(Russian)
Mr Vladimiro ZAGREBELSKY	(Italian)
Mrs Antonella MULARONI	(San Marinense)
Mrs Elisabeth STEINER	(Austrian)
Mr Stanislav PAVLOVSKI	(Moldovan)
Mr. Lech GARLICKI	(Polish)
Mr Paul MAHONEY, Registrar	(British)
Mr. Erik FRIBERGH, Deputy Registrar	(Swedish)

¹ The seats of judges in respect of Azerbaijan, Armenia and Bosnia and Herzegovina are currently vacant.

² Elected as the judge in respect of Liechtenstein.

III. SUBJECT-MATTER OF JUDGMENTS DELIVERED IN 2002

(a) Subject-matter of selected judgments, by Convention Article

Article 2 *Cases concerning principally the right to life*

- shooting by unidentified assailants in Turkey [*Sabuktekin*, no. 27243/95; *Şemse Önen*, no. 22876/93; *Ülkü Ekinci*, no. 27602/95]
- effectiveness of the investigation into a death resulting from the actions of the security forces during a riot in Northern Ireland [*McShane*, no. 43290/98]
- disappearance of persons taken into custody [*Orhan*, no. 25656/94]
- death in custody in Bulgaria [*Anguelova*, no. 38361/97] and in Turkey [*Abdurrahman Orak*, no. 31889/96]
- killing of detainee by mentally ill cell-mate in the United Kingdom [*Paul and Audrey Edwards*, no. 46477/99]
- commission of a murder by convicts on prison leave or under a semi-custodial regime in Italy [*Mastromatteo*, no. 37703/97]
- time-bar of the prosecution of a doctor for involuntary manslaughter in Italy, as a result of procedural delays [*Calvelli and Ciglio*, no. 32967/96]
- deaths resulting from an explosion at a rubbish tip beside which a shanty town had been built in Turkey [*Öneriyıldız*, no. 48939/99]
- refusal to give advance undertaking not to prosecute a husband for assisting his wife to commit suicide in the United Kingdom [*Pretty*, no. 2346/02]

Article 3 *Cases concerning principally physical integrity*

- ill-treatment of detainees in Bulgaria [*Anguelova*, no. 38361/97] and in Turkey [*Abdurrahman Orak*, no. 31889/96; *Algür*, no. 32574/96]
- ill-treatment by the police in Poland [*Berliński*, nos. 27715/95 and 30209/96]
- conditions of detention in Russia [*Kalashnikov*, no. 47095/99]
- refusal to release a prisoner with a terminal illness, and conditions of his detention, including handcuffing, in France [*Mouisel*, no. 67263/01]
- detention in a penal institution in Ireland of a minor requiring secure educational facilities, the effect thereof, and his handcuffing during court appearances [*D.G.*, no. 39474/98]
- failure of the social services to protect children from sexual or physical abuse in the United Kingdom [*D.P. and J.C.*, no. 38719/97; *E. and others*, no. 33218/96]

Article 5 *Cases concerning principally the right to liberty and security*

- unlawful detention in Bulgaria [*Anguelova*, no. 38361/97]
- lawfulness of detention following the revocation of a life licence in the United Kingdom [*Waite*, no. 53236/99]
- detention for the purpose of psychiatric examination in the context of a private prosecution for defamation in Poland [*Nowicka*, no. 30218/96]
- absence of a legal basis for prolongation of detention on remand in Lithuania [*Stašaitis*, no. 47679/99; *Butkevičius*, no. 48297/99]
- detention in a penal institution in Ireland of a minor requiring secure educational facilities [*D.G.*, no. 39474/98]
- placement of an elderly person in a foster home in Switzerland on the ground of serious neglect [*H.M.*, no. 39187/98]
- continued detention in the United Kingdom, following the expiry of a prison sentence, on the basis of an earlier mandatory life sentence in respect of which a life licence had been revoked [*Stafford*, no. 46295/99]
- detention in Belgium, with a view to deportation, of Slovak gypsies summoned by the police to complete formalities, failure to provide adequate reasons for the detention and availability of effective court review of the lawfulness of the detention [*Conka and others*, no. 51564/99]
- ordering of detention on remand by prosecutors in Poland [*Eryk Kawka*, no. 33885/96; *Dacewicz*, no. 34611/97; *Salapa*, no. 35489/97]
- length of detention on remand in Belgium [*Grisez*, no. 35776/97], in Latvia [*Lavents*, no. 58442/00], in Lithuania [*Stašaitis*, no. 47679/99], in Poland [*Klamecki*, no. 25415/94] and in Russia [*Kalashnikov*, no. 47095/99]
- absence of a proper review of the lawfulness of detention in Latvia [*Lavents*, no. 58442/00], and in Lithuania [*Stašaitis*, no. 47679/99; *Butkevičius*, no. 48297/99]
- absence of a right in the United Kingdom to review of the lawfulness of continuing detention on the basis of a mandatory life sentence [*Stafford*, no. 46295/99] and to review by a body with power to order release [*Benjamin and Wilson*, no. 28212/95]
- absence of any possibility to challenge the lawfulness of detention with a view to expulsion from Bulgaria [*Al-Nashif and others*, no. 50963/99]
- lack of an oral hearing in proceedings in the United Kingdom for review of the lawfulness of detention following recall to prison on revocation of a life licence [*Waite*, no. 53236/99]
- absence of a right for detainees in Poland to attend or be represented at hearings on detention on remand, and refusal of access to the prosecution file [*Migoń*, no. 24244/94; *Salapa*, no. 35489/97]
- non-disclosure of the prosecution's submissions in relation to an appeal against the refusal of a request for release from detention on remand in Austria [*Lanz*, no. 24430/94]

- length of time taken to review the lawfulness of psychiatric detention and absence of legal representation at a hearing in Portugal [*Magalhaes Pereira*, no. 44872/98]
- length of time taken to decide on requests for release from psychiatric detention in France [*Delbec*, no. 43125/98; *L.R.*, no. 33395/96; *D.M.*, no. 41376/98; *Laidin*, no. 43191/98]
- absence of a right to compensation in respect of unlawful detention in Ireland [*D.G.*, no. 39474/98] and in Italy [*N.C.*, no. 24952/94]

Article 6 *Cases concerning principally the right to a fair trial*

- access to court to contest restrictions on fishing rights in Finland [*Posti and Rahko*, no. 27824/95]
- access to court to contest a search of company premises and seizure of files in Estonia [*Veeber*, no. 37571/97]
- refusal of courts in the Czech Republic to examine the merits of a claim [*Běleš*, no. 47273/99]
- scope of judicial review of dismissal from employment in Greece [*Koskinas*, no. 47760/99]
- unavailability of legal aid for defamation actions in the United Kingdom [*McVicar*, no. 46311/99; *A.*, no. 35373/97)]
- refusal of legal aid in France due to the absence of serious grounds of appeal [*Del Sol*, no. 46800/99; *Essaadi*, no. 49384/99]
- limitations on the right of a civil party to criminal proceedings in France to lodge an appeal on points of law in the absence of such an appeal by the prosecution [*Berger*, no. 48221/99]
- dismissal of an appeal on points of law in Greece as out of time, the time-limit running from the date of delivery rather than the date on which the written judgment became available [*AEPI sa.*, no. 48679/99]
- rejection of constitutional complaints in the Czech Republic as out of time, on account of failure to lodge an appeal on points of law [*Běleš*, no. 47273/99] or because a decision to declare an appeal on points of law inadmissible was not taken into account in the calculation of the time-limit [*Zvolský and Zvolská*, no. 46129/99]
- parliamentary immunity attaching to statements made by a Member of Parliament during a parliamentary debate in the United Kingdom [*A.*, no. 35373/97]
- issuing of a national security certificate precluding the operation of legislation on non-discrimination in employment in the United Kingdom [*Devenney*, no. 24265/94]
- striking out of claims against a local authority in the United Kingdom on the ground that there existed no duty of care in exercising statutory powers in relation to child care [*D.P. and J.C.*, no. 38719/97]
- annulment of final judgments, interference by the Executive in pending court proceedings, and the fairness of proceedings before the courts of arbitration in Ukraine [*Sovtransavto Holding*, no. 48553/99]

- passing of legislation affecting the outcome of pending court proceedings in Greece [*Smokovitis and others*, no. 46356/99]
- legislation in Croatia staying all proceedings relating to claims for damages in respect of terrorist acts [*Kutić*, no. 48778/99]
- failure of the authorities in Greece to comply with binding court judgments [*Adamogiannis*, no. 47734/99; *Vasilopoulou*, no. 47541/99] and with a first instance judgment [*Ouzounis and others*, no. 49144/99]
- delays by the authorities in complying with court judgments in Greece [*Katsaros*, no. 51473/99] and in Russia [*Burdov*, no. 59498/00]
- absence of proper notification of expropriation and dismissal of a civil action on account of purported lack of *locus standi* [*Serghides*, no. 44730/98]
- absence of personal notification of a third party directly affected by court proceedings in Spain [*Cañete de Goñi*, no. 55782/00]
- refusal of the Swiss Federal Court to allow appellants to reply to observations submitted by the lower court and by the opposing party [*Ziegler*, no. 33499/96]
- taking of court decisions in Slovakia in the absence of a party, despite a legitimate excuse for that absence [*Komanický*, no. 32106/96]
- refusal of compensation for detention on remand in Greece, without hearing the person concerned [*Sajtos*, no. 53478/99]
- non-disclosure of the Principal Public Prosecutor's submissions to the Turkish Court of Cassation in proceedings concerning compensation for detention [*Göç*, no. 36590/97]
- non-disclosure to a civil party to criminal proceedings in France of the report of the judge-rapporteur in proceedings before the Court of Cassation [*Berger*, no. 48221/99]
- failure to notify an unrepresented party to proceedings before the French *Conseil d'Etat* of the date of the hearing, thus depriving him of an opportunity to respond to the submissions of the Government Commissioner [*Fretté*, no. 36515/97]
- absence of any opportunity to reply to the submissions of the Government Commissioner in proceedings before the French *Conseil d'Etat* [*APBP*, no. 38436/97; *Immeubles Groupe Kosser*, no. 38748/97] and participation of the Government Commissioner in the deliberations of the *Conseil d'Etat* [*APBP*, no. 38436/97; *Immeubles Groupe Kosser*, no. 38748/97; *Theraube*, no. 44565/98]
- absence of legal representation in proceedings concerning child care in the United Kingdom [*P., C. and S.*, no. 56547/00]
- failure to hear witnesses and adequacy of the reasons given by a court in Finland [*Jokela*, no. 28856/95]
- refusal of a court in Poland to hear witnesses, and unavailability of evidence covered by official secrecy [*Wierzbicki*, no. 24541/94]
- decision by the French *Conseil d'Etat* on the merits of a case, without remitting to the lower court [*APBP*, no. 38436/97]

- lack of a public hearing before the Turkish Constitutional Court [*Yazar, Karatas, Aksoy and the Peoples' Work Party [H.E.P.]*, nos. 22723/93, 22724/93 and 22725/93; *Democracy Party (DEP)*, no. 25141/94] and in proceedings relating to the restitution of property in Slovakia [*Baková*, no. 47227/99]
- lack of an oral hearing in proceedings under the Media Act in Austria [*A.T.*, no. 32636/96)], in proceedings concerning a claim for compensation for detention on remand in Turkey [*Göç*, no. 36590/97], in administrative proceedings in Sweden [*Döry*, no. 28394/95; *Lundevall*, no. 38629/97; *Salomonsson*, no. 38978/97] and in appeal proceedings in Germany [*Hoppe*, no. 28422/95]
- impartiality of the Gaming Board in the United Kingdom, and the scope of judicial review [*Kingsley*, no. 35605/97]
- access to court in France to contest the imposition of a fine for speeding [*Peltier*, no. 32872/96]
- enforcement of tax surcharges in Sweden prior to determination of liability by a court [*Janosevic*, no. 34619/97; *Västberga Taxi AB and Vulic*, no. 36985/97]
- dismissal of an appeal against conviction *in absentia* in Italy, on account of failure to comply with the time limit [*Osu*, no. 36534/97]
- dismissal of appeals on points of law in France as a result of the appellants' failure to surrender into custody [*Goth*, no. 53613/99; *Papon*, no. 54210/00; *Coste*, no. 50528/99] and the obligation of a person convicted *in absentia* in France to comply with an arrest warrant as a prerequisite to lodging an objection [*Karatas and Sari*, no. 38396/97]
- absence of personal notification of a hearing before the Belgian Court of Cassation, non-disclosure of the public prosecutor's submissions and rejection of a supplementary memorial as out of time, depriving the party of an opportunity to respond to the submissions of other party [*Wynen*, no. 32576/96]
- refusal of a Belgian court to refer a preliminary question to the Court of Arbitration [*Wynen*, no. 32576/96]
- use in criminal proceedings in the United Kingdom of evidence obtained by a police informer placed in the suspect's cell [*Allan*, no. 48539/99]
- effect of a media campaign on the impartiality of a criminal court [*Craxi*, no. 34896/97]
- drawing of adverse inferences by a jury in the United Kingdom from the accused's failure to answer police questions [*Beckles*, no. 44652/98]
- absence of any opportunity for unrepresented appellants to make oral submissions to the French Court of Cassation and non-disclosure to them of the observations of the *avocat général* [*Meftah and others v. France*, nos. 32911/96, 34595/97 and 35237/97]
- failure to ensure the presence of the appellant at the hearing of an appeal against sentence in Austria [*Kucera*, no. 40072/98]
- non-disclosure of the Procurator General's submissions to the Supreme Court [*Josef Fischer*, no. 33382/96] and of both the Procurator General's submissions on a plea of nullity and the Senior Public Prosecutor's submissions on an appeal in Austria [*Lanz*, no. 24430/94]

- failure to respect the rules on the composition of a court to which a case was remitted by the Latvian Supreme Court, and lack of impartiality of a judge on account of statements made by him to the press [*Lavents*, no. 58442/00]
- impartiality of trial judges who had previously participated in decisions rejecting an appeal against indictment and prolonging detention on remand in Spain [*Perote Pellon*, no. 45238/99]
- impartiality of judges who had previously ordered detention on remand in Turkey [*Karakoç and others*, nos. 27692/95, 28498/95 and 28138/95]
- independence and impartiality of a court martial in the United Kingdom and fairness of the proceedings [*Morris*, no. 38784/97]
- revocation of the suspension of a prison sentence in Germany prior to final determination of subsequent criminal charges [*Böhmer*, no. 37568/97]
- refusal of compensation for detention on remand in Austria, on the ground of continuing suspicion [*Vostic*, no. 38549/97; *Demir*, no. 35437/97]
- imposition of the burden of proof on a taxpayer in Sweden to show that a tax surcharge should not be applied [*Janosevic*, no. 34619/97; *Västberga Taxi AB and Vulic*, no. 36985/97]
- making of public statements of guilt by high-ranking State officials in Lithuania [*Butkevičius*, no. 48297/99]
- effect on the presumption of innocence of statements made by a judge to the press in Latvia [*Lavents*, no. 58442/00] and of statements made by an investigating judge in Italy in a decision to discontinue criminal proceedings [*Marziano*, no. 45313/99]
- reclassification of a charge by a trial court in Lithuania [*Sipavičius*, no. 49093/99]
- adequacy of time given to prepare a defence, in view of the large number of hearings held in several parallel sets of proceedings in Italy [*Craxi*, no. 34896/97]
- delay in the appointment of a legal aid lawyer in Poland [*Berliński*, nos. 27715/95 and 30209/96]
- refusal of a court in France to allow lawyers to represent an absent accused [*Karatas and Sari*, no. 38396/97]
- legal representation of the accused at a court martial in the United Kingdom [*Morris*, no. 38784/97]
- refusal to allow legal representation in prison disciplinary proceedings in the United Kingdom [*Ezeh and Connors*, nos. 39665/98 and 40086/98]
- dismissal of an appeal in Portugal as a result of the failure of a court-appointed lawyer to comply with a procedural formality [*Czekalla*, no. 38830/97]
- police supervision of a detainee's consultation with his lawyer in Austria [*Lanz*, no. 24430/94]

- use at a trial of statements made by anonymous witnesses in Lithuania [*Birutis and others*, nos. 47698/99 and 48115/99] and in the Netherlands [*Visser*, no. 26668/95] and of pre-trial statements made a witness who had died in the meantime and by co-accused invoking the right to remain silent at the trial in Italy [*Craxi*, no. 34896/97]
- absence of any opportunity to question a victim of child sexual abuse in Sweden [*S.N.*, no. 34209/96]
- failure to provide an interpreter for a hearing on sentencing in the United Kingdom [*Cuscani*, no. 32771/96]

Article 7 *Cases concerning principally non-retroactivity of criminal offences and penalties*

- absence of a clear legal basis for imposing a sentence of imprisonment in Turkey [*E.K.*, no. 28496/95]

Article 8 *Cases concerning principally the right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence*

- refusal to give an advance undertaking not to prosecute a husband for assisting his wife to commit suicide in the United Kingdom [*Pretty*, no. 2346/02]
- refusal of access to records of time spent as a child in public care in the United Kingdom [*M.G.*, no. 39393/98]
- lack of legal recognition of transsexuals in the United Kingdom [*Christine Goodwin*, no. 28957/95; *I.*, no. 25680/94]
- dismissal of homosexuals from the armed forces in the United Kingdom following investigation into their private live [*Perkins and R.*, nos. 43208/98 and 44875/98; *Beck, Copp and Bazeley*, nos. 48535/99, 48536/99 and 48537/99)]
- absence of a legal basis in the United Kingdom for covert audio surveillance by the police [*Armstrong*, no. 48521/99], interception of pager messages sent via a private communications system [*Taylor-Sabori*, no. 47114/99] and covert recording of a remand prisoner at a police station [*Allan*, no. 48539/99]
- refusal to allow a remand prisoner in Poland to attend his parents' funerals [*Płoski*, no. 26761/95]
- refusal to allow a natural father to recognise his child in the Netherlands [*Yousef*, no. 33711/96]
- adequacy of the measures taken by the courts in Croatia to establish paternity [*Mikulić*, no. 53176/99]
- refusal to grant joint parental authority over a child in Germany, and restrictions on the father's right of access [*Hoppe*, no. 28422/95]
- taking into care of children in Germany on the ground of their parents' intellectual weakness, placement in separate foster-homes and restrictions on access [*Kutzner*, no. 46544/99]

- taking into care of a child at birth on an emergency basis in the United Kingdom, and the procedures concerning care and freeing for adoption orders [*P., C. and S.*, no. 56547/00]
- making of a provisional care order in the Netherlands without providing the parents with an opportunity to contest it [*Venema*, no. 35731/97]
- separation of families as a result of expulsion from Austria [*Yildiz*, no. 37295/97] and from Bulgaria [*Al-Nashif and others*, no. 50963/99]
- threatened separation of a foreigner from his wife and children on account of an expulsion order issued following his conviction in Denmark [*Amrollahi*, no. 56811/00]
- destruction of homes and property in Turkey by village guards [*Matyar*, no. 23423/94] and by the security forces [*Orhan*, no. 25656/94]
- searches of company premises in Estonia [*Veeber*, no. 37571/97] and in France [*Sociétés Colas Est and others*, no. 37971/97]
- control of prisoners' correspondence in Italy [*Messina*, no. 33993/96], in Latvia [*Lavents*, no. 58442/00], in Lithuania [*Puzinas*, no. 44800/98], in the Netherlands [*A.B.*, no. 37328/97], in Poland [*Radaj*, nos. 29537/95 and 35453/97; *Salapa*, no. 35489/97] and in the United Kingdom [*Faulkner*, no. 37471/97]
- prohibition of family visits to detainees in Latvia [*Lavents*, no. 58442/00] and in Poland [*Nowicka*, no. 30218/96]

Article 9 *Cases concerning principally freedom of religion and belief*

- conviction of a Muslim religious leader for usurping the functions of a minister of a "known religion" in Greece [*Agga*, nos. 50776/99 and 52912/99]

Article 10 *Cases concerning principally freedom of expression*

- injunctions issued in Austria, prohibiting repetition of statements about a politician [*Dichand and others*, no. 29271/95], repetition of statements about racist agitation by a politician [*Unabhängige Initiative Informationsvielfalt*, no. 28525/95] and publication of a photograph of a politician [*Krone Verlag GmbH*, no. 34315/96]
- dismissal of an employee by the Bank of Spain for making offensive remarks about senior officials in a letter [*De Diego Nafria*, no. 46833/99]
- defamation proceedings brought by a prosecutor against a defence lawyer in Finland [*Nikula*, no. 31611/96]
- conviction of a publishing director and journalist in France for insulting a foreign head of state [*Colombani and others*, no. 51279/99]
- unavailability of legal aid to defend a defamation action in the United Kingdom, exclusion of evidence and the requirement that the defendant prove his allegations [*McVicar*, no. 46311/99]
- imposition of a fine in Germany as a disciplinary penalty for breaching a prohibition on advertising by medical practitioners [*Stambuk*, no. 37928/97]

- refusal to register titles of periodicals in Poland [*Gawęda*, no. 26229/95]
- refusal of a licence to broadcast a programme about cars via cable television in Switzerland [*Demuth*, no. 38743/97]

Article 11 *Cases concerning principally freedom of association*

- forcible removal of illegal immigrants occupying a church in France [*Cissé*, no. 51346/99]
- dissolution of political parties in Turkey [*Yazar, Karatas, Aksoy and the Peoples' Work Party [H.E.P.]*, nos. 22723/93, 22724/93 and 22725/93; *Democracy Party [DEP]*, no. 25141/94]
- offering of incentives to employees to renounce the right to representation by a trade union in the United Kingdom [*Wilson, National Union of Journalists and others*, nos. 30668/96, 30671/96 and 30678/96]

Article 12 *Cases concerning principally the right to marry and found a family*

- impossibility for transsexuals to marry in the United Kingdom [Christine Goodwin, no. 28957/95; *I.*, no. 25680/94]

Article 13 *Cases concerning the availability of effective remedies*

- in connection with shootings by unidentified assailants in Turkey [*Sabuktekin*, no. 27243/95; *Şemse Önen*, no. 22876/93; *Ülkü Ekinci*, no. 27602/95]
- in connection with a death resulting from the actions of the security forces during a riot in Northern Ireland [*McShane*, no. 43290/98]
- in connection with the killing of a detainee by his mentally ill cell-mate in the United Kingdom [*Paul and Audrey Edwards*, no. 46477/99]
- in connection with the disappearance of persons taken into custody in Turkey [*Orhan*, no. 25656/94]
- in connection with the ill-treatment and death of detainees in Bulgaria [*Anguelova*, no. 38361/97] and in Turkey [*Abdurrahman Orak*, no. 31889/96]
- in connection with the alleged failure of the social services in the United Kingdom to protect children from sexual abuse [*D.P. and J.C.*, no. 38719/97; *E. and others*, no. 33218/96]
- in connection with the length of court proceedings in Belgium [*Stratégies et Communications and Dumoulin*, no. 37370/97], in Croatia [*Mikulić*, no. 53176/99; *Delić*, no. 48771/99; *Radoš and others*, no. 45435/99], in France [*Lutz*, no. 48215/99; *Nouhaud and others*, no. 33424/96], in Italy [*Colonnello and others*, no. 56206/00; *Nuvoli*, no. 41424/98], in Luxembourg [*Matthies-Lenzen*, no. 45165/99 (friendly settlement)] and in Slovakia [*Varga*, no. 41384/98 (friendly settlement)]
- in connection with various forms of covert surveillance by the police in the United Kingdom [*Armstrong*, no. 48521/99; *Taylor-Sabori*, no. 47114/99; *Allan*, no. 48539/99]
- in connection with expulsion from Bulgaria [*Al-Nashif and others*, no. 50963/99]

- in connection with control of a prisoner's correspondence in the Netherlands [*A.B.*, no. 37328/97]
- in connection with collective expulsion from Belgium [*Conka and others*, no. 51564/99]

Article 14 *Cases concerning principally the prohibition of discrimination*

- different treatment of married women under pensions legislation in the Netherlands [*Wessels-Bergervoet*, no. 34462/97]
- refusal to approve a homosexual for prospective adoption of a child in France [*Fretté*, no. 36515/97]

Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 *Cases concerning principally the right of property*

- destruction of home and property by village guards in Turkey [*Matyar*, no. 23423/94]
- destruction of home and possessions in an explosion at a rubbish tip in Turkey [*Öneryildiz*, no. 48939/99]
- imposition of restrictions on fishing in Finland [*Posti and Rahko*, no. 27824/95]
- prolonged building prohibition in Italy, due to the inactivity of the local authority [*Terazzi s.a.s.*, no. 27265/95]
- inclusion by employer of waiters' tips in the minimum wage in the United Kingdom [*Nerva and others*, no. 42295/98]
- quashing of court awards on the basis of legislation passed while the proceedings were pending in Greece [*Smokovitis and others*, no. 46356/99]
- refusal to reimburse VAT payments made on the basis French legislation incompatible with a directive of the European Communities [*SA Dangeville*, no. 36677/97]
- loss of pension rights as an automatic consequence of dismissal from the civil service in Cyprus [*Azinas*, no. 56679/00]
- loss of control of a company and its property in Ukraine as a result of an increase in the share capital [*Sovtransavto Holding*, no. 48553/99]
- non-payment by the State of sums due to the applicants in Greece [*Vasilopoulou*, no. 47541/99]
- refusal of the authorities in Greece to comply with a first instance judgment ordering pension adjustment [*Ouzounis and others*, no. 49144/99]
- delay by the authorities in complying with court judgments in Greece [*Katsaros*, no. 51473/99] and in Russia [*Burdov*, no. 59498/00]
- discrepancy between the valuation of property for the purposes of expropriation and its valuation for the purposes of inheritance tax in Finland [*Jokela*, no. 28856/95]

- failure to use property for the purposes for which it was expropriated in France [*Motais de Narbonne*, no. 48161/99]
- inclusion of a plot of land in Cyprus in a road-widening scheme by operation of law and without compensation [*Serghides*, no. 44730/98]
- restitution to the original owner of property in the Czech Republic confiscated by the State and acquired in good faith by a third party [*Pincová and Pinc*, no. 36548/97]
- restitution of land in the Czech Republic to the original owner, without any compensation [*Zvolský and Zvolská*, no. 46129/99]
- refusal to order the return of property ceded by the owners when leaving the German Democratic Republic [*Wittek*, no. 37290/97]
- adequacy of compensation for expropriation of part of a dairy farm in France, affecting the viability of the remainder [*Lallement*, no. 46044/99]
- adequacy of compensation for an expropriation in Greece, irrebuttable presumption of benefit accruing from expropriation and limits on the State's liability to cover legal fees [*Azas*, no. 50824/99]
- lengthy delay in payment of compensation for expropriation in Greece, and a claim by the State to property not included in the expropriation [*Tsirikakis*, no. 46355/99]
- lengthy delay in payment of compensation for expropriation in Greece, as a result of the procedure for checking the title to property [*Hatzitakis*, no. 48392/99]

Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 *Cases concerning principally the right to free elections*

- striking of a candidate from a list in parliamentary elections in Latvia, on the ground of insufficient knowledge of the national language [*Podkolzina*, no. 46726/99]
- termination of the mandate of Members of Parliament in Turkey as an automatic consequence of the dissolution of their party by the Constitutional Court [*Selim Sadak and others*, nos. 25144/94, 26149-54/95, 27100/95 and 27101/95]

Article 2 of Protocol No. 4 *Cases concerning principally freedom of movement*

- issuing of orders by the Burgomaster, prohibiting entry into specified areas of Amsterdam for a limited period [*Oliveira*, no. 33129/96; *Landvreugd*, no. 37331/97]

Article 4 of Protocol No. 4 *Cases concerning principally the prohibition of collective expulsion*

- collective expulsion of Slovak gypsies from Belgium [*Conka and others*, no. 51564/99]

Article 2 of Protocol No. 7 *Cases concerning principally the right of appeal in criminal matters*

- dismissal of an appeal on points of law in France on the ground of failure to surrender to custody [*Papon*, no. 54210/00]

Article 4 of Protocol No. 7 *Cases concerning principally the right not to be tried or punished twice*

- conviction in criminal proceedings in Austria following imposition of fines in administrative proceedings arising out of the same facts [*W.F.*, no. 38275/97; *Sailer*, no. 38237/97]
- imprisonment in default of payment of customs fines in France, following conviction for a criminal offence concerning the same facts [*Göktan*, no. 33402/96]

(b) Judgments dealing exclusively with issues already examined by the Court

- 419 cases concerned the length of civil or administrative proceedings in Italy (281 judgments, including 7 friendly settlements), France (43 judgments, including 5 friendly settlements), Portugal (29 judgments, including 17 friendly settlements and 1 striking out), Poland (11 judgments, including 1 friendly settlement), Belgium (10 judgments, including 1 striking out), Greece (8 judgments, including 2 friendly settlements), Croatia (7 judgments, including 2 friendly settlements), Austria (4 judgments, including 2 friendly settlements), the United Kingdom (4 judgments), Cyprus (3 judgments), Germany (3 judgments), Hungary (3 judgments, including 2 friendly settlements), Slovakia (3 judgments, including 1 friendly settlement), the Netherlands (2 judgments), Turkey (2 judgments, both friendly settlements), Finland, Switzerland and Ukraine (1 judgment each), the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Slovenia and Sweden (1 friendly settlement judgment each)
- 52 cases concerned the length of criminal proceedings in Italy (18 judgments), Turkey (9 judgments), France (6 judgments, including 1 striking out), Poland (3 judgments), Portugal (2 judgments, including 1 friendly settlement), Slovakia (2 judgments, both friendly settlements), Sweden (2 judgments), Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Finland and the United Kingdom (1 judgment each), and Denmark, Greece, Latvia, Luxembourg and Russia (1 friendly settlement judgment each)
- 72 cases (including 40 friendly settlements) concerned the impossibility for landlords in Italy to recover possession of their properties, on account of the system of staggering police assistance to enforce evictions (cf. the leading judgment of *Immobiliare Saffi v. Italy*, judgment of 28 July 1999)
- 34 cases (including 13 friendly settlements) concerned delays in payment of compensation for expropriations in Turkey (cf. the leading judgment of *Akkus v. Turkey*, judgment of 9 July 1997)
- 27 cases (including 1 striking out) concerned the annulment of final decisions ordering the restitution of property in Romania and/or the exclusion of the jurisdiction of the courts in the matter (cf. the leading judgment of *Brumărescu v. Romania*, judgment of 28 October 1999)
- 12 friendly settlement judgments and 1 strike-out judgment concerned the ill-treatment of detainees (9 cases) and/or deaths in custody (3 cases) in Turkey
- 13 cases (including 6 friendly settlements) concerned convictions in Turkey for making separatist propaganda or inciting to hatred and hostility
- 11 cases (including 5 friendly settlements and 1 striking out) concerned the failure to bring detainees promptly before a judge in Turkey and, in some of the cases, the absence of a right to review and of a right to compensation
- 9 cases (including 3 friendly settlements) concerned the lack of independence and impartiality of State Security Courts in Turkey

- 6 cases (including 5 friendly settlements) concerned the unavailability of certain widows' benefits to widowers in the United Kingdom

(c) Friendly settlement judgments

In addition to friendly settlement judgments mentioned above, friendly settlements were reached in cases concerning the following issues:

- death occurring during a police operation in Turkey [*Oral and others*, no. 27735/95]
- killing of the applicant's wife and son and destruction of their home by the security forces in Turkey [*Siddik Yaşa*, no. 22281/93]
- death of the applicant's nieces and serious injury of his father when grenades were thrown into his house by security forces during an operation in Turkey [*Mahmut Demir*, no. 22280/93]
- fatal shootings during attempted arrests in Turkey [*Adali*, no. 31137/96; *Şaziment Yalçın*, no. 31152/96; *Soğukpınar*, no. 31153/96; *Filiyet Şen*, no. 31154/96]
- destruction of home and possessions by the security forces and village guards in Turkey [*Kınay*, no. 31890/96]
- ill-treatment on arrest and at a sobering-up centre in Poland [*H.D.*, no. 33310/96]
- prison conditions in Croatia [*Benzan*, no. 62912/00]
- lawfulness of detention for examination in a psychiatric hospital in Bulgaria [*M.S.*, no. 40061/98]
- lawfulness of detention pending extradition and alleged interference with a detainee's correspondence in France [*Meier*, no. 33023/96]
- length of detention on remand and absence of a right for detainees to attend hearings in Poland [*Z.R.*, no. 32499/96]
- length of time taken to decide on a request for release from detention with a view to expulsion from the Netherlands [*Samy*, no. 36499/97]
- failure to comply with a court time limit in Austria as a result of delay by the prison administration in forwarding a letter [*Walter*, no. 34994/97]
- fairness of proceedings concerning a claim for compensation for detention on remand in Italy [*Mercuri*, no. 47247/99]
- fairness of proceedings relating to the withdrawal of a driving licence in Slovakia [*Konček*, no. 41263/98]
- expulsion of gypsy families from Italy to Bosnia-Herzegovina [*Sulejmanovic and others*, nos. 57574/00 and 57575/00]
- an award of damages in respect of the publication of a caricature in a periodical in Austria [*Freiheitlichen Landesgruppe Burgenland*, no. 34320/96]

- refusal of a licence to broadcast via a cable network in Austria [*Informationsverein Lentia*, no. 37093/97]
- impossibility for a Muslim Turkish Cypriot to contract a civil marriage in Cyprus [*Selim*, no. 47293/99]
- difference in age requirements for men and women in relation to entitlement to an elderly person's travel permit in the United Kingdom [*Matthews*, no. 40302/98]

(d) Judgments striking applications out of the list of cases

In addition to strike-out judgments mentioned above, cases concerning the following issues were struck out of the list:

- killing by the security forces in Turkey [*Haran*, no. 25754/94]
- disappearances in Turkey [*T.A.*, no. 26307/95; *Toğcu*, no. 27601/95]
- length of detention on remand in France [*Denoncin*, no. 43689/98]
- length of detention on remand and access to lawyer during police custody in France [*Pinson*, no. 39668/98]
- refusal to summon defence witness in Italy [*Pisano*, no. 36732/97]
- threatened separation of a foreigner from his family due to expulsion from Germany [*Taskin*, no. 56132/00]
- refusal of the authorities in Italy to issue a completion certificate for property [*Agatone*, no. 36255/97]

(e) Other judgments

Eight judgments concerning just satisfaction (5 against Greece and 1 each against Italy, the Netherlands and Poland) and 8 judgments concerning revision (all against Italy) were delivered.

Notes:

1. The foregoing summaries are intended to highlight the issues raised in cases and do not indicate the Court's conclusion. Thus, a statement such as "ill-treatment in custody..." covers cases in which no violation was found or in which a friendly settlement was reached as well as cases in which a violation was found.

2. The length of court proceedings was at issue in a total of **471** judgments, that is more than half of all judgments delivered. In all but 19 of these, it was the sole issue, while in a further nine the only additional issue was the availability of an effective remedy under Article 13. Violations were found in all but 7 of the cases in which the merits were addressed (3 against Italy, 2 against France and 2 against Poland).

3. **594** out of the 844 judgments delivered (70%) concerned four groups of complaints – the length of court proceedings (including the question of effective remedies), *Immobiliare Saffi*-type cases, *Akkus*-type cases and *Brumărescu*-type cases. The judgments referred to under (b), (c), (d) and (e) above, totalling 673, account for almost 80% of those delivered in 2002.

4. The highest numbers of judgments concerned the following States:

Italy	391 (46.33%)
Turkey	105 (12.44%)
France	75 (8.89%)
UK	40 (4.74%)
Portugal	33 (3.91%)
Romania	28 (3.32%)
Poland	26 (3.08%)
Greece	25 (2.96%)

The figures in brackets indicate the percentage of the total number of judgments delivered in 2002. Judgments concerning Italy, Turkey and Portugal included a high proportion of friendly settlements.

5. All judgments and admissibility decisions (other than those taken by the committees) are available in full text in the Court's case-law database (HUDOC), which is accessible via the Court's internet site: <http://www.echr.coe.int>.

IV. JUDGMENTS AND DECISIONS SELECTED FOR PUBLICATION

The following judgments and decisions delivered or adopted in 2002 have been selected by the Court's Publications Committee for publication in *Reports of Judgments and Decisions*. Grand Chamber judgments and decisions are indicated by an asterisk.

Volume I

(judgments)

32967/96	CALVELLI and CIGLIO v. Italy*
51564/99	CONKA v. Belgium
53176/99	MIKULIĆ v. Croatia
46544/99	KUTZNER v. Germany
44872/98	MAGALHAES PEREIRA v. Portugal
28525/95	UNABHÄNGIGE INITIATIVE INFORMATIONSVIELFALT v. Austria
36515/97	FRETTÉ v. France
38784/97	MORRIS v. the United Kingdom

(decisions)

51578/99	KESLASSY v. France
53574/99	UNISON v. the United Kingdom
53440/99	HERTEL v. Switzerland

Volume II

(judgments)

39187/98	H.M. v. Switzerland
46800/99	DEL SOL v. France
48778/99	KUTIĆ v. Croatia
26229/95	GAWĘDA v. Poland
46477/99	EDWARDS v. United Kingdom
27243/95	SABUKTEKIN v. Turkey (extract)
31611/96	NIKULA v. Finland
48297/99	BUTKEVIČIUS v. Lithuania (extract)
46726/99	PODKOLZINA v. Latvia

(decisions)

48321/99	SLIVENKO v. Latvia* (extract)
40028/98	DELAGE and MAGISTRELLO v. France
38587/97	BAYRAM and YILDIRIM v. Turkey

Volume III

(judgments)

51346/99 CISSÉ v. France (extract)
36677/97 SA DANGEVILLE v. France
37971/97 SOCIÉTÉS COLAS EST and others v. France
2346/02 PRETTY v. the United Kingdom
46311/99 McVICAR v. the United Kingdom
59498/00 BURDOV v. Russia
32985/96 ALTAN v. Turkey
39474/98 D.G. v. Ireland

(decisions)

35441/97 ROSCA STANESCU and others v. Romania
54367/00 BUFFERNE v. France (extract)
43956/98 SAN JUAN v. France
59109/00 JOVANOVIĆ v. Croatia

Volume IV

(judgments)

28856/95 JOKELA v. Finland
35605/97 KINGSLEY v. the United Kingdom*
46295/99 STAFFORD v. the United Kingdom*
33129/96 OLIVIEIRA v. the Netherlands
34462/97 WESSELS-BERGERVOET v. the Netherlands
25144/94) SELIM SADAK and others v. Turkey
26149-54/95)
27100-01/95)
36042/97 WILLIS v. the United Kingdom
38361/97 ANGUELOVA v. Bulgaria

(decisions)

53320/99 TRAJKOVSKI v. the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
49126/99 WEJRUP v. Denmark
2352/02 HOLDING and BARNES v. the United Kingdom

[unallocated]

(judgments)

22723/93) YAZAR and others v. Turkey
22724/93)
22725/93)
51279/99 COLOMBANI and others v. France
30668/96) WILSON, N.U.J. and others v. the United Kingdom
30671/96)
30678/96)
33402/96 GÖKTAN v. France
34209/96 S.N. v. Sweden
36590/97 GOÇ v. Turkey*
28957/95 GOODWIN v. the United Kingdom*
47095/99 KALASHNIKOV v. Russia
56547/00 P., C. and S. v. the United Kingdom
47293/99 SELIM v. Cyprus (friendly settlement)
34619/97 JANOSEVIC v. Sweden (not final – referral request pending)
54210/00 PAPON v. France
48553/99 SOVTRANSVTO HOLDING v. Ukraine
32911/96 MEFTAH v. France*
42295/98 NERVA and others v. the United Kingdom
27824/95 POSTI and RAHKO v. Finland (not final – referral request pending)
38830/97 CZEKALLA v. Portugal
55782/00 CAÑETE DE GONI v. Spain
37703/97 MASTROMATTEO v. Italy*
48539/99 ALLAN v. United Kingdom (not final)
32576/96 WYNEN v. Belgium (not final)
38743/97 DEMUTH v. Switzerland (not final)
33711/96 YOUSEF v. Netherlands (not final)
36548/97 PINCOVÁ and PINC v. the Czech Republic (not final)
46129/99 ZVOLSKÝ and ZVOLSKÁ v. the Czech Republic (not final)
47273/99 BĚLEŠ and others v. the Czech Republic (not final)
67263/01 MOUISEL v. France (not final)
50615/99 BOCA v. Belgium (not final)

(decisions)

59895/00 CALABRO v. Italy and Germany
45019/98 PASCOLINI v. France (extract)
59341/00 MULTIGESTION v. France (extract)
38621/97 ZEHNALOVA and ZEHNAL v. the Czech Republic
6422/02) SEGI and others, GESTORAS PRO AMNISTIA and others
9916/02) v. the 15 Member States of the European Union
54767/00 BORGHI v. Italy (extract)
41661/98 BUTLER v. United Kingdom
38190/97 FEDERATION OF OFFSHORE WORKERS' TRADE UNIONS and others v.
Norway
45526/99,etc. GAYDUK and others v. Ukraine
20862/02 SLAVIČEK v. Croatia
39794/98 GRATZINER and GRATZINGEROVA v. the Czech Republic*
58188/00 DIDIER v. France (extract)
50490/99 BOSO v. Italy
77784/01 NOGOLICA v. Croatia

76574/01	ALLEN v. the United Kingdom
62002/00	TAMOSIUS v. the United Kingdom
57220/00	MIFSUD v. France*
44792/98	DUCHEZ v. France
48154/99	ZIGARELLA v. Italy (extract)
64359/01	FERNÁNDEZ-MOLINA GONZÁLEZ and others v. Spain
57984/00)	ANDRÁŠIK and others v. Slovakia
60226/00)	
60237/00)	
60242/00)	
60679/00)	
60680/00)	
68563/01)	
62503/00	KARAHALIOS v. Greece (extract)
16837/02	OSTOJIĆ v. Croatia

[proposals not yet examined]

(judgments)

48221/99	BERGER v. France (extract) (not final)
37290/97	WITTEK v. Germany (not final)
35731/97	VENEMA v. Netherlands (not final)
35373/97	A. v. the United Kingdom (not final)
24952/94	N.C. v. Italy*

(decisions)

30417/96	OLCZAK v. Poland (extract)
62746/00	“WIRTSCHAFTS-TREND” ZEITSCHRIFTEN v. Austria
36747/02	ARSLAN v. Turkey (extract)
3314/02	BILASI-ASHRI v. Austria
52938/99	MIEG DE BOOFZHEIM v. France
53871/00	ISLAMISCHE RELIGIONSGEMEINSCHAFT e.V. v. Germany
59021/00	KALOGEROPOULOU et al. v. Greece
1977/02)	METALLINOS and KONTOGIANNIS v. Greece
1988/02)	
1997/02)	
21287/02	PRYSTAVSKA v. Ukraine
21291/02	KOZAK v. Ukraine

Note: The publication of non-final Section judgments is normally subject to the judgment becoming final (Article 44 § 2 of the Convention).

V. STATISTICAL INFORMATION¹

Judgments delivered	2002
Grand Chamber	12(14)
Section I	324(329)
Section II	159(168)
Section III	169(176)
Section IV	141(159)
Sections in former compositions	39(40)
Total	844(886)

Judgments delivered in 2002					
	Merits	Friendly settlements	Struck out	Other	Total
Grand Chamber	9(11)	0	1	2 ²	12(14)
former Section I	10	1	0	1 ²	12
former Section II	0	0	0	4 ⁴	4
former Section III	11	1	0	1 ³	13
former Section IV	8(9)	1	1	0	10(11)
Section I	254(258)	62(63)	3	5 ⁵	324(329)
Section II	137(143)	18(21)	3	1 ²	159(168)
Section III	117(119)	50(52)	2(5)	0	169(176)
Section IV	119(137)	18	2	2 ³	141(159)
Total	665(698)	151(158)	12(15)	16	844(886)

1. A judgment or decision may concern more than one application: when both figures are given, the number of applications is shown in brackets. The statistical information provided in this and the following section is provisional. For a number of reasons (in particular, different methods of calculation of unjoined applications dealt with in a single decision), discrepancies may arise between the different tables in the Survey.

2. Just satisfaction.

3. Revision.

4. Three just satisfaction judgments and one revision judgment.

5. Four revision judgments and one just satisfaction judgment.

ARRÊTS / JUDGMENTS 2002

Etat en cause / State concerned	Affaires ayant donné lieu à un constat de / Cases which gave rise to a finding of		Affaires n'ayant pas donné lieu à un constat sur le fond / Cases which gave rise to no finding on the merits		Satisfaction équitable / Just satisfaction	Révision	TOTAL
	Au moins une violation / At least one violation	Non violation / No violation	Règlement amiable / Friendly settlement	Rayée du rôle / Striking out			
Albanie / Albania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Andorre / Andorra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arménie / Armenia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Autriche / Austria	14	1	5	-	-	-	20
Azerbaïdjan / Azerbaijan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Belgique / Belgium	12	1	-	1	-	-	14
Bosnie-Herzégovine / Bosnia & Herzegovina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bulgarie / Bulgaria	2	-	1	-	-	-	3
Croatie / Croatia	6	-	3	-	-	-	9
Chypre / Cyprus	5	-	1	-	-	-	6
République tchèque / Czech Republic	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
Danemark / Denmark	1	-	1	-	-	-	2
Estonie / Estonia	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Finlande / Finland	5	-	-	-	-	-	5
France	61	6	6	2	-	-	75
Géorgie / Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allemagne / Germany	6	2	-	1	-	-	9
Grèce / Greece	16	1	3	-	5	-	25
Hongrie / Hungary	1	-	2	-	-	-	3
Islande / Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Irlande / Ireland	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Italie / Italy	325	6	49	2	1	8	391
Lettonie / Latvia	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lituanie / Lithuania	4	1	-	-	-	-	5
Luxembourg	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Ex-République yougoslave de Macédoine / Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Malte / Malta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moldova	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pays-Bas / Netherlands	6	3	1	-	1	-	11
Norvège / Norway	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pologne / Poland	20	2	3	-	1	-	26
Portugal	14	-	18	1	-	-	33
Roumanie / Romania	26	-	-	1	-	-	27
Fédération de Russie / Russian Federation	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
Saint-Marin / San Marino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovaquie / Slovakia	4	-	3	-	-	-	7
Slovénie / Slovenia	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Espagne / Spain	1	2	-	-	-	-	3
Suède / Sweden	4	2	1	-	-	-	7
Suisse / Switzerland	2	2	-	-	-	-	4
Turquie / Turkey	54	2	45	4	-	-	105
Ukraine	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Royaume-Uni / United Kingdom	30	4	6	-	-	-	40
TOTAL	630	35	151	12	8	8	844

Decisions adopted		2002
I. Applications declared admissible		
Grand Chamber		2
Section I		217(233)
Section II		118(124)
Section III		113(117)
Section IV		100(101)
Total		550(577)
II. Applications declared inadmissible		
Grand Chamber		3
Section I	- Chamber	302(330)
	- Committee	3987
Section II	- Chamber	103(135)
	- Committee	4705
Section III	- Chamber	83(89)
	- Committee	2968(2969)
Section IV	- Chamber	134(516)
	- Committee	4615
Total		16900(17349)
III. Applications struck off		
Section I	- Chamber	81(105)
	- Committee	76
Section II	- Chamber	23(24)
	- Committee	52
Section III	- Chamber	163(178)
	- Committee	29
Section IV	- Chamber	27(30)
	- Committee	36
Total		487(530)
Total number of decisions¹		17937(18456)

1: Not including partial decisions.

Applications communicated	2002
Grand Chamber	1
Section I	398(413)
Section II	273(284)
Section III	435(443)
Section IV	384(524)
Total number of applications communicated	1491(1665)

**Evolution du nombre de requêtes individuelles introduites devant la Cour (anciennement la Commission) /
Development in the number of individual applications lodged with the Court (formerly the Commission)³**

	1955 - 1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	TOTAL
Requêtes introduites <i>Applications lodged</i>	39953	4246	4923	5279	6104	6456	9759	10335	11236	12704	14166	18164	22617	30069	33052	30828 (prov/ prov.)	259891
Requêtes attribuées à un organe décisionnel <i>Applications allocated to a decision body</i>	13457	1009	1445	1657	1648	1861	2037	2944	3481	4758	4750	5981	8400	10482	13858	28255	106023
Décisions rendues <i>Decisions taken</i>	12257	654	1338	1216	1659	1704	1765	2372	2990	3400	3777	4420	4251	7862	9728	18450	77843
Requêtes déclarées irrecevables ou rayées du rôle <i>Applications declared inadmissible or struck off the list</i>	11726	602	1243	1065	1441	1515	1547	1789	2182	2776	3073	3658	3520	6776	8989	17868	69770
Requêtes déclarées recevables <i>Applications declared admissible</i>	523	52	95	151	217	189	218	582	807	624	703	762	731	1086	739	577	8056
Requêtes terminées par une décision de rejet en cours d'examen au fond <i>Applications terminated by a decision to reject in the course of the examination of the merits</i>	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	17
Arrêts rendus par la Cour <i>Judgments delivered by the Court</i>	154	26	25	30	72	81	60	50	56	72	106	105	177	695	889	844	3442

1. Vu le changement dans la façon d'enregistrer les requêtes depuis 2002, les « Dossiers Provisoires » ne sont plus ouverts. Toutes les nouvelles requêtes figurent maintenant sous le titre « Requêtes introduites ». /
As a result of changes to the procedure for registering applications as from 2002, « Provisional Files » are no longer opened. All new applications now appear under the heading « Applications lodged ».

COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

EVOLUTION DES AFFAIRES (1/3)
EVOLUTION OF CASES (1/3)

Etat State	Requêtes introduites (statistiques provisoires)			Requêtes attribuées à un organe décisionnel			Requêtes déclarées irrecevables ou rayées du rôle			Requêtes communiquées au Gouvernement			Requêtes déclarées recevables		
	<i>Applications lodged (provisional statistics)</i>			<i>Applications allocated to a decision body</i>			<i>Applications declared inadmissible or struck off</i>			<i>Applications referred to Government</i>			<i>Applications declared admissible</i>		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Albania/ <i>Albanie</i>	13	21	19	4	3	15	1	1	3	-	-	1	-	-	-
Andorra/ <i>Andorre</i>	5	1	-	3	3	-	1	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Armenia/ <i>Arménie</i>	4	7	21	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Austria/ <i>Autriche</i>	403	386	400	241	229	308	227	208	371	39	13	51	20	24	14
Azerbaijan/ <i>Azerbaïdjan</i>	17	43	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Belgium/ <i>Belgique</i>	274	239	247	74	108	139	30	79	124	10	8	31	1	25	3
Bosnia and Herzegovina/ <i>Bosnie-Hezégovine</i>	9	11	32	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bulgaria/ <i>Bulgarie</i>	531	469	588	302	406	465	93	232	394	17	13	43	3	1	15
Croatia/ <i>Croatie</i>	156	186	757	87	116	665	81	75	338	28	14	49	5	6	8
Cyprus/ <i>Chypre</i>	30	52	37	16	20	48	13	14	44	9	6	7	1	7	2
Czech Republic/ <i>République Tchèque</i>	570	437	465	199	367	329	74	267	437	3	16	54	4	3	2
Denmark/ <i>Danemark</i>	119	115	120	56	52	86	47	50	40	8	10	3	3	3	2
Estonia/ <i>Estonie</i>	77	128	104	46	89	89	19	24	57	4	1	1	1	1	2
Finland/ <i>Finlande</i>	172	194	222	109	105	183	125	123	151	16	28	22	10	2	8
France/ <i>France</i>	2937	2827	2789	1032	1117	1610	626	891	1254	104	89	124	80	51	66
Georgia/ <i>Georgie</i>	26	29	37	7	22	29	2	3	13	-	4	4	-	-	2
Germany/ <i>Allemagne</i>	1685	1613	1668	595	714	1018	642	527	748	38	11	58	15	8	13
Greece/ <i>Grèce</i>	265	274	351	123	193	310	99	96	134	42	49	74	18	32	29
Hungary/ <i>Hongrie</i>	378	371	263	162	173	307	67	86	198	12	12	31	1	2	10
Iceland/ <i>Islande</i>	6	7	5	4	3	5	3	6	2	1	2	-	-	1	2
Ireland/ <i>Irlande</i>	59	56	85	18	16	45	18	24	43	4	2	1	2	1	3
Italy/ <i>Italie</i>	7339	3776	1304	868	590	1303	277	265	1126	342	251	89	486	341	132
Latvia/ <i>Lettonie</i>	109	227	256	79	126	206	24	58	102	9	11	15	-	3	3

**COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**EVOLUTION DES AFFAIRES (1/3)
EVOLUTION OF CASES (1/3)**

Etat State	Requêtes introduites (statistiques provisoires)			Requêtes attribuées à un organe décisionnel			Requêtes déclarées irrecevables ou rayées du rôle			Requêtes communiquées au Gouvernement			Requêtes déclarées recevables		
	<i>Applications lodged (provisional statistics)</i>			<i>Applications allocated to a decision body</i>			<i>Applications declared inadmissible or struck off</i>			<i>Applications referred to Government</i>			<i>Applications declared admissible</i>		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Liechtenstein/ <i>Liechtenstein</i>	3	2	3	3	-	3	3	1	1	-	-	2	-	-	-
Lithuania/ <i>Lituanie</i>	288	325	422	184	152	528	72	150	166	4	2	6	10	-	3
Luxemburg/ <i>Luxembourg</i>	35	55	44	15	11	25	25	10	11	5	1	1	2	2	2
Malta/ <i>Malte</i>	4	9	9	3	2	4	7	1	2	2	-	2	-	1	-
Moldova/ <i>Moldovie</i>	125	212	221	63	44	245	48	23	31	1	7	4	-	3	1
Netherlands/ <i>Pays-Bas</i>	310	333	562	175	200	316	170	218	278	14	17	14	11	5	9
Norway/ <i>Norvège</i>	64	61	76	30	49	48	33	54	20	2	1	-	-	3	-
Poland/ <i>Pologne</i>	3157	3428	4173	775	1763	4055	741	1411	2469	43	94	84	17	26	46
Portugal/ <i>Portugal</i>	221	222	245	98	141	143	72	72	108	41	56	27	26	39	22
Romania/ <i>Roumanie</i>	2158	1795	1927	639	542	1965	217	537	508	8	35	28	31	1	13
Russia/ <i>Russie</i>	2312	6368	4006	1323	2108	4004	915	1253	2223	28	21	58	-	2	12
San Marino/ <i>Saint-Marin</i>	4	2	5	1	3	5	3	2	1	3	-	3	-	-	3
Slovak Republic/ <i>Republique Slovaque</i>	479	545	418	284	343	406	102	159	366	42	12	39	7	8	11
Slovenia/ <i>Slovénie</i>	197	249	256	55	206	268	37	78	72	3	8	7	-	1	-
Spain/ <i>Espagne</i>	546	1100	797	284	806	799	228	231	1345	18	386	9	2	2	7
Sweden/ <i>Suède</i>	395	400	357	233	247	297	137	110	350	14	7	13	8	4	1
Switzerland/ <i>Suisse</i>	318	327	275	187	162	215	191	210	182	8	9	3	10	2	1
FYRO Macedonia/ <i>ERY Macédoine</i>	38	57	86	18	32	88	16	13	16	4	7	6	-	4	-
Turkey/ <i>Turquie</i>	1117	2499	3036	735	1059	3871	394	384	1639	330	251	375	279	90	102
Ukraine/ <i>Ukraine</i>	1520	2104	2549	727	1062	2816	431	510	1764	26	13	18	1	1	3
United Kingdom/ <i>Royaume-Uni</i>	1594	1490	1468	625	474	985	465	529	737	163	99	312	32	34	25
Total	30069	33052	30828	10482	13858	28255	6776	8989	17868	1445	1566	1671	1086	739	577

**COUR EUROPEENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**EVOLUTION DES AFFAIRES (2/3) - ARRÊTS (1/2)
EVOLUTION OF CASES (2/3) - JUDGMENTS (1/2)**

Etat State	Arrêts (Chambre et Grande Chambre)			Arrêts (définitif-après renvoi devant la Grande Chambre)			Arrêts (règlement amiable)			Arrêts (radiation)		
	<i>Judgments (Chamber and Grand Chamber)</i>			<i>Judgments (final-after referral to Grand Chamber)</i>			<i>Judgments (friendly settlements)</i>			<i>Judgments (striking out)</i>		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Albania/Albanie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Andorra/Andorre	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Armenia/Arménie			-			-			-			-
Austria/Autriche	15	17	15	-	-	-	6	1	5	-	-	-
Azerbaijan/Azerbaïdjan			-			-			-			-
Belgium/Belgique	1	4	13	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
Bosnia and Herzegovina/Bosnie-Hezégovine			-			-			-			-
Bulgaria/Bulgarie	3	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
Croatia/Croatie	-	5	6	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Cyprus/Chypre	3	1	5	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-
Czech Republic/République Tchèque	4	1	4	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Denmark/Danemark	1	1	1	-	-	-	5	1	1	-	-	-
Estonia/Estonie	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Finland/Finlande	5	3	5	-	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	-
France/France	60	35	67	-	-	-	11	8	6	2	2	2
Georgia/Georgie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany/Allemagne	3	16	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Greece/Grèce	15	16	17	-	-	-	3	5	3	1	-	-
Hungary/Hongrie	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-
Iceland/Islande	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Ireland/Irlande	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Italy/Italie	236	365	331	-	-	1	160	45	49	-	-	1
Latvia/Lettonie	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

**COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**EVOLUTION DES AFFAIRES (2/3) - ARRÊTS (1/2)
EVOLUTION OF CASES (2/3) - JUDGMENTS (1/2)**

Etat State	Arrêts (Chambre et Grande Chambre)			Arrêts (définitif-après renvoi devant la Grande Chambre)			Arrêts (règlement amiable)			Arrêts (radiation)		
	<i>Judgments (Chamber and Grand Chamber)</i>			<i>Judgments (final-after referral to Grand Chamber)</i>			<i>Judgments (friendly settlements)</i>			<i>Judgments (striking out)</i>		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Liechtenstein/ <i>Liechtenstein</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lithuania/ <i>Lituanie</i>	4	2	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Luxemburg/ <i>Luxembourg</i>	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Malta/ <i>Malte</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moldova/ <i>Moldovie</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Netherlands/ <i>Pays-Bas</i>	4	3	9	-	-	-	1	4	1	1	-	-
Norway/ <i>Norvège</i>	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland/ <i>Pologne</i>	12	19	22	-	-	-	2	1	3	5	-	-
Portugal/ <i>Portugal</i>	11	10	14	-	-	-	9	15	18	-	-	1
Romania/ <i>Roumanie</i>	3	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Russia/ <i>Russie</i>	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Marino/ <i>Saint-Marin</i>	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovak Republic/ <i>Republique Slovaque</i>	3	5	4	-	-	-	3	3	3	-	-	-
Slovenia/ <i>Slovénie</i>	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Spain/ <i>Espagne</i>	3	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sweden/ <i>Suède</i>	-	-	6	-	-	-	1	3	1	-	-	-
Switzerland/ <i>Suisse</i>	6	7	4	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
FYRO Macedonia/ <i>ERY Macédoine</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Turkey/ <i>Turquie</i>	26	171	56	-	-	-	12	57	45	1	1	4
Ukraine/ <i>Ukraine</i>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
United Kingdom/ <i>Royaume-Uni</i>	19	30	34	-	-	-	6	1	6	2	1	-
Total	447	725	665	-	1	1	229	151	151	13	6	11

**COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**EVOLUTION DES AFFAIRES (3/3) - ARRÊTS (2/2)
EVOLUTION OF CASES (3/3) - JUDGMENTS (2/2)**

Etat State	Arrêts (satisfaction équitable)			Arrêts (exceptions préliminaires)			Arrêts (interprétation)			Arrêts (révision)		
	<i>Judgments (just satisfaction)</i>			<i>Judgments (preliminary objections)</i>			<i>Judgments (interpretation)</i>			<i>Judgments (revision)</i>		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Albania/Albanie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Andorra/Andorre	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Armenia/Arménie			-			-			-			-
Austria/Autriche	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Azerbaijan/Azerbaïdjan			-			-			-			-
Belgium/Belgique	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bosnia and Herzegovina/Bosnie-Hezégovine			-			-			-			-
Bulgaria/Bulgarie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Croatia/Croatie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cyprus/Chypre	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Czech Republic/République Tchèque	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Denmark/Danemark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Estonia/Estonie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Finland/Finlande	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
France/France	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia/Georgie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany/Allemagne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Greece/Grèce	2	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hungary/Hongrie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iceland/Islande	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ireland/Irlande	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy/Italie	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	8
Latvia/Lettonie	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**EVOLUTION DES AFFAIRES (3/3) - ARRÊTS (2/2)
EVOLUTION OF CASES (3/3) - JUDGMENTS (2/2)**

Etat State	Arrêts (satisfaction équitable)			Arrêts (exceptions préliminaires)			Arrêts (interprétation)			Arrêts (révision)		
	<i>Judgments (just satisfaction)</i>			<i>Judgments (preliminary objections)</i>			<i>Judgments (interpretation)</i>			<i>Judgments (revision)</i>		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Liechtenstein/ <i>Liechtenstein</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lithuania/ <i>Lituanie</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Luxemburg/ <i>Luxembourg</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Malta/ <i>Malte</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moldova/ <i>Moldovie</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Netherlands/ <i>Pays-Bas</i>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Norway/ <i>Norvège</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland/ <i>Pologne</i>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Portugal/ <i>Portugal</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Romania/ <i>Roumanie</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russia/ <i>Russie</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Marino/ <i>Saint-Marin</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovak Republic/ <i>Republique Slovaque</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovenia/ <i>Slovénie</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain/ <i>Espagne</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sweden/ <i>Suède</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland/ <i>Suisse</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FYRO Macedonia/ <i>ERY Macédoine</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey/ <i>Turquie</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ukraine/ <i>Ukraine</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
United Kingdom/ <i>Royaume-Uni</i>	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Total	5	3	8	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	3	8